

GREG STANTON
ARIZONA'S FOURTH DISTRICT

WASHINGTON OFFICE
207 CANNON BUILDING
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515
(202) 225-9888

DISTRICT OFFICE
1220 S. ALMA SCHOOL ROAD, STE. 209
MESA, ARIZONA 85210
(602) 956-2463

STANTON.HOUSE.GOV



Congress of the United States
House of Representatives

**COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
AND INFRASTRUCTURE**

RANKING MEMBER
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, PUBLIC BUILDINGS, AND
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT SUBCOMMITTEE
AVIATION SUBCOMMITTEE
HIGHWAYS AND TRANSIT SUBCOMMITTEE

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS
WESTERN HEMISPHERE SUBCOMMITTEE

**SELECT COMMITTEE ON THE STRATEGIC
COMPETITION BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES
AND THE CHINESE COMMUNIST PARTY**

March 2, 2026

The Honorable Doug Burgum
Secretary of the Interior
U.S. Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240

The Honorable Andrea Travnicek
Assistant Secretary for Water and Science
U.S. Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240

Secretary Burgum and Assistant Secretary Travnicek:

I write to you today on behalf of more than 1,600 Arizonans who have deep concerns about the future of the Colorado River and Arizona's continued water security.

I appreciate the Bureau of Reclamation's (Reclamation) ongoing efforts to facilitate a state-led, consensus-driven agreement among the seven Basin States that will protect the Colorado River for generations to come. We must work to secure an agreement that upholds the unique water rights and needs of Tribal communities, ensures a reliable water supply for Arizonans and our critical industries, and equitably shares the risks and responsibilities of stewarding the Colorado River among the Basin States during a time of historic drought.

This is not a partisan issue—Republicans and Democrats alike hold deep concerns with the implications of this decision on Arizona. I ask that you make the best use of the tools and resources that the Department of Interior (Interior) possesses to ensure compliance with the Law of the River and secure a durable, equitable agreement.

Given that this may be the only opportunity for Arizonans to make their voices heard on this important matter, I request that Interior and Reclamation take the following community input into consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Greg Stanton". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

Greg Stanton
Member of Congress

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1	85284	Yes	Arizonans deserve a fair deal in the distribution Colorado water supply. -br/>Significant cuts will jeopardize our citizens and growth of Arizona.
Submission 2	85284	Yes	
Submission 3	85225	Yes	Frankly, it should not even be a question. In a democracy we need to learn how to share equitably. Arizona would wither without its fair share. And with the strategic growth of the high-tech industry in Arizona, specifically semiconductor, it is in the best interests of the nation not to treat us unfairly. Thanks for asking.
Submission 4	85284	Yes	
Submission 5	85014	Yes	
Submission 6	85048	Yes	
Submission 7	85206	Yes	If the illegal migrants would leave voluntarily, we would need less water. Keep an eye on what our needs are and express the reasons for your concern.
Submission 8	85283	Yes	Support the Lower Basin States' Alternative!
Submission 9	85225	Yes	
Submission 10	85281	Yes	
Submission 11	85012	Yes	Environmental problems are global Any sacrifices should be equal.
Submission 12	85044	Yes	
Submission 13	85281	Yes	
Submission 14	85204	Yes	California's share of Colorado River water should be reduced by the amount of fresh water they dump in the ocean. The Colorado river, its uses and how it has been divided up have been the topic of conversation for many years including those before I moved here many years ago. That said ALL of the states need to agree to do their best in conservation and use of the water from the river. No one group or state should get the bulk of it -br/>-br/>On the note of water - building places for folks to live and data centers, including the AI ones, need more research as to whether the water that AZ gets will be enough to satisfy those demands as well as all of the other ones including any general business ones that AZ wants to have let alone NEEDS to have. -br/>-br/>Please keep fighting for a fair to share deal when it comes to the health and portioning of the Colorado river that AZ and other states depend on for those that live and work in ALL of the states. -br/>-br/>Mike Fischer
Submission 15	85210	Yes	
Submission 16	85282	Yes	
Submission 17	85044	Yes	Arizona is headed for a disaster with lack of water and skyrocketing water rates. I am very concerned about the situation and the Upper Basin is acting selfish on the increasingly limited resource. The valley cities are increasing rates and I see this becoming unsustainable for everyone in Arizona. We should not be left with the short end of the stick.
Submission 18	85210	Yes	Kill at least half of the golf courses. There will still be more than plenty. Or, rid the grass & let them golf in gravel, like most of the valley that has responsibly made the switch to save water.
Submission 19	85281	Yes	I understand the need for water for everyone but it needs to be done fairly. I know politics are scary right now but we need to remember our roots and remember that we all need water and it is not fair to give one State more than any other. Thank you for standing up to do the right thing.
Submission 20	85226	Yes	
		Yes	
Submission 22	85282	Yes	
Submission 23	85244	Yes	Parks and golf courses need to manage water use better.. incorporate more artificial turf into their properties.
Submission 24	85282	Yes	
Submission 25	85901	Yes	
Submission 26	85021	Yes	
Submission 27	85018	Yes	It is unfair that any state receive more or less water when we all are a part of the Colorado Basin. Divisional must be fair and equitable. -br/>-br/>
Submission 28	85201	Yes	
Submission 29	85018	Yes	
		Yes	
Submission 31	85282	Yes	Arizona being a hub for exceptional growth and being business friendly warrants consideration when it comes to water cuts. Upper basin states should absolutely be subject to a fair cut of their supply, not just allowing Arizona to be gutted.
Submission 32	85201	Yes	I don't know much about this issue, but I can't imagine why the water wouldn't be divided equitably between the seven basin states. Why would it not be equitable?
		Yes	Any arrangement made years ago didn't account for population growth. Arizona deserves it's fair and equal share of the water.
Submission 34	85201	Yes	
Submission 35	85282	Yes	The U.S has had and still has an Agreement to Share Water with 7 U.S States, 30 Native American Tribes & Mexico!!! Be Fair to All in the Water Treaty that has Been in Existence since 1944!
Submission 36	85021	Yes	And install solar panels on long stretches of the CAP to minimize evaporation and create clean rural power sources simultaneously.
Submission 37	85202	Yes	ALL Americans should have access to all the clean water they need. It is the basis of life and is more important than things like giant ballrooms
Submission 38	85281	Yes	Step 1. List the population of each state on the date when the previous agreement was signed. -br/>Step 2. List the population of each state on today's date. -br/>Step 3. Calculate and list the percent change between those dates. -br/>Step 4. Use those data to derive an equitable allocation of water resources to the participating states.
Submission 39	85226	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 40	85203	Yes	The thing I don't understand is why an alternative like desalination isn't being worked out and implemented already. Even if it takes decades to set up and build the water infrastructure to move it from the coast. Hell, water trucks could be a temporary solution while it's being built. Maybe get AI involved in the analysis of the bigger issues. I realize California probably doesn't want it in their backyard, but if the deal made sense financially and in other ways, I suspect we could move past that roadblock. I know the Gulf side has been proposed, too. It just seems like some smart people from all parties could come up with a long-term, viable solution. It's painfully obvious to everyone that the current path is not sustainable! Let's stop with just the Band-Aids and start dealing with the bigger problems that go beyond partial fixes. I appreciate and am all for the partial fixes, but we can't rely on them to get us through long-term. We are gambling with a lot of lives and a lot real estate values that will plummet if it's not figured out now in preparation for the inevitable. We all see it's getting drier here. Regardless of what you believe the cause is, it's happening and needs to be prepared for.
Submission 41	85205	Yes	
Submission 42	85032	Yes	STOP BUILDING APARTMENTS
Submission 43	85215	Yes	It concerns me how much construction occurs in the Valley, considering how much water it takes and the the influx of people making new water demands. Address that, too.
Submission 44	85283	Yes	
Submission 45	85205	Yes	
Submission 46	85201	Yes	
Submission 47	85284	Yes	We have already cut back in AZ previously from the allotment. Now the Upper Basin states need to step up too!
Submission 48	85283	Yes	
Submission 49	85225	Yes	We, All, need to conserve.
Submission 50	85226	Yes	
Submission 51	85048	Yes	
Submission 52	85283	Yes	Of course the water should be shared equitably! In fact, the agreement should take into account the potential population migration of each state over the next several decades. Example: CA losing or stagnant population, AZ gaining population. Of course, the water should be divided equally. I have lived here 40 yrs this year, and I have seen how we don't seem to get the rainfall we used to get. The monsoon is almost non-existent the last few years and climate change is here and real. We have to have water to live!
Submission 53	85211	Yes	
Submission 54	85201	Yes	
Submission 55	85018	Yes	The plan should include review of farm use for cattle and reduction of cotton. Keep food production in place. Water rationing for home use.
Submission 56	85257	Yes	
Submission 57	85225	Yes	I think we should stop allowing businesses to take so much of our citizens' water. AI Data centers and golf courses and things like them need an enormous amount of water, and they keep building them out in the desert like in Texas. We don't need them here, so protect Arizona from them.
Submission 58	85082	Yes	
Submission 59	85013	Yes	
Submission 60	85048	Yes	Any cuts should be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River.
Submission 61	85284	Yes	For those of us who moved here decades ago we deserve to continue with the same water rights as when we started. It seems they want to dam the stream after we have built our lives. AZ has given away water rights but at the very least if they are going to reduce water than it should be done equally.
Submission 62	85281	Yes	The original water distribution was based on particularly wet years in the olden days. We need to reestablish distribution based on reality. I would also like to tack on (separate but adjacent from this topic) that this reevaluation should extend to a revision of AZ farmers' water rights, specifically with regards to the 1. use-it-or-lose it legislation that leads to unnecessary growth of water-chugging crops and 2. selling/lending of these rights to corporate or foreign-held entities that take advantage of said legislation.
Submission 63	85286	Yes	
Submission 64	85224	Yes	I don't know what "distributed equitably" means in this case, however, this is a resource shared by all impacted states and a fair resolution is a must. I know there are so many factors to consider, but one impacting AZ as much or more than some of the other affected states is growth. Both in population, but also in critical industries such as semi-conductor fabrication and AI/all datacenters. The economic impact both in the short term as well as the long term would be felt by both private citizens as well as industry. A fair distribution and resolution is a must to insure the future for AZ!
Submission 65	85282	Yes	Keep up the good work! We need equitable solutions to our water problems.
Submission 66	85076	Yes	Arizona needs water so that tge USA can have semiconductor manufacturing
Submission 67	85083	Yes	
Submission 68	85020	Yes	Arizona is one of the fastest growing states and needs all the water it can get!
Submission 69	85120	Yes	
Submission 70	85258	Yes	
Submission 71	20515	Yes	
Submission 72	85016	Yes	Equal cuts across the board. Arizona is growing. We also need water for TSMC which directly affects national security.
Submission 73	85048	Yes	
Submission 74	85282	Yes	
Submission 75	85013	Yes	We need a steady supply to anchor growth for the next 20+ years. Allocation should be based on population size and growth rates.
Submission 76	85048	Yes	I think that the cuts should be based on population needs per state. I do not think that any state should have no cuts.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 77	85048	Yes	
Submission 78	85210	Yes	
Submission 79	85283	Yes	Glad you are working on this!
Submission 80	85044	Yes	It's hard to imagine the quality of life in the desert without an adequate water supply. It's essential that AZ is able to meet its water needs.
Submission 81	85282	Yes	The reality is that we are living in hotter, drier times. The Colorado River Compact is outdated and is premised on a water supply that no longer exists. Regardless of how it is allocated, there will be a reckoning. In addition to coming to agreement with other CR basin states, we need to realize that we must all cut back on consumption. Data centers and microchip plants, developers, farmers, ranchers and existing residents must all come to terms with the reality that we cannot continue sucking the river dry.
Submission 82	85016	Yes	
Submission 83	85048	Yes	
Submission 84	85282	Yes	Arizona should not be the only one limiting water. I also want to take this opportunity to say that foreign entities should not be allowed to use our water to grow their produce. Laws need to be updated to limit the amount of groundwater any individual or business can use. Actually, years ago, California had been stealing some of our water allocation, the part that we hadn't been using at the time, and when we wanted to start using that water, the Feds allowed California to keep using it (probably based on adverse possession). I feel California should get their allocation reduced back to the percentage they were supposed to get. California has an ocean they can get their water from->-I've told you this before; we are not in a drought. The reduced available water is the new norm due to climate change. You stated that you're concerned about having enough water for new industry in AZ. Why the hell is AZ, a State with already limited water, allowing--no, make that encouraging--new water thirsty industry to come here? That's idiotic and irresponsible!
Submission 85	85282	Yes	
Submission 86	85018	Yes	
Submission 87	85048	Yes	
Submission 88	85281	Yes	
Submission 89	85020	Yes	
Submission 90	85048	Yes	
Submission 91	85255	Yes	We, as Arizonans, and land stewards need to make responsible & sustainable decisions. LA & CA should make more cuts. Period. Yes The water usage laws need a complete overhaul. Water rights in western states should not be based on past usage. Updates to the laws are at least 70 years overdue.
Submission 93	85283	Yes	
Submission 94	85226	Yes	
Submission 95	85252	Yes	We need to increase all our efforts to reclaim and recycle waste water and we need to increase our efforts to convert ocean salt water to fresh water.
Submission 96	85008	Yes	The resource is used by residents of all 7 states and any cuts should be shared equally. We do not want water wars.
Submission 97	85283	Yes	The demographics are much different today than when the original agreements were penned. The new agreement needs to reflect today's reality.
Submission 98	85018	Yes	
Submission 99	85281	Yes	
Submission 100	85048	Yes	
Submission 101	85008	Yes	
Submission 102	85201	Yes	
Submission 103	85284	Yes	Due to climate change, we all must make concessions. And do it equally!
Submission 104	85282	Yes	
Submission 105	85206	Yes	
Submission 106	85226	Yes	
Submission 107	85044	Yes	Why is Arizona less equal?->-And->-We are a tech state.
Submission 108	85016	Yes	
Submission 109	85283	Yes	
Submission 110	85226	Yes	
Submission 111	85044	Yes	
Submission 112	85021	Yes	Thank you for your efforts on behalf of us desert dwellers/voters. (Note that the sentence above should read "among the seven basin states." Between two; among three or more. Thank you.)
Submission 113	85048	Yes	This is a critical issue that needs to be addressed before it's too late
Submission 114	85012	Yes	should be based on population / housing / farming. NOT political agenda
Submission 115	85283	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 116	85045	Yes	
Submission 117	85008	Yes	
Submission 118	85016	Yes	Let's not have Data centers and winter lawns
Submission 119	85284	Yes	
Submission 120	85226	Yes	
Submission 121	85021	Yes	
Submission 122	85224	Yes	The overall water issue is dire enough without adding inequitable sharing of resources.
Submission 123	85373	Yes	
Submission 124	85281	Yes	
Submission 125	85281	Yes	
Submission 126	85282	Yes	
Submission 127	85201	Yes	
Submission 128	85226	Yes	
Submission 129	85048	Yes	
Submission 130	85284	Yes	My history has faded but is it true that a long time ago AZ had more rights to the Colorado..then somewhere along the way someone broke an agreement...like I said, this memory has faded..have you ever checked into the long history of the Colorado River and Colorado and Arizona...just asking for a friend
		Yes	
Submission 132	85285	Yes	
Submission 133	85202	Yes	
Submission 134	85288	Yes	
Submission 135	85281	Yes	
Submission 136	85201	Yes	Arizona got its name from "arid" and "zone" so the need to have adequate water is obvious. Arizona is also growing in population that is outstripping the current levels of water availability. I get it that water is necessary to all the States enjoying the benefits of Colorado River water, and that rainfall has been in short supply for an extended period (long-term drought the East Coast doesn't understand at all). The need for distributing the available water is crucial, but there should not be a stranglehold on available water by one of the affected States over another.
Submission 137	85226	Yes	I have passive rainwater harvesting for my landscape. I'm very water efficient. We all have to sacrifice and make smart choices. Everybody needs to share in the cuts
Submission 138	85018	Yes	
Submission 139	85212	Yes	
Submission 140	85048	Yes	
Submission 141	85016	Yes	Arizona now has the second highest population of states in the colorado river basin. We deserve a fair shake.
Submission 142	85012	Yes	Thought there was a representative from each of the 7 States and they worked out an equitable solution, why the change. Are States rights meaningless now?
Submission 143	76001	Yes	Water in Arizona has always been an issue and a potential crisis. Cuts in water in Arizona would greatly rise costs for each Arizonan. We need a fair agreement to ensure each state on the river can benefit equally and fairly.
Submission 144	85226	Yes	It seems only fair if the seven basin states share the Colorado River, then that share should be equal among all of the states involved.
Submission 145	85283	Yes	The cuts should be equivalent to the population. We should all get the same amount per person in the state.
Submission 146	85044	Yes	
Submission 147	85048	Yes	We are one of the hottest states in the summertime. We have an elderly generation living here. We need the water and it needs to be affordable. Do what you can Representative Stanton to preserve our rightful share. Thank you.
Submission 148	85016	Yes	
Submission 149	85048	Yes	
Submission 150	85021	Yes	And the federal government, in conjunction with the Basin States, should fund massive ocean desalination projects to augment supplies in the southwest.
Submission 151	85284	Yes	Equal distribution would be fair. Quit building Data Centers
Submission 152	85204	Yes	I have lived in AZ for over 20 years. Why should our state have more cuts than other states using the same water resources.
Submission 153	85018	Yes	Continue to include the big picture impacts to the river. -br/>Climate change is real.-br/>Hotter temps.-br/>Bigger winds, drying out dessert areas.-br/>Want to keep the Colorado flowing for generations to come!
Submission 154	85281	Yes	
Submission 155	85016	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 156	85284	Yes	
Submission 157	85018	Yes	Despite previous court decisions and state and federal agreements regarding water distribution, due to the unprecedented water shortage in Lake Mead and Lake Powell, all states must make a sacrifice that is equal and benefits everyone. Although the CAP my previous takes larger cuts in times of shortages, now is time to have the states and federal government intervene to ensure water distribution is fair and equitable.
Submission 158	85268	Yes	
Submission 159	85044	Yes	colorado river wate should be equally distributed between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River.
Submission 160	85224	Yes	I agree with GREG
Submission 161	85226	Yes	I think there's an arithmetic algorithm that could project a distributed-use analysis based on population, agricultural, geography, industrialization, and recreational-use metrics (I'm sure there's more, but I'm no expert) that would serve all affected parties. Is it too much to ask?
Submission 162	85283	Yes	AZ is growing very fast. If the state doesn't get what it needs at a minimum, we need a Plan B. Water desalination plant?>-br/>More storage capacity for run offs? More restrictions on water use for everyone proportionately? Restrict or limit crops that use too much water? Temperature is only going up so it's a good idea to start anticipating all of these factors.
Submission 163	85288	Yes	
Submission 164	85283	Yes	With the substantially reduced flows on the Colorado River and a dismal future forecast, the current dictates for how water is apportioned are no longer viable. Arizona has been a responsible and responsive steward of the water it receives with substantial conservation efforts and leaving large amounts of water in Lake Mead. It is time for the Upper Basin States to do their share.
Submission 165	85202	Yes	
Submission 166	85282	Yes	
Submission 167	85283	Yes	
Submission 168	85226	Yes	Yes! The sharing of, and the caring for the Colorado should be split fairly and reasonably. Partisan politics has NO PLACE here.
		Yes	Colorado River water needs to be shared between upper and lower basin. California needs to harvest their water and put the needs of people above fish.
Submission 170	85016	Yes	Arizona, and the Colorado River, serve a vital role in this country as a major agricultural provider, especially as one of the few places that can grow winter produce in the continental U.S. If Arizona is disproportionately impacted by these necessary water cuts, it will economically impact all Americans, including those in the upper basin states. The Colorado River is a resource for all, and should be protected by all the states who rely on it.
Submission 171	85224	Yes	When driving in farmland between Phoenix and Tucson, I see a lot of hay being grown. Seems like we should be growing this water intensive crop where there is more water.
Submission 172	85281	Yes	If AZ residents water increases this year, and neighboring states to share well they need to be charged a rate & lower AZ rates
Submission 173	85251	Yes	
Submission 174	85224	Yes	I would welcome reasonable (investigated) changes, curtailments and even restrictions placed on all statewide commercial & residential real estate development
Submission 175	85048	Yes	With each passing year bringing warmer temperatures, and amidst a historic drought, the southwestern US is especially vulnerable to water shortages. Please do not base the needed cuts to water on politics. Water reductions should be equitably shared among the seven states receiving Colorado River water. Arizona is no less important than the other six states that depend on this critical (but diminishing) water source. Thank you for considering my thoughts.
Submission 176	85282	Yes	I hope and would expect that a renegotiated Colorado River Compact will mean more flexible and science-based management. It most certainly will mean less water than what AZ has been receiving with possible restrictions coming and considerations made regarding new building, data centers, etc. Tribal water rights also need to be considered and exercised if at all possible. These are difficult decisions in the making and appreciate your continued input for Arizonans. Amen to all your points to Secretary Burgum.
Submission 177	85202	Yes	
Submission 178	85282	Yes	Arizona is a desert state and reliant on water. Senior citizens retire here for the climate and their health. If we are to continue as the convalescent home of the USA we need water for those citizens. Also certain plants do better here than other parts of the USA-Yuma, the lettuce capital. So some consideration has to be given to the uniqueness of Arizona's location and assets that we provide.
Submission 179	85226	Yes	
Submission 180	85044	Yes	based on population, no farmers, no data centers, no corp america
Submission 181	85224	Yes	Cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River. Each one of these have need to be held to the same standard and do their part.
Submission 182	85202	Yes	We do not like the new data centers that have been built in the Phoenix area. They have increased our water needs and citizens are the ones paying the cost. Please adjust allocations so that Arizonans have enough water for the current needs.
Submission 183	85202	Yes	
Submission 184	85226	Yes	Agriculture uses the bulk of water in the desert and should start to be curtailed. Data Centers make no sense at all here, but they're springing up nonetheless. The 'Law of the River' is an enigma, and cuts must be equitable. Water rates in Phoenix Metro area are starting up. A disaster.
Submission 185	85716	Yes	
Submission 186	85044	Yes	
Submission 187	85210	Yes	
Submission 188	85284	Yes	
Submission 189	85226	Yes	
Submission 190	85048	Yes	
Submission 191	85016	Yes	
Submission 192	85020	Yes	
Submission 193	85226	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 194	85282	Yes	
Submission 195	85048	Yes	At least equitably based on a population (per capita) basis. With AZ expected growth, we'll need all the water available, and then some.
Submission 196	85048	Yes	
Submission 197	85045	Yes	We absolutely deserve equitable split between all 7 states. We should also look to limit use for commercial data centers and prevent further depletion of our precious water. Arizona deserves the same as other states and we should work together to find a fair deal between all. Thank you
Submission 198	85283	Yes	Yes. The cuts should be distributed equitably between the seven states
Submission 199	85283	Yes	
Submission 200	85283	Yes	
Submission 201	85288	Yes	My yes vote says it all
Submission 202	85224	Yes	
Submission 203	85014	Yes	Not as huge as this issue but don't allow landlords to install in-unit wash and dryers to every new apartment complex. I mean does a 1 bedroom and studio really need their own in-unit washer and dryers? I get this is a gimmick to increase rent but other renters others than Section 8 renters should be allowed affordable 1 bed room apartments with basic, really basic living. I don't need these landlords buying \$300-\$500 stoves to cook my \$10 meals. It's a lot and the fact they could get away with charging renters a lot is just absurd. Also I think this probably ties in with the water issue. I'm just concerned about water and rent issues here.
Submission 204	85210	Yes	
Submission 205	85044	Yes	In addition to an equitable plan for shared water use, Arizona needs to come up with strong, realistic plans for future growth. Developers must not be allowed to buy their way around local water requirements. We need more housing, but there must be plans for how to provide water for all residents AND farms/businesses.
Submission 206	85201	Yes	It's only fair that everyone has to reduce water usage.
Submission 207	85013	Yes	The "me first" or "my state first" will only hurt each of us and help none of us. Water is a basic matter of life and death. Each of us must be willing to give as well as take. (And I say this a person with a swimming pool in my back yard which very well may require more than it's fair share of water especially during our ever increasing hot months.)
Submission 208	85045	Yes	
Submission 209	85016	Yes	
Submission 210	85014	Yes	I understand that water needs to allocated and that each state must do what it can to reduce it's total usage by implementing water saving policies with consumers, but no state should be penalized or treated in an unequal manner. Also Arizona doesn't have the upside for water as a state like Colorado may have.
Submission 211	85201	Yes	Inspectors should enforce and monitor for excessive water waists Gym showers that flow to highly Water sprinklers that are watering the sidewalk not the lawn Program to assist mini hot water heating elements so water is not run a gallon or more on cold days to get to the kitchen or restroom ect...
		Yes	
Submission 213	85257	Yes	
Submission 214	85016	Yes	Water in Arizona is our future. Sharing Colorado River water equitably ensures a better future for our children and grandchildren.
Submission 215	85201	Yes	We should ALL consider ways to save water.
Submission 216	85226	Yes	
Submission 217	85016	Yes	
Submission 218	85281	Yes	
Submission 219	85020	Yes	This is an important issue for all Colorado basin states. California can use desalination. Arizona is located almost 100% in the basin and needs its fair share for native Americans, the environment, people, agriculture and industry. Please make this a priority.
Submission 220	85048	Yes	
Submission 221	85020	Yes	
Submission 222	85018	Yes	Equitably is the fair way to do it. We are at the bottom of the chain and need just as much as those States above us
Submission 223	85225	Yes	Why are signs in the Central Valley of California asking Governor Newton to quit dumping their water in the ocean? Are they being honest and good stewards of the water they take?
Submission 224	85283	Yes	
Submission 225	85251	Yes	
Submission 226	85345	Yes	Keep the Federal Government out of the individual states businesses and how the individual states interact. This is not regarding the entire country so keep the MAGA and republicans from destroying our earth, environment, and welfare more than they have already started to destroy. I do not like the government stepping in where it is a States Right to deal with other States without the federal government. That goes for Voting rights also! Keep the greedy from destroying our country more! Thanks for letting me rant.
Submission 227	85284	Yes	Arizona should get a fair deal
Submission 228	85281	Yes	
Submission 229	85288	Yes	The cuts should be shared evenly and we should ABSOLUTELY STOP selling our groundwater to Saudi alfalfa growers for pennies on the dollar.
Submission 230	85044	Yes	
		Yes	Are there any other options for water such as capturing rainwater in underground reservoirs being considered by states or conservation suggestions during summer months, ie. Perhaps HOAs , residential, commercial businesses don't water grass everyday and try to water before 8am or after 7pm during Jun-Sep.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 232	85224	Yes	
Submission 233	85225	Yes	
Submission 234	85283	Yes	There needs to be more equity among the states as we try to solve this problem
Submission 235	85224	Yes	I know development for housing is important but should Arizona cut back on having so many new subdivisions going up ?
Submission 236	85201	Yes	
Submission 237	85020	Yes	All state need to work together equally share the restrictions.
Submission 238	85045	Yes	
Submission 239	85206	Yes	Speed up the process of getting water to AZ from the Gulf of Mexico
Submission 240	85044	Yes	
Submission 241	85226	Yes	
Submission 242	85635	Yes	I believe all the states should partake equally. It's bad enough we are fighting all these corporations bringing in data centers to drain the water as fast as possible. We are in a desert and we don't need any data centers, we need to safeguard the water we have and other states should be fair by sharing in the equity of the Colorado River water.
Submission 243	85224	Yes	
Submission 244	85020	Yes	
Submission 245	85226	Yes	
Submission 246	85281	Yes	If the others won't share, remove the AZ side of the dam on the Colorado River
Submission 247	85224	Yes	I answered yes to share but not equally. I say that because we are faced with 1000' of new home/apartments and a substantial increase in new data centers that use higher amounts of water. We are the desert after all. We should receive a particular %%% over the other regions. We here share our nuclear power, what do we get in return? Greg , stand strong on this matter please. Thank you for your service for us all. ???????
Submission 248	85282	Yes	It should be done fairly, justly, and honestly to serve ALL people involved.
Submission 249	85225	Yes	Look at water usage in AZ and the population for the years 2020-2023. Compare to 2024-2025. Compare all states in the same timeframe. make adjustments. Halt all new building construction. Finish what buildings which have been started then stop all construction. Compare population. We have apartment son every corner and houses on tiny small lots There is way Too many people moving into Arizona and too close. We cannot loose our farming community-
Submission 250	85284	Yes	
Submission 251	85224	Yes	
Submission 252	85044	Yes	The issue of water conservation and distribution is a concern for me, as a citizen of Phoenix, AZ. We do our best to conserve water but this is a much greater issue that needs to be addressed with equal distributed among all 7 states. PLEASE continue to represent your constituents and our state for fairness from the Federal government in this regard. We should not have to bear the major water burden!
Submission 253	85281	Yes	Arizona needs to prioritize it water to serves its communities and people, we need to limit corporation sucking up water for data centers or foreign countries farming high water cost crops at our expense.
Submission 254	85283	Yes	
Submission 255	85284	Yes	
Submission 256	85284	Yes	
Submission 257	85283	Yes	
Submission 258	85224	Yes	
Submission 259	85226	Yes	The history of California getting lots of the water should not prevail. As AZ contributes to the total, we should not be forced to take unfair cuts. We need to keep enough to support our farmers and cities.
Submission 260	85225	Yes	Whatever happened in the past to result in the upper basin to use less shouldn't matter going forward. The lowers in a share will be taking big cuts, but it still seems reasonable to share.
Submission 261	85044	Yes	Given Arizona's water supply issues which could get worse, why are so many cities opting to ignore the existing supply shortages in favor of approving water guzzling "data centers". Arizona, with its current and future water issues, should not be approving future development of these centers until future water supplies are finalized or data centers are required to provide their own sources for water!
Submission 262	85283	Yes	Equality for all!!
Submission 263	85225	Yes	I believe that an equitable distribution of the Colorado River water is the best way to address this issue
Submission 264	85044	Yes	
Submission 265	85203	Yes	
Submission 266	85048	Yes	
Submission 267	85012	Yes	
Submission 268	85284	Yes	Cutbacks should not be disproportionate. Nevada should be cutback the most since it has half the population of Arizona. Cut California 20%, cut Nevada 24% and only cut Arizona 8%. Thank you.
Submission 269	85044	Yes	Arizona has been a source for others to water-farm from our groundwater resources. I believe that Arizona should retain receiving our appropriate share of Colorado River water.
Submission 270	85142	Yes	
Submission 271	85614	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 272	85226	Yes	
Submission 273	86336	Yes	
Submission 274	85288	Yes	Indigenous tribes who's ancestors lived on these lands should have priority say over how the water is shared
Submission 275	85281	Yes	All surrounding states have a responsibility to share this natural resource. We need to work together for the good of all.
Submission 276	85204	Yes	
Submission 277	85203	Yes	We have too much development for the amount of available water
Submission 278	85258	Yes	I'm no expert but population, additional available resources, conservation plans and future growth should all be included in decision.
Submission 279	85284	Yes	Maybe the cities could take steps to require HOA's that LOVE green to not plant winter rye. In our HOA it is mostly weeds but, hey, they are green. Also this could help reduce areas of standing water that attract mosquitoes.
Submission 280	85257	Yes	
Submission 281	85224	Yes	
Submission 282	85281	Yes	There should be a plan for conserving water so that each of the states can get their fair share. Going to the future, there should be a plan on how to conserve water and make the most out of the rain and or snow that we receive during the winter. No plan, then disaster.
Submission 283	85257	Yes	Start funding desalination plants immediately. Build more reservoirs. Cover canals (with solar). Evaluate and remove waste. Recycle all water. Here's a thought- Create a corridor along I-40 to the Mississippi River, Pump solar power east and flood water west. Trade power for water.
Submission 284	85014	Yes	
Submission 285	85205	Yes	It seems obvious that water should be allocated to meet populations, and should be allocated on documented needs.
Submission 286	85284	Yes	
Submission 287	85257	Yes	They keep building and building without regard to water supply. Take care of our people now.
Submission 288	85210	Yes	Water is a crucial resource for the continued growth, both population and economically. Communities and cities are actively continuously planning for the future, looking at water conservation methods, predicted usage, encouraging industry to be more sustainable, but it is not fair or just to take away the complete allocation of the Colorado river. It will starve Arizona's momentum and decimate the economics.
Submission 289	85044	Yes	I think Cuts should not be equally divided by seven, but according to population and perhaps a 10-20 year projection. I have often wondered if I should stay in Arizona due to big concern over water. Without adequate water, our life, health and property values will plummet.
Submission 290	85282	Yes	
Submission 291	85281	Yes	Arizona is the driest and needs the water for its development plans such as becoming the hub for semiconductor industry. We promise to reduce residential pool development to save water.
Submission 292	85044	Yes	If the states or Fed government doesn't want to split equally for all its citizens in the affected states then they need to pay for desalination plant in the sea of Cortez to offset what they take away.
		Yes	
Submission 294	85282	Yes	
Submission 295	85201	Yes	The construction of AI Data Centers or any other high water or electricity consuming businesses that have no benefit to the residents of Arizona should be banned immediately. Billionaires should be the FIRST to sacrifice for the public's benefit, not the other way around. Politicians who are still seeking population and business growth for AZ are short-sighted, to put it kindly. When people are killing each other over water will be too late to start doing what we should be hard at already. What I'm saying is simple, common sense that any logical person will say. Will you say it in congress for us, Rep. Stanton? Thank you.
Submission 296	85283	Yes	
Submission 297	85201	Yes	
Submission 298	85326	Yes	Yes share equally.
Submission 299	85143	Yes	
Submission 300	85213	Yes	In addition to the fair allocation of the water resources, proactive water conservation and protection measures should be taken. This includes installing solar panels over the canals to reduce evaporation and generate electricity, address needless automatic sprinkling systems on public property that has no recreational use, provide incentives to homeowners to not have real grass lawns - much like is already in place in Henderson, Nevada.
Submission 301	85014	Yes	this is not a fair deal for arizona. where did "they" come up with this plan???? we have these AI plants going in that will already take up most of our water and we the public are expected to pay for this! i call foul! i use less water and my bills have already skyrocketed. i do not want to pay for others usage
Submission 302	85202	Yes	
Submission 303	85282	Yes	
Submission 304	85048	Yes	
Submission 305	85225	Yes	California also needs to manage water better with less agricultural waste. Building data centers in Arizona does not make any sense d/t their water use.
Submission 306	85225	Yes	Equitably not equally. Allocation should prioritize basic needs (drinking water, agriculture) based on population growth as well as environmental needs. It should not support industries that don't make sense for the region, like data centers in the desert. Conservation efforts should impact allocations as well, states that do more to protect the river and surrounding ecosystems get more.
Submission 307	85045	Yes	
Submission 308	85284	Yes	
Submission 309	85282	Yes	Add to this the need to keep any more AI Data centers out of Arizona or any where near the Colorado River. These are not needed and do so much more harm than any possible good.
Submission 310	85284	Yes	The one state that has the easiest path toward supplementing their fresh water with desalination is California. For this reason California should be the first to take cuts. The rest of us do not have desalination as an option.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 311	85379	Yes	
Submission 312	85213	Yes	We have grown so much over the years that we differently need our water. I know we are not the only desert state but come on our expansion is huge.
Submission 313	85226	Yes	I'm in total agreement that we must do things that is fair to all. There's no justification for unfair distribution of water.
Submission 314	85016	Yes	
Submission 315	85048	Yes	
Submission 316	85207	Yes	
Submission 317	85710	Yes	
Submission 318	85044	Yes	All seven states need to cut back on water usage equally. Limit the number of swimming pool permits and yard irrigation. Also a cut back on golf course irrigation to.
Submission 319	85281	Yes	
Submission 320	85205	Yes	
Submission 321	85207	Yes	
Submission 322	85215	Yes	
		Yes	By equitably I mean by population numbers served. Less population means less water allocated.
Submission 324	85213	Yes	I think it should be divided by the percentage of the states population to the total population based on the most recent census.
Submission 325	85284	Yes	
Submission 326	85210	Yes	
Submission 327	85205	Yes	EVERYBODY should share the same pain & suffering regardless of where the resources originate or currently reside.
Submission 328	85213	Yes	
Submission 329	85390	Yes	
Submission 330	85207	Yes	All states need to be vigilant, but there won't be anything left for anybody them included equal amount and that still might not be enough other ways to get water need to be worked upon before it's too late so yes equal on all states
Submission 331	85396	Yes	
Submission 332	85201	Yes	
Submission 333	85284	Yes	I feel expansion of housing should be curtailed in areas that did not previously have housing. I also feel we have way to many warehouse buildings which may be used for data centers or ICE detention Centers. I am opposed to both choices. Data centers will use water and electricity that is needed by present residents. We do not want our rates up for the benefits of the rich who own them. And No No No to any type of detention centers.
Submission 334	85224	Yes	We need to be more proactive in conservation and developing programs like Nevada has for water conservation, like their Water Patrol and Water Waste Investigators, who patrol and enforce water conservation laws and issuing warnings and fines for things like broken sprinklers, over-irrigation, or illegal grass watering. They have reducing water consumption over 30% since implementing these programs.
Submission 335	85018	Yes	
Submission 336	85205	Yes	Based on population as one factor. The other factor is contribution to economy in the agricultural sector. 50/50 weight for each factor.
Submission 337	85048	Yes	Not only fair share but based on today's flow rates not what they were 100 years ago.
Submission 338	85202	Yes	
Submission 339	85016	Yes	Yes, Water should be distributed based on the current population...Thanks for asking your constituents and being responsive to us.
Submission 340	85044	Yes	
Submission 341	85225	Yes	
Submission 342	85282	Yes	At the same time we need incentives to reduce our water consumption and making high volume consumers (I.e. data centers) pay their fair share.
Submission 343	85204	Yes	
Submission 344	85244	Yes	
Submission 345	85016	Yes	
Submission 346	85016	Yes	Water is a commodity, but also a life source needed by all people. While we all recognize the need to conserve and use all our resources wisely, we also know that we need water to survive and flourish. It seems that fair and equitable cuts and distributions would be the only reasonable solution. No one group should have an unfair advantage over all.
Submission 347	85282	Yes	I am grateful that Maricopa County seems to have a well-managed water supply, but I have concerns for other parts of the State especially Indian country and other counties where management and supply are not so good. Please do what you can to get equitable distribution. Thanks for your effort on water needs and on other issues where you have stepped forward.
Submission 348	85255	Yes	I believe that we must impose more water restrictions for AZ residents. Part of this plan should be the eventual elimination of grass lawns and a paring back of grassy areas on golf courses. I also believe that water rates should be increased if we are serious about conserving water. Lastly, we MUST start restricting the development of these huge apartment complexes. This will also head off a tremendous problem with highway traffic. Thank you!
Submission 349	85284	Yes	I believe the cuts should be equitably distributed between the seven states, but am sure there are other factors that need to be considered. I do not have much information about this issue, but appreciate that you will study, find impartial issue experts and find fair solutions. One idea that I have heard recently is that here in Phoenix, there was a project that placed energy producing solar panels over canals and not only were they able to produce energy, they reduced evaporation and saved water. California also has this opportunity, so strategies must consider not just how much to cut, but also ways we can make this valuable resource stretch. Thank you, Julie Morrison Tempe AZ

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 350	85281	Yes	
Submission 351	85021	Yes	
		Yes	We recently moved to Mesa after being full time RVers for eight years. We have been hearing about Arizona's water woes during that entire time. We all must work together to conserve Arizona's water resources.
Submission 353	85210	Yes	Perhaps putting a hold on data centers and any other out of bounds use.
Submission 354	85226	Yes	
Submission 355	85226	Yes	Multiple areas CA. diverts river between Parker and Havasu alignment not counted towards CA. allotment must be shown! If CA. wont do cuts and stop stealing river we should close Glen Canyon Dam gates to a trickle. Also, Gov. Hobbs is worst negotiator. Last meeting she claimed we would give up 27% of our water, not 1 oz. from others. Keep her out!
Submission 356	85203	Yes	Water needs equal distribution and with the Arizona heat, it is all the more critical for the well-being and safety of the AZ citizens.
Submission 357	85226	Yes	Multiple areas CA. diverts river between Parker and Havasu alignment not counted towards CA. allotment must be shown! If CA. wont do cuts and stop stealing river we should close Glen Canyon Dam gates to a trickle. Also, Gov. Hobbs is worst negotiator. Last meeting she claimed we would give up 27% of our water, not 1 oz. from others. Keep her out!
Submission 358	85204	Yes	
Submission 359	85206	Yes	We need to dive deep in Blue Ribbon Coalition and follow their Colorado River Abundance Act. THis clearly lays out the redistributing of the water and rights. We also need to 100% stop overseas farmers from growing alfalfa in our desert and draining our aquifers. This is flat out insane. THeY use 100% of our water, have no regulations on how much water they take and then ship it out of the country for use there. Time to stop that. also see in southern california, that canals coming off the main river are not lined with concrete but just dirt. We need to realize that the colorado river does not deliver 15 MAF of water each year. The upper and lower basins splitting 7.5 MAF. That clearly came from an average of some of the best snowpack / water years in our database. We are lucky to see the Colorado deliver 10 MAF of water. And yet we allow everyone have hand in the piggy bank reservoir that is overtaxed and is running dry. This does not include the millions of acre feet that are taken from the aquifers that is unregulated. YES I am very passionate about our water and especially in the lake powell / mead recreation area. This is a massive revenue generator for the city of page and without the lake, Page becomes a ghost town. \$635 Million in 2024. That's way too much to pass up on and say its only for water storage, not recreation. Not to mention the million of dollars that are into houseboat that sit on the lake. We need to invest into our lakes and upgrade our marinas, boat ramps, mid lake fuel stations. Revenue will follow. Plus the amount of power that Glen Canyon dam creates. Were not far off from deadpool. THis need to be resolved ASAP.
Submission 360	85044	Yes	Sharing equitably does not mean sharing equally by state. Needs need to be considered based on population concentration, climate, and commitment to preserving the water supply for this area. A failure to consider any one of at least these three factors Is doing a tremendous disservice to all affected. Political favors should be among the least of the considerations made.
Submission 361	85044	Yes	We need to replenish lake Powell and lake mead. Replenish our aquifers in Arizona. Use federal funds to Pay for desalinization plants for California so the rest of the states can use their share of the Colorado River. Remember 90 percent of the country's produce comes from Yuma and the Colorado River during the winter months. It is Vital that we prioritize our country's well being through food farming and the Colorado River.
Submission 362	85202	Yes	Everyone needs to do their part! AZ needs to take a strong stance and insist that other states do their part.
Submission 363	85014	Yes	Obviously, we must prioritize the residents who already live in Arizona and prepare the voters for water rationing.
Submission 364	85208	Yes	
Submission 365	85204	Yes	
Submission 366	85206	Yes	We have already taken our more than fair share of cuts. The cuts need to be across the board. Furthermore, we need to build a desalinization plant for Mexico so they can give up their share of the Colorado River. Thank you.
Submission 367	85225	Yes	There is no reason why we should have to take bigger cuts than surrounding states. Our population and industry continue to grow. These cuts are going to hurt everyone and we should all have to share in that equally.
Submission 368	85283	Yes	We are the hottest with severe l/t drought! Arizona is only going to get hotter and dryer! We should take the least hit! FIGHT! FIGHT! FIGHT!!!!
Submission 369	85224	Yes	Population numbers should & must dictate! AMEN DON DeSanti-Arizona
Submission 370	85283	Yes	The American southwest is radically different today than it was when the original water agreement was put into place: no static agreement can be expected to optimize resources when the country has experienced such dramatic evolution. The lower basin states, including Arizona, contribute more in population and economic power than the upper basin states combined, despite the lower basin states being fewer in number; and forced unequal cuts to the lower basin states would have significant negative social and economic impacts nationally. The upper basin states should take part in the cuts to ensure the load does not fall unequally on the lower basin states and to prevent these negative downstream consequences.
Submission 371	85225	Yes	
Submission 372	85374	Yes	
Submission 373	85288	Yes	
Submission 374	85213	Yes	
Submission 375	85020	Yes	
Submission 376	85288	Yes	
Submission 377	85045	Yes	All living things need water to survive. How they get that water varies, but in most cases, liquid water is required. We Arizonans have learned to be more conservative of water resources over the years, but continue to increase the need for more water through population and industrial growth. The time has come to stop the growing need for more and more water, and to seriously consider a moratorium on any further population growth in the desert Southwest (including Arizona). There appears to be no end in sight to the expansion of housing developments into areas without sufficient water supplies on site to support them. This only stresses the existing water supply and drains underground aquifers even further. Without the surface water provided by the Colorado River, much of our farmland would be unsustainable (think Yuma). Maybe a drastic reduction in Arizona's allocation of Colorado River water is what is needed to stop the excessive growth in population, housing, and industry that seems to continue unabated as long as there's money to be made. I'm ready to sell my house and move somewhere that its residents have more concern for their environment than is apparent here in the Valley of the Sun. Let's hope it doesn't come to that...
Submission 378	85020	Yes	
Submission 379	85201	Yes	
Submission 380	85225	Yes	Due to global warming, Arizona's water needs will undoubtedly increase. Due to a great number of people moving (and voting) here, no doubt to avoid the horrific tornadoes and bomb cyclone snow storms, again caused by global warming, Arizona's water requirements will rise astronomically. The fair and just resolution would be to keep Arizona's allocation static and assure the other states that their sacrifice will not be in vain.
Submission 381	85143	Yes	
Submission 382	85225	Yes	Lean on the advice of scientists.
Submission 383	85383	Yes	
Submission 384	85283	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 385	85226	Yes	Yes. Equal seems only fair. How else could you decide?
Submission 386	85202	Yes	
Submission 387	85044	Yes	I think we should outlaw data centers in Arizona since they use much too much water.
Submission 388	85044	Yes	We all need to cut back, and we all need to deal with the necessary and fair cuts. The upper basin states need to recognize the rights of downstream users.
Submission 389	86326	Yes	
Submission 390	85044	Yes	
Submission 391	85051	Yes	
Submission 392	85205	Yes	
Submission 393	85206	Yes	
Submission 394	85044	Yes	
Submission 395	85024	Yes	
Submission 396	85201	Yes	
Submission 397	85224	Yes	Water distribution from the Colorado River has been a critical issue for DECADES. Poor planning and lack of coordinated mitigation efforts among neighboring states hasn't happened and it's now a crisis. Please don't allow partisan pettiness to dictate the viability of Arizona. All 7 states should protect and distribute this water equitably.
Submission 398	85282	Yes	
Submission 399	85204	Yes	It is the only fair way to do it. With the intense summer heat water is survival.
Submission 400	85014	Yes	
Submission 401	85282	Yes	Distribution must be fair and equitable. Period.
Submission 402	85215	Yes	
Submission 403	85204	Yes	
Submission 404	85215	Yes	We are already loving in a desert state. Its dry and we do not produce our own water. We need to have a fair deal. Equality. God's given blessing to humans should not be negotiated to an unfair conclusion. I hope consideration and justice is served in the right way. Thank you!
Submission 405	85282	Yes	
Submission 406	85202	Yes	
Submission 407	85021	Yes	I assume 'equitably' means data driven fairness. My fear is that this administration will use their power punitively and politically to address unfounded, perceived slights of the past. A purplish state with a Democratic Governor and two Democratic Senators is, quite frankly, screwed.
Submission 408	85281	Yes	The water should be shared on some determined, equitable basis. However, I do believe there are long standing legal agreements giving the upper basin states first rights. Those states do seem eager to renegotiate those terms.
Submission 409	85281	Yes	I absolutely agree with the letter written to the secretary of the interior. While Arizona may be willing to make cuts, we also need to ensure our water security more than practically anything else. This affects lives and health as well as critical industries the rest of our country depend on. The upper states need to take clear, measurable actions to ensure an equitable and transparent reciprocation of all states involved so that we can all benefit as one nation.
Submission 410	85249	Yes	We All need water. Equal is a must.
Submission 411	85283	Yes	
Submission 412	85283	Yes	Arizona deserves its fair share of water and not be punished further.
Submission 413	85210	Yes	Certainly we need the water but for years other states have imposed water restrictions while there are no real restrictions in Arizona. Are we really thinking we deserve water when we have squandered it in AZ?
Submission 414	85204	Yes	
Submission 415	85015	Yes	I completely agree that the upper basin states need to devote their attention and funds to preserving the health of the colorado river for themselves and the others states (and mexico and the tribal nations) that rely on this vital water supply. From a simple numbers perspective ignoring all of the nuances of which I am probably not aware, I'd think that each state should receive the portion of water that represents the population in that state (e.g., CA 60 %, AZ 15%, etc.). Anything less, or a shift to favor one or more state over the others, seems unfair. Provide each state the percentage of the water that they have proven they need. Again, I don't know much about this issue, but what I described seems the most reasonable approach.
		Yes	Arizona needs to have water usage restrictions for everybody NOW. Get rid of the golf courses.
Submission 417	85021	Yes	And I have an irrigated lot which is part of my property right. This needs to be protected too.
Submission 418	85282	Yes	
Submission 419	85284	Yes	The politics in Washington has become childish. The lies, secrecy and the backstabbing needs to stop immediately. Both need to remember who they are representing. There not to represent big business. They have been voted in office to represent the citizens of this entire country. The Vietnam war was to help big business while our brave American soldiers came back in body bags. Making America great again is to stop the lies of who's actually paying the tariffs. The American people are. I and many Americans are waiting to see if the American government will do the right thing like the British did regarding Epstein. We all feel the rich and famous are wrongly being protected.
Submission 420	86326	Yes	AZ must pledge to stop using any CO River water to water landscaping; cover aquaducts with solar panels to reduce evaporation and provide low flow toilets, shower heads and faucets to low-income families. With these concessions, we deserve a fair share of CO River water.
Submission 421	85213	Yes	
Submission 422	85119	Yes	No new data centers and other industries that require massive amounts of water and restrict new home construction.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 423	85282	Yes	It would be helpful to prioritise and take seriously any reports of water wastage, cracked or burst pipes work on tightening up response times, consider it 'emergency'. I see so much evaporative waste from sprinklers at midday. Promote awareness campaign to have everyone only irrigate after sundown. Prioritizing shade trees and drought friendly desert plants.
Submission 424	85206	Yes	I believe that the seven states should share equally when it comes to the water situation. I know that some states have more metropolitan areas which are experiencing building booms and therefore draw more water but the states that have more rural land also are using more water for cattle and farm fields. Although my water bill is one of the smallest of my utilities and costs monthly, I can't afford to have a 25% increase for that bill! These days I am, like everyone in this country, feeling the pinch and wondering how I'm going to cover my bills. I never thought I would have to decide to not fill a prescription for medication because I need to cover my utility bills that month. I don't like to have outstanding debt but I am needing to cover things in different ways and my water bill has already gone up quite a bit! I try to conserve as much as I possibly can and considering that I've cut back in so many ways my bill still keeps creeping upward because of water costs. I resent these big corporations coming into my area and building massive warehouses and administration buildings and using precious resources. I know... Where else are they going to go and it does bring in jobs and other revenues to this area. It's mind-boggling to think of the amount of water that's necessary to keep these facilities running.
Submission 425	85206	Yes	
Submission 426	85379	Yes	I think Arizona has done excellent work on innovating water management and utilization. States like Utah and California need to explore better management options rather than defer to relying on their geographic location as a right to do less.
Submission 427	85224	Yes	
Submission 428	85282	Yes	
Submission 429	85281	Yes	We live in a desert and although many developers came in and made more homes for Californians and other folks to live here, we should not have done such a foolish thing when this planet is in a major crisis of overheating!-br/>And that overheating coupled with too many humans is sapping up water supplies.-br/>Our planet is in a drought!-br/>And so we need to conserve our water supply!-br/>That being said, let's share the Colorado River water evenly not inappropriately.-br/>Fair is fair! Who decided that Arizonans should not get their fair share?-br/>Such a thing is preposterous, and down right wrong!
Submission 430	85281	Yes	Equitable is the key, isn't it? Not equally among the states, but equitable. Hope you have been talking with Tom Bushotski our state's water person. Being fair is going to be hard. Good luck.
Submission 431	85283	Yes	Water and access to water should be an inherent right for everyone who lives here. Rather than cutting people's access to water, shouldn't we be holding companies responsible for using less (e.g. data centers, golf courses, alfalfa farms)?
Submission 432	82001	Yes	Equitably based on population makes sense to me. Some states have been using water so they can have green lawns and shiny cars. Water is for humans and animals. I've heard some folks are growing hay, that can then be exported to the Middle East, so those people can use it to feed their pet horses. I think maybe some common sense should prevail.The Western US has been in a drought. It's past time to rethink how we use our Colorado River water.
Submission 433	85281	Yes	Water is THE issue facing many parts of the US - especially here in Arizona. Conservation needs to be increased everywhere. Creative solutions should be investigated (low water use irrigation systems are expensive - but if cities pay farmers to install these, that could free up some water - the farmers cannot afford such systems, but cities, which want the water, should look at financing such systems).
Submission 434	85202	Yes	Chip manufacturers are opening plants in our area and their water use will further strain our water shortage. I am concerned for our future supply and wonder how much this will impact our children. It seems that our city leaders are not planning ahead for our state when they allow this possible water supply shortage.
Submission 435	85048	Yes	AZ basin is a huge part of the Colorado, with nearly all of the states watershed feeding into the Colorado it makes little sense that AZ would face disproportional cuts, especially compared to CA which contributes very little to the river but has historically taken a huge cut of allocations. I realize that on a national level it's much more complex but AZ should not bear the brunt of cuts.
Submission 436	85282	Yes	As more and more water consumption is being consumed by other sources, its more important than ever that we begin rationing the water of the Colorado, ensuring the flow stays strong for generations to come. But every state that shares from the same pond should also agree to the same restrictions, lest our rationing be a moot point entirely.
Submission 437	85024	Yes	Maricopa County needs to restrict water use for certain uses on different days. My family moved to Phoenix in the 50's and I remember people couldn't water their lawns or wash their cars except on certain days. I know the climate has dramatically changed over the decades, but certain restrictions that may not be popular could be instituted.
Submission 438	85226	Yes	Arizona supplies nearly 80% of the US leafy greens during the winter. That level of agriculture requires a great deal of water and reliable water. If the federal government were to deeply cut Arizona's share of the Colorado River Water, the effects would be felt across the nation, not solely in Arizona. Please stress to the decision makers that a reduction in Arizona's access to Colorado River Water will negatively impact all Americans.
Submission 439	85215	Yes	
Submission 440	86413	Yes	
Submission 441	85224	Yes	Arizona is in the worst position with our water always in jeopardy. This is not a partisan issue, and politics have no place in a decision as this. We need a fair and equitable share of the water.
Submission 442	85282	Yes	
Submission 443	85226	Yes	
Submission 444	85203	Yes	We need restrictions on foreign farming, AI Data centers and other water drainers. Water in restaurants should only be offered if asked for. Educate children to turn off water while brushing teeth, etc. I am severely disappointed that AZ historically provided water to out of country farmers, data centers, and distribution centers, then taxed, and increased water costs to residents. We have been abusive towards what little water we have had then made the residents pay the price. I understand why neighboring states may not want to share with a gluttonous state. Providing AZ shows the 2026 changes and sinches the water supply to corporations and makes residents the priority instead of billion dollar data centers, then it should be equally shared. Residents should have the ability to provide water to their families, gardens and pets/stock first! Existing Large Corporations using large amounts of water should pay more including admin, labor and consumption fee hikes and be monitored that if they go over then they are severely penalized. There should be no more water guzzling corporations permitted to build in AZ! Also, municipalities need to incentivize the rain harvesting for residents but also put caps on construction permits if infrastructure does not allow the increased demands.-br/> In the past these things were pushed through so fast that the residents did not even know what was coming up, let alone have a say in it, so THANK YOU for not only notifying us but welcoming feedback! Good luck. -br/>Respectfully,-br/>Adrienne Coffinger
Submission 445	86005	Yes	
Submission 446	85203	Yes	There are ranchers and farmers who have acres of farmland, trees and other agricultural crops and depend on the water. There's no logical reason why Arizonans should take a lesser amount of water than the others. Be equitable!
Submission 447	85205	Yes	maybe commercial users should pay a larger rate than households.
Submission 448	85201	Yes	everyone, including farmers (drip irrigation) should participate in smart use of water
Submission 449	85213	Yes	Scary situation we find ourselves in however, something needs to be done ASAP !!!
Submission 450	85204	Yes	Climate change is real and everyone needs to do their part to protect the health of the planet which includes the river. Department of Interior should do their job to protect and manage natural resources; not cave to a political agenda that rejects science and common sense.
Submission 451	85257	Yes	
Submission 452	85281	Yes	Climate change is real. Ignoring it is at our own peril. Washington politics won't make it go away and any further delay in dealing with it and its underlying causes will have devastating results.
Submission 453	85225	Yes	
Submission 454	85206	Yes	While I think it should be fair, az is one of the hottest states. There are many factors that should go into determining how much water is allocated to us, but az should not be taking the biggest cut. That does not make sense, especially during our summer months.
Submission 455	85210	Yes	
Submission 456	85203	Yes	We need restrictions on foreign farming, AI Data centers and other water drainers. Water in restaurants should only be offered if asked for. Educate children to turn off water while brushing teeth, etc.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 457	85204	Yes	
Submission 458	85021	Yes	Because water is such a limited resource, it is not something that we can create in a factory, the distribution should be equal among the states because we all share the same problem and water should not be used as a reward for any political party. It should be distributed equally among all states no matter their political persuasion.
Submission 459	85302	Yes	
Submission 460	86314	Yes	I chose YES, but I don't know much about what the water is used for and which states use it more wisely or which ones waste more of it on unethical things like tech facilities (data centers, AI centers), ranching/cattle/factory farms, factories, bottled water factories, swimming pools, golf courses, watering non-native landscaping plants & trees, irrigation for non-organic crop farming, etc. vs. how much is used for simply living. Since the human population is outgrowing the water supply, the most logical solution is to limit the human population size and say no to new roads, houses, housing projects/developments, building projects, etc. and charge more for water to the breeders and give a discount to the child-free people. Make breeders pay a child tax for any child they create, give people a tax credit for adoptions and to those who are child-free. Humans all over the entire planet need to start doing things like this. There really isn't a water shortage. The real problem is too many humans. -br/>Also, simply raise the price of water. If water becomes expensive enough, people will conserve it. Humans are very spoiled and entitled. You have to force them to do the right thing. -br/>Ideally we shouldn't be taking any water from the rivers at all. We shouldn't even be living in a freaking desert, either. -br/>Even if AZ gets a fair deal with the other states involved, without population control it will simply be a temporary fix that inevitably will again need fixing and then again and then again, until we reach the point where there are literally too many humans and not enough water. It's math and logic. It's reality. The ONLY real solution is to permanently limit & restrict the number of humans who can live in a given area.
Submission 461	85283	Yes	Why wouldn't it be even
Submission 462	85209	Yes	
Submission 463	85260	Yes	
Submission 464	85224	Yes	Water is necessary for life. Without it we are looking at collapse and migration. While the Colorado is a significant water source we should be looking for other sources. We spend millions on oil and gas infrastructure like distribution lines. We need to do the same with water.
Submission 465	85215	Yes	
Submission 466	85382	Yes	
Submission 467	85226	Yes	I believe that all of the states that rely upon Colorado River water should definitely take cuts in their water allotments. I think we need to remember that in addition to the cities that rely on the water, the Navajo (and perhaps other) reservations, which were there long before the cities, rely on that water also. I also think that we need to curb building and growth until the issue is resolved.
Submission 468	85282	Yes	
Submission 469	85204	Yes	Deeply concerned! The water must be shared and portioned based on current and projected future population spread.
Submission 470	85207	Yes	
		Yes	Please distribute the water based on population need; not politics! -br/>Bob
Submission 472	86323	Yes	All seven states must share the burden of conservation since the river's declining flows affect everyone. Equitable sharing is essential to prevent a crisis, especially as Lake Mead and Lake Powell approach dead pool. The Upper Basin's water use does not absolve it from responsibility in a system where shortages are inevitable.
Submission 473	85224	Yes	
Submission 474	85139	Yes	In the Pinal Aquifer, where I live, wells are already going dry and having to be redrilled. Over 180,000 new houses are already platted in our rural area. We are not happy about this, but developers keep coming back and asking for even higher density. When we have NO water left, our property values will be decimated and there will be no going back. Ag to Urban is a HORRIBLE bill that is removing farmland and turning it into houses on the false narrative that houses use less water than Ag. In fact, the people in those houses still have to eat (AG) and wear clothes (Ag) and will ALSO consume water. We can not continue cutting water to Ag meet the needs of the families who will live here. Food is national security and Pinal County is the SECOND most productive ag county in the NATION. We need our water!
Submission 475	85282	Yes	All parties have to address this issue as equals, with no preference given for prior rights.
Submission 476	85044	Yes	7 Sates plus any tribes that are affected
Submission 477	85213	Yes	
Submission 478	85390	Yes	
Submission 479	86315	Yes	
Submission 480	85044	Yes	Of course shares should be distributed equitably, but the pie has shrunk for everyone. There needs to be more action to reduce water usage. We're offering development that we don't have the water to sustain long term. Very short sided.
Submission 481	85224	Yes	
Submission 482	85207	Yes	Yes. -br/>Arizona has already taken significant cuts and invested heavily in conservation, groundwater management, and water reuse. Any future reductions to Colorado River water should be distributed equitably among all seven basin states based on current population, economic dependence, and demonstrated conservation efforts — not outdated agreements from a century ago. -br/>At the same time, Arizona is continuing to grow and attract water-intensive industries, including large data centers and advanced manufacturing facilities in the Phoenix metro area. As we negotiate future allocations, we must ensure that industrial expansion aligns with long-term water sustainability. New developments should prioritize reclaimed water, high-efficiency cooling systems, and transparent reporting of water use. -br/>Arizona residents and small businesses should not bear disproportionate cuts while other basin states avoid comparable responsibility. Long-term solutions must include shared sacrifice, conservation, infrastructure modernization, and realistic planning for prolonged drought. Dear Representative Stanton, -br/> I want to thank you for your steadfast defense of Arizona's water security. While I support your calls for the Upper Basin states to share in the burden of mandatory cuts, I am writing to urge you to champion a supply-driven management system as the primary path forward for our state's future. -br/> -br/>The current "fixed-allocation" model, established over a century ago, is a relic of a wetter era. As Arizona navigates historic population growth amidst a drying climate, we need a system that reflects hydrological reality, not political promises. A supply-driven model—based on "Total System Contents"—offers several critical benefits for our district. -br/> -br/> Honest Accounting: It ends the friction between the Upper and Lower Basins by linking water deliveries to actual reservoir levels. If the water isn't in the system, it isn't released. This creates a predictable mathematical framework that protects Arizona from the "emergency-of-the-month" style of management. -br/> -br/> Rewarding Stewardship: Unlike the "use it or lose it" mentality of the past, a supply-driven system allows Arizona to bank credits for water we conserve. This incentivizes us to be the best stewards of the river, knowing that our "savings" today provide a security buffer for tomorrow. -br/> -br/> Catalyzing Local Action: Adopting this model sends a clear signal to our local municipalities that every gallon counts. It provides the necessary political and economic leverage to accelerate high-impact conservation, such as large-scale de-turfing and advanced water recycling. -br/> -br/> -br/>In this spirit of conservation, I believe we must address the "elephant in the room" regarding Arizona's urban water use: golf courses. As of early 2026, the Phoenix metropolitan area remains home to nearly 200 golf courses. Recent data suggests that while the industry has made strides in efficiency, golf courses in Maricopa County still consume approximately 29 billion gallons of water per year. -br/> -br/>As climate change pushes Phoenix toward an average of nearly 50 days per year above 110°F, we must ask if maintaining thousands of acres of ornamental turf remains an equitable use of our shrinking Colorado River allocation. While these courses provide recreation, the sheer volume of water required—often 30 times more than modern data centers on a per-acre basis—is increasingly difficult to justify as we ask families to remove their lawns and farmers to follow their fields. -br/> -br/>Representative Stanton, please continue to push for an equitable seven-state agreement. However, I ask that you prioritize a system that values long-term resilience over short-term political wins. Arizona's growth depends on us accepting a supply-driven reality today so that we don't face a dry tap tomorrow.
Submission 483	85224	Yes	
Submission 484	85224	Yes	Arizona can't afford to lose anymore water. I urge our law makers to equally divide the water rights between the states so Arizona isn't left out to dry.
Submission 485	85288	Yes	I think there should be less golf courses and resorts. Those arguably take up most of the water usage in our state. We need more of the water distributed throughout our communities, especially rural areas. And get rid of the alfalfa fields for the foreign countries that also use a lot of water. Instead, turn those fields into fresh crops for our local communities.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 486	85226	Yes	
Submission 487	85205	Yes	Water should be distributed according to agricultural needs.
Submission 488	85308	Yes	
Submission 489	85205	Yes	Yes, Arizona should have equal rights to our precious limited water supply. While that statement or sentiment stands 100% with me, how could I possibly trust our current administration to a fair, responsible outcome.
Submission 490	85205	Yes	
Submission 491	85226	Yes	
Submission 492	85283	Yes	We need to be good stewards of water, and address shortages in a fair and just way with adjoining states affected by climate change, population growth, and technology demands.
Submission 493	85224	Yes	
Submission 494	85045	Yes	Other states should also do their part to preserve our river and water.
Submission 495	85210	Yes	
Submission 496	85282	Yes	Arizona and our families cannot afford to disproportionately sacrifice our precious, natural resources. Especially as the nation's warmest state. This matter is very concerning.
Submission 497	85012	Yes	
Submission 498	85021	Yes	Over the five generations my family has been in AZ, we watched as our weather heated up, forests burned up and rivers and lakes dried up. We have been miners and farmers and devoted caretakers of our state's natural resources. -br/>In spite of the best efforts of us and others, our single most vital natural resource is literally evaporating. Fair is fair, and all who use what's left of our water resources should share in the precious and limited supply.
Submission 499	85012	Yes	
Submission 500	85201	Yes	
Submission 501	85044	Yes	
Submission 502	85045	Yes	
Submission 503	85226	Yes	
Submission 504	85014	Yes	
Submission 505	85201	Yes	I do believe that there should be state policy around water restrictions. From home building to data centers, fossil fuel energy generation, mono farming, etc., all states are over allocating water under the banner of economic development. All states need to rethink runaway development. Climate change is causing warmer weather (90 degrees on Feb 26) water evaporation of the CO River, man made lakes at risk of dead pool; ending hydropower. Sharing the CO River among 8 states not sustainable - period! All these states must make sacrifices. Not just Arizona.
Submission 506	85016	Yes	
Submission 507	85008	Yes	it is about time we stand our ground, so the saying goes, and take what is rightfully ours, some of those 7 are the most wasteful, e.i. Los Vegas, we need our water, it's bad enough we now have AI and their threats to take millions of gallons of water to just start up, then we now have a detention center that will house 1500 and the water needs are tremendous, so lets keep our water, let the wasters and pro-trumpsters go without
Submission 508	85201	Yes	
Submission 509	85283	Yes	Current agreement outdated and yes all should be looking at cutting back on usage! Also all should share in a fair distribution of needed resources of water and power*. This includes nuclear* power and improving of current sites or new sites for this resource. AZ already has 3 plants, why not make it 4 and maybe 5?
Submission 510	84741	Yes	It's only fair that water is divided equally..
Submission 511	85224	Yes	
Submission 512	85016	Yes	
Submission 513	85204	Yes	
Submission 514	86327	Yes	Why should AZ take the deepest cut? It should be fair and equal.
Submission 515	85021	Yes	The seven states are in this together and all need the water from the Colorado River. The right thing to do is for everyone to do their part and have the cuts shared equitably among the seven states.
Submission 516	85215	Yes	The entire river system is experiencing drought conditions and all 7 states, which includes the Upper Basin, should equitably share in distribution cuts to protect the long-term health of the Colorado River.
Submission 517	85018	Yes	
Submission 518	85225	Yes	
Submission 519	85016	Yes	
Submission 520	85225	Yes	
Submission 521	85016	Yes	
Submission 522	85020	Yes	I am saying yes, "cuts should be distributed equitably" without really knowing what that entails, and I don't know where to go to learn that. Certainly, as you say, the stakes are very high. Obviously, without water; Phoenix and the surrounding areas will become uninhabitable.
Submission 523	85225	Yes	
Submission 524	85016	Yes	Every state should get even share of water.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
		Yes	
Submission 526	85044	Yes	Stop adding data centers and other microelectronic manufacturing.
		Yes	It should be distributed evenly.
Submission 528	85020	Yes	It seems like it should be shared equitably, which doesn't necessarily mean it is equal. It should be possible to determine the population as well as the amount of agriculture that would be affected for each state to determine necessary percentage use. Each state should be required to propose what they will do to adjust water usage as a result. What requirements will they enforce to their customers.
Submission 529	85044	Yes	I would be a maybe if that was an option. Don't really feel like I have enough information to make an informed decision -- I realize this is a complicated issue and would urge you to get the best advice possible. I totally agree with getting to a fair deal and also agree that the Upper Basin states must do their part.
Submission 530	85016	Yes	
Submission 531	85048	Yes	
Submission 532	85016	Yes	
Submission 533	85224	Yes	It is only right that water cuts be dispersed evenly among the Seven states. If anything, Arizona probably needs more water than most states being that it is a desert state.
Submission 534	85045	Yes	Is there a way to calculate how much each state contributes to farming and agriculture and ensure these water needs are being met? Water for aesthetic purposes should be cut first.
Submission 535	85018	Yes	
Submission 536	85213	Yes	Steps must be taken to educate water users (residential especially) on measure to conserve. Pool covers, artificial grass, personal habits, et al.
Submission 537	85016	Yes	
Submission 538	85048	Yes	Arizona needs to be treated fairly as we also need to show respect for the water conservation in these times. We have to show we have been making good decisions about conserving water. We deserve a fair deal. Thank you.
Submission 539	85013	Yes	I wrote "yes" regarding whether cuts should be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River. I believe that water allocation amounts should be based on current populations, historic growth patterns, and economic "needs" (with qualifications). I don't see state boundaries as being nearly as important as access to water by the people who actually need the water. I think some sort of equation should be devised that takes these things into account. However, if the cuts are not distributed equitably between the states, there should be some sort of funding that allows the disadvantaged states to mitigate the discrepancy.
		Yes	We must refuse to let water be wasted for agriculture that is not helping Arizona, rather use it for production of produce used and sold by Arizonans. It should not be wasted on internet project cooling and other similar projects. We need water here to LIVE. We should also be more cognizant of the many ways we citizens waste water such as lawns in median strips and other such places. We need to convert housing areas to desert adapted landscaping and reserve lawns for back yards and parks. We can do so much better!
Submission 541	85045	Yes	Can we also work with all the Basin States and DoI to develop additional long-term water solutions, such as desalination infrastructure and long-distance water pipelines from other states?
Submission 542	85016	Yes	
Submission 543	85201	Yes	Unfortunately Arizona has a lot of water waste, largely in HOA landscaping and golf courses. While some cities offer programs to encourage HOAs to decrease their grass, I was shocked at how many cities do not. Arizona has had plenty of opportunity to reduce the amount of water wasted in these areas, and I feel that it has not been taken seriously. Perhaps forcing less available water will finally make people realize that we really do not have water to waste. In this case I would suggest higher water billing rates for HOAs about an established % of grass, have all cities require turf-reduction benefit programs and give incentives for HOAs to install xeriscape landscaping.
		Yes	I think the entire concept of water rights needs to be redefined for modern times and not something set in stone for all of eternity or 100's of years. I am a native born and raised, also a scientist and engineer. My father had no small part to play in the safe development of Phoenix and the southwest and worked for the city of phoenix for nearly 40 years in development and planning and was responsible for huge part of electrical safety worldwide. I know how bad things are behind the scenes with the lobbying and bribes and favors to do things that should not be done. I know its gotten worse and republicans made it that way for selfish greed. We also need to be more responsible about the use of that water in the states and the massive waste for agriculture and industry that is better grown elsewhere (at more cost for other reasons) without damage across the entire southwest. Times have changed, the entire landscape has changed. We live in a very unique ecosystem, we cannot live without water. I do not want to see saguaro's go extinct and other things. I've seen so much loss of biodiversity, not just do to climate change, but because of how we have allowed these resources to make a very small number of people very wealthy, often from other countries not even local residents. Between the absurd cost of electricity (also due to politics) and need for profit and the absolute loss of the beauty and diversity of the southwest over my life time due to climate change and development, we need to secure the future of our state and put in massive oversight, regulation, and penalties that actually matter, not just cost of doing business, but ones that shut down those businesses until it can be done responsibly without massive future damage and abuse to this state we all call home and loved.
Submission 544	85257	Yes	
Submission 545	85020	Yes	The Lower Basin states have all made significant efforts to reduce water usage and increase conservation. Arizona certainly has done this. The Upper Basin states need to be a part of this solution as a deadpool Colorado River hurts all of the Basin states. These states depend on each other in many ways and a solution that includes everyone will help all. We need to find a way to work together now, because this undoubtedly won't be the last time for all to make additional sacrifices.
Submission 546	85044	Yes	
Submission 547	85251	Yes	
Submission 548	85018	Yes	
Submission 549	85044	Yes	
Submission 550	85020	Yes	We all must make the health of the Colorado River and its tributaries the priority but shared in that mandate is the need to lay aside old and outdated ideas and differences of opinion and devise a workable plan that benefits all of the states.
Submission 551	85044	Yes	Even better if the one sidedness of this last year provides Az a bigger share going forward at least a year
Submission 552	85018	Yes	
Submission 553	85028	Yes	
Submission 554	85014	Yes	Every state that uses water from the Colorado river needs to do its part to protect the health of the river. We all need the water and need an equitable plan from top to bottom from the northern watersheds to Lake Mead.
Submission 555	85016	Yes	
Submission 556	85020	Yes	But what is the "equitable numbers" based on? Population? Acreage? Future growth? Past growth? Farming? Not farming? Yes, we should always shoot for "equitable", but we should also consider where are we wasting water? Microchip plants? Farming for other countries? It must all be considered, and addressed. Thank you!
Submission 557	85210	Yes	
Submission 558	85048	Yes	Arizona should receive the same proportion of water as other states that share the CO River. If federal legislation is required to help AZ maintain appropriate water levels, so be it. Please do not cut off this important resource.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 559	85044	Yes	
Submission 560	85018	Yes	The importance of equitable distribution of water from the Colorado cannot be overstated! Once the federal government gets involved in the decision and the distribution becomes inequitable there will be no going back.
Submission 561	85014	Yes	
Submission 562	85282	Yes	Arizona is a very dry state. We already experience drought very frequently. We can't afford to have less access to water reserves.
Submission 563	85210	Yes	Based on population or political favoritism?
Submission 564	85257	Yes	We need water as we are in a desert
		Yes	Cuts should be in proportion to population size and density among the states. Arizona needs to be more conservative in its water usage. We still have too many green lawns, foliage from the Midwest and East, and water intensive industries.
Submission 566	85304	Yes	We should also STOP building new homes and apartments all over Phoenix if we don't have enough water to serve the current customers. Enough already! WHY should current customers have to pay more due to additional ridiculous building?
Submission 567	85215	Yes	
Submission 568	85210	Yes	Hello Stanton, Please raise prices on basic water needs. This is our prized possession, and should be priced highly. thank you including me in your survey!
Submission 569	85201	Yes	The water rights should absolutely be shared equally among all seven states. Thanks
Submission 570	85204	Yes	If cuts must happen, it is only fair the cuts be distributed equitably among all states who benefit from Colorado River water. To do otherwise would unnecessarily burden states with the greatest need, cause unforeseen harm to the infrastructure and industries that support State and Federal economic initiatives and reverse progress in areas such as aerospace and microchip technologies. Approving any plan which does not safeguard against such damage would be politically irresponsible.
Submission 571	85257	Yes	I believe independent companies/entities should stop developing more housing, and inviting half-time 'residents' to this state without requirements for investing in our depleted infrastructure. Water, power, crops, cattle and so much more are being swept away for recreational facilities and living spaces that aren't appropriate for a desert environment like ours. The greedy are drinking or wasting all the water, and the hard-working people making it flow are left parched. If this reaches the point of no return, and it is coming, there won't be much of a state left to manage.
Submission 572	85224	Yes	
Submission 573	85204	Yes	Arizona has been in a drought since 2000. Water management is essential.
Submission 574	85224	Yes	
Submission 575	85044	Yes	It is important that the seven basin states share the Colorado River. Thanks for standing for this!
Submission 576	85048	Yes	Dear Congressman Stanton, As a Phoenix resident and Democrat voter for over 40 years, I appreciate your request for comment. In that time, I've seen both considerable growth in the Valley and increased weather uncertainty. It was close to 90 degrees today, February 26th, and most summers we see more dust than rain during monsoon season. It's therefore unsurprising that the water needs of all states in the Colorado River Basin are in serious peril. Whatever the cause, it is only right that all 7 states shoulder a fair amount of the burden, so that we can all survive and thrive.
Submission 577	85257	Yes	Water from the Colorado River supports the lives of everyone that comes in contact with or near the river. Our river is drying and supplies will need to be conserved from all states and countries using the river. It would be impossible to directly cut water in equal distributions. Population, environment, and potential hazards should be considered in this process. This means that states such as Utah, which has the largest consumption of water from the Colorado, should have to participate in regulations and cut back on water consumption such as Arizona which has had much of our grass replaced with stones to help with the progress. We must do something before we come to a point where water is being rationed, regulated, and even turned off completely for days without warning like our neighboring country.
Submission 578	85045	Yes	I think it would be fair if all users shared the same % cut and re-adjust the original Colorado River pact to reflect a more accurate water availability in the basin
Submission 579	85012	Yes	
Submission 580	85023	Yes	Study historical water usage in the seven states, including population changes. Consider changes in local economies related to farming and irrigation, golf courses, industry, etc.
Submission 581	85201	Yes	Protect our water, our species, our native communities and our residents. Keep it fair!
Submission 582	85206	Yes	
Submission 583	85202	Yes	This means proportions should be fair based upon amount of industry and population. Quite frankly I'm not happy that more apartments are still being built in E Mesa, Gilbert and Queen Creek with no regard for water supply long term and extra car pollution. Just because there is land doesn't mean turn us into southern CA sprawl with traffic and pollution and severe water limits and now tons of accidents which when I moved here 7 years ago was so much better than now. How about developers in each state are limited and held accountable too. Back to the question, it isn't fair to limit one state more if they can't give us legitimate reasons why that people find legitimate.
Submission 584	85205	Yes	
Submission 585	85945	Yes	Everyone must sacrifice equitably in order to fairly portion water supplies.
Submission 586	85048	Yes	I don't think this should be an issue decided by a department head or even executive in a single term situation. I do believe that the water situation should be more stable, not subject to whims of political parties and partisan games. Generally the population of the country should be considered, not the political power of a few or representing a few. States do not need to be pitted against each other any more than people pitted against each other.
Submission 587	85206	Yes	
Submission 588	85018	Yes	It is the only fair way to share the water - making the cuts equitable. It is that simple.
Submission 589	85008	Yes	
Submission 590	85016	Yes	Arizona has spent years figuring out and investing in water conservation. It is time that some of the other states in the basin do the same and quit punishing Arizona for doing the conservation work.
Submission 591	85224	Yes	I do not want Arizona to take the deepest cut from Colorado River water. However, I do believe this state must look at how much our landscaping is requiring water that could be otherwise used. Perhaps a little more desert and quite a few less bushes/trees?
Submission 592	85248	Yes	Not all states that use the water from the Colorado are equal in terms of population. Water can and should be distributed according to population needs and potential population growth. This may in fact mean that perfectly equal distribution could be detrimental to some states and less necessary for others. This must be taken into consideration when fighting for water rights.
Submission 593	85213	Yes	I'm watching this closely. If AZ takes the brunt of the cuts, I will sell my house and leave the state.
Submission 594	85204	Yes	All affected should do their part to conserve and develop innovative ways to share this valuable resource and make up the difference.
Submission 595	85048	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 596	85226	Yes	
Submission 597	85224	Yes	Water conservation and education are a part of AZ life from the time kids are in grade school. We all have a responsibility to care for these precious resources. Arizona does so much already to conserve what we have. With our growing population, the snow bird population, and the tourist population, the cuts need to be equitable in the way are administered.
Submission 598	86314	Yes	I'm not sure if it can be equitably shared? Does it depend on the size of the state/community, bigger more? We can't forget how many wild animals need water too? We can't keep neglecting the environment and it's creatures that need water to survive?
Submission 599	85044	Yes	
Submission 600	85044	Yes	
Submission 601	85008	Yes	
Submission 602	85204	Yes	The decision cannot be based on historic agreements. The assumptions used for those historic agreements no longer exist. They are no longer accurate. Each state needs to shoulder, their fair share of the cutbacks. The February 14, 2026 letter to you from five members of Arizona's Congressional delegation regarding Colorado River water allocation amongst seven states is eloquently and factually stated. For certain, I can tell you Arizonans are becoming increasing alarmed at the diminishing water supply in the Colorado River, primarily brought about by over-allocation to some states in this region, particularly the upper basin states. Groundwater levels statewide are also diminishing. The risks posed by these problems are catastrophic. They can be avoided and must be avoided. Many individuals and organizations in Arizona, both governmental and in the private sector, for many years have been and are continuing to work diligently on drought contingency planning and water allocation solutions for both agricultural and municipal users in the state. Sufficient water, particularly in the Sonoran desert, is absolutely essential for the continued strength of Arizona's robust economy, its agriculture and its people, now and in the future. I urge Department of the Interior to effect fair and equitable distribution of Colorado River water for all seven basin states based on actual need, without deeply cutting Arizona's allocation, as is currently proposed.
Submission 603	85257	Yes	
Submission 604	85225	Yes	
Submission 605	85205	Yes	Equal amounts to all seven states is the only fair way. Each state has differing water needs. California has a lot of farming. Arizona a lot of desert land. Determining which needs are more necessary would lead to arguments resentment among the states. Don't give in to favoritisms. Divide the water use equally.
Submission 606	86327	Yes	We need to stop Arizona legislators who try to give away our water to developers and we need to do a much better job of explaining the water crisis to the general public. We should also not be allowing a Saudi company to raise alfalfa on our water and then ship their crops overseas.
Submission 607	85205	Yes	
Submission 608	85250	Yes	
Submission 609	85365	Yes	
Submission 610	85049	Yes	I believe the Colorado Tiver water rights should be shared equally among the 7 states and that the individual states should decide how to distribute within their state.
Submission 611	85260	Yes	Per capita!!
Submission 612	85205	Yes	We have gutters and rain barrels to collect water for our garden. We are very concerned about Arizona's water needs.
Submission 613	85715	Yes	Arizona for years has been on the conservation forefront. It is time for the other states to step up.
		Yes	
Submission 615	85378	Yes	I do agree with this proposal, but I also would like to see restrictions placed on the Saudi Arabian alfalfa farms, too. That would help our local farmers, ranchers, and cut down on our own water usage.
Submission 616	85016	Yes	
Submission 617	85226	Yes	
Submission 618	85257	Yes	The Valley continues to grow in population and water conservation needs to be a critical part of all Colorado river states. We cannot do this by ourselves
Submission 619	85224	Yes	
Submission 620	85048	Yes	No AI facilities in AZ if our water is cut! We should also stop further expansion of chip manufacturing in our state. Water resources need to be preserved not wasted on cooling for computers!
Submission 621	85018	Yes	
Submission 622	85259	Yes	Encourage residents to save water. No car wassing at home. Change lawns to artificiëler turf. Pay homeowners to do this. Short showend. Give away water Sabines shown Brad's. Thank you.
Submission 623	86336	Yes	
Submission 624	85224	Yes	Water is a natural resource that must be SHARED by all. A natural resource is not a political resource.
Submission 625	85225	Yes	Arizona should also take other action to encourage more desert friendly landscape in residential and community areas. Including, teaching home owners and community living companies how to safely capture and reuse rain water in an effort to reduce water usage.
Submission 626	85283	Yes	
Submission 627	85205	Yes	If the Trump administration does not treat Arizona equitably regarding Colorado river water rights, I will vote all Democrat in the coming elections.
Submission 628	85224	Yes	
Submission 629	85224	Yes	I reluctantly say yes. For decades Arizonans have worked to reduce usage and conserve water by using mandates for toilets and showers and individuals doing their part to reduce consumption. And now we're getting a bad deal out of all those efforts. But all states involved need to share fairly in what I see as starting over. We've grown quickly and it's not necessarily by choice and we need to be able to support the growth, yet continue to grow food for the nation, cotton, etc.
Submission 631	85205	Yes	
Submission 632	85203	Yes	I know with the continued growth, water will be very important. I'm also against data centers getting special treatment. I'm also against the foreign companies (farming) using unlimited water. Then sending the crops overseas, ie Saudi Arabia and anyone else.
Submission 633	85206	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 634	85226	Yes	Thank you for all the work you are doing on our behalf. We appreciate it.
Submission 635	85224	Yes	AZ has already shown good faith in making concessions.
Submission 636	85205	Yes	
Submission 637	85048	Yes	That is the fairest way . That way everyone get there fair share of water. We should not be the only one that has to take cuts. They should all take the same cut not the southern states only
Submission 638	85206	Yes	
Submission 639	85020	Yes	If it were the Arizona River, I'd say keep it all. But, it's named Colorado River. We should be kind to our neighbors.
Submission 640	85048	Yes	
Submission 641	85202	Yes	
Submission 642	85138	Yes	I believe Arizona gets the short end of the stick when it comes to Colorado River Water. I realize Colorado adds a lot to the rivers flow. But Arizona has a lot of land that drains into the river. I also believe we should help conserve water by getting farmers to go to irrigation using sprinkler systems and data centers to work hard to come up with a better way to cool their buildings. Pool covers, more xeriscape and fines for water wasters. We have known we were in a drought since the 1990s. Why have we waited until the bill is way past due.
Submission 643	86327	Yes	This is the hottest driest state. We need our share of water. Please do not take it from us.
Submission 644	85207	Yes	We live in the desert for gods sake. Arizona and our surrounding states need water, please please please protect the Colorado river
Submission 645	85021	Yes	I believe that all the states that depend on the Colorado river for water, the precious life-sustaining resource, should come to an agreement that is equitable and fair.
Submission 646	85374	Yes	
Submission 647	85213	Yes	
Submission 648	85203	Yes	
Submission 649	85204	Yes	Water is life, it should be shared equally amongst the states. CUTS should be SHARED EQUALLY amongst all states as well.
Submission 650	85202	Yes	Why should Arizona's share of the Colorado river be given to California because they cannot manager resources and squander what they have on illegal aliens
		Yes	
Submission 652	85248	Yes	There must some limits placed on the wildfire of new residential / commercial development with regard to the future implications of water demand.
Submission 653	85205	Yes	5 states, 5 equal shares. No state should get more at this point. Efforts should be made in each state to develop water storage solutions.
Submission 654	85044	Yes	Arizona needs water from the Colorado to be shared equitably between the 7 states. We have had high growth and lower than normal rainfall and snow in the past few years. We are ripe for drought and wildfires. It is only right that AZ should share equitably with all 7 states.
Submission 655	85213	Yes	It makes sense that everyone should share EQUALLY in the cuts to Colorado river water usage.
Submission 656	85215	Yes	
		Yes	
Submission 658	85206	Yes	I believe we are definitely in uncharted territory in regards to global warming. Everyone needs to contribute and bear the weight "equally" in regards to allocation of water resources
Submission 659	85203	Yes	Not equal but fairly
Submission 660	85284	Yes	We all need to play a part in reductions. Do it based on data, not past politics
		Yes	
Submission 662	85020	Yes	
Submission 663	85224	Yes	Why can't we have a big x shaped water pipe system that will run across the whole country and move excess water from one area to other areas in need.
Submission 664	85284	Yes	California needs to take on desalination plants with possible funding from the US federal government. Too, California must be held accountable for the mismanagement of water (i.e. Pacific Palisades debacle). The portioning of water is only a short-term issue and long-term solutions need to be implemented. Kicking the can down the road is not an option.
Submission 665	85224	Yes	We are willing to do our part , but just because other states have not dealt with those water conservation issues the way AZ we should not be put in a position where are water rights are in jeopardy.
Submission 666	85202	Yes	
Submission 667	85206	Yes	As a U.S. citizen, we should all be treated fairly with respect to our natural resources and when those resources become scarce, a fair sharing of the burden should be the goal. Undue reliance on legalistic interpretation may not result in an equitable result. There is a result that is fair and equitable, and a result that may be an equal split that does not result in fairness to all citizens. Last time equal power between states was demanded, it resulted in a civil war.
Submission 668	85340	Yes	
Submission 669	85283	Yes	We need water to survive the heat of the summer here in Tempe, Arizona. We do not get enough rain in this region to supplement the loses. Additionally, unequal cuts to our water resources would have an even higher adverse effect on our region due to the existing climate and lack of other water resources within the state.
Submission 670	85209	Yes	Everyone should share the water equally and no state should have more strict restrictions than others.
		Yes	
Submission 672	85202	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 673	85205	Yes	
Submission 674	85281	Yes	
Submission 675	85202	Yes	All 7 states share in this water... it should be fair for all seven states.
Submission 676	85281	Yes	As an Arizona resident, I believe any new agreement needs to be fair and reflect today's realities. Arizona has already stepped up with major cuts, and is a desert and should be taken into consideration, and responsibility should be shared equally among all the basin states. We also need stronger, long-term conservation efforts across the board to protect the river's future. Please share that fairness and shared responsibility are priorities for me when you speak!
Submission 677	85004	Yes	
Submission 678	85281	Yes	
Submission 679	85051	Yes	The upper basin states all have additional rivers and streams to get water from. California could increase work on desalination. Arizona has basically the Colorado River. The water needs to be divided equitably. The Southern basin states would probably be ok with providing some funds to fix the obstacles to desalination. Matter of fact with the extreme weather we are experiencing desalination may be the savior of the world especially as the oceans keep rising.
Submission 680	85044	Yes	Did you get this? Lake Powell will be Deadpool when it gets 160 feet lower than the 170 feet low that it already is. Citrus is down to 14% of what it used to be. Copper down to only 20%. News tonight ABC: Salt River basin is at 8%. Our temps are the highest ever.
Submission 681	85203	Yes	
		Yes	Dear Congressman Stanton, Thank you for your leadership on the future of the Colorado River. I appreciate your advocacy for Arizona as negotiations move forward. I share your concern that the current DEIS places a disproportionate burden on Arizona. While our state has committed to significant conservation efforts, any post-2026 operational plan must ensure equitable, basin-wide burden-sharing. The Upper Basin States should implement measurable conservation efforts comparable to those already proposed by the Lower Basin. I am also concerned about water quality in Arizona. In addition to water quantity challenges, many communities already face issues related to groundwater quality, salinity, and emerging contaminants. As supplies shrink, water quality can become even more concentrated and costly to treat. I hope future planning also prioritizes investments in infrastructure and water treatment to ensure safe, high-quality drinking water for all Arizonans. Arizona has done its part – we cannot carry this alone. Thank you for continuing to push for a fair and sustainable solution. Sincerely, Oli Nevinska
Submission 683	85205	Yes	Arizona must do their share. End flood irrigation, make more people output desert landscape sustainable yards. Anything we can vioularily do would help.
Submission 684	85204	Yes	Arizona deserves the largest portion of the water, and if any state should be taking huge cuts it's California. Their water management is abysmal: the worst water management in the United States. We need more desalination in California and elsewhere to make up the difference in water usage. I remember hearing of proposals for AZ desalination projects and I support them as well.
Submission 685	85205	Yes	Fair is fair!
Submission 686	85213	Yes	
Submission 687	85044	Yes	For starters, the population in Arizona is increasing as it is with other states. If they do this, the rates will go up higher for residents and businesses. The water here is already being compromised with the building of data centers. We need to preserve all the water we can. Telling taxpayers in the desert area of Arizona to do without is another slap in the face by the current administration.
Submission 688	85048	Yes	
Submission 689	85209	Yes	From the seven states that share the Colorado River, Arizona is number 2 in population and it is also the driest state of both in terms of lowest average annual precipitation and highest average temperatures. Arizona should not take the deepest cut just based on this basic information. The cuts should be based on the factors of population, irrigated farmland acreage, average temperature and average annual precipitation. Arizona is second to Colorado when it comes to irrigated agricultural acreage.
Submission 690	85044	Yes	Congressman, it is critical that the water needs of Arizona be front and center. Please do all you can to address this situation for us. Gerald
Submission 691	86305	Yes	Arizona's biggest cities are highly dependent on Colorado River water, as is much of Arizona's food production. AZ has already taken noticeable cuts to its allotment of the River's water, but will begin to suffer significant harm to its economy, population growth and food production if its share is disproportionately cut. (Think of winter supplies of lettuce, for instance—this would have a large effect on most of the country's winter vegetable supply, for instance.) Also, the state is widely lacking in any alternative water sustainable water supplies. Please vote for fairness: Arizona should not have to take disproportionate cuts.
Submission 692	85367	Yes	There are foreign companies in the Yuma area they don't have to deal with water problems because of the federal government
Submission 693	85224	Yes	
Submission 694	85210	Yes	
Submission 695	85224	Yes	
Submission 696	85048	Yes	1. AZ Tribal Nationals with unresolved water justice still. 2. Disproportionate climate risk. 3. Agricultural water use. 4. Historic injustice water law disadvantaged AZ has. 5. AZ water rights supports millions of people, infrastructure, food systems. We have already made major conservation investments, please see AZ as a part of the whole solution.
Submission 697	85283	Yes	
Submission 698	85208	Yes	
Submission 699	85048	Yes	All seven basin states must be realistic in their demands for a share of the Colorado River. The agreement that is eventually hammered should include realistic options and funding for how states will make up for their loss in allocated water from the Colorado River.
Submission 700	85020	Yes	
Submission 701	85048	Yes	
Submission 702	85225	Yes	
		Yes	
Submission 704	86305	Yes	Apparently developers, high water use industries (e.g. AI data centers), and local politicians either don't understand or don't care about what happens when demand exceeds supply.
Submission 705	85021	Yes	Agriculture is important as is residential water supply. No state deserves preferential treatment.
Submission 706	85202	Yes	I'm 62 years old and have lived here my entire life. I've watched this water shortage grow and grow. We need to do something to ensure the future of Arizona's water supply, not only for future generations but for Arizonans now. Thank you for being our voice. Tracy Holt
Submission 707	85201	Yes	I don't want to be the one to suffer the consequences of someone else's mismanagement; but I will share a burden and make sacrifices if the other parties are acting in good faith.
Submission 708	85282	Yes	The water should be split based on a few things: 1.) The amount of people currently living in the state 2.) the projected growth of said state 3.) the current amount of well water reserves already available in that state. To my knowledge, other states have more groundwater available than Arizona as it is. We're running dry, and one of the fastest growing areas in the nation. Cutting on our supply could mean disaster.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 709	85225	Yes	
Submission 710	85282	Yes	The water should be split based on a few things: 1.) The amount of people currently living in the state 2.) the projected growth of said state 3.) the current amount of well water reserves already available in that state. To my knowledge, other states have more groundwater available than Arizona as it is. We're running dry, and one of the fastest growing areas in the nation. Cutting on our supply could mean disaster.
Submission 711	85226	Yes	With more people and businesses moving to AZ, the needs for water are only increasing.
Submission 712	85028	Yes	Is there an analysis of populations served in each state by the river? Thinking that the water may be divided by percentages based upon populations served. Probably to simplistic, eh.
Submission 713	85207	Yes	
Submission 714	85204	Yes	I don't think we should let any new data centers to move in here. They use way too much water.
Submission 715	85355	Yes	We are the driest of all the states, I'm not implying we should have more but there are more and more people coming here every year The health of our beautiful and beloved Colorado river is important and anyone who uses it needs to protect it, keep them in check.
Submission 716	85282	Yes	
Submission 717	85206	Yes	ALSO - there still won't be enough water! We need to work on desalination from ocean water. [expensive, but necessary] Thanks, Rep. Greg for your concerns.
Submission 718	85282	Yes	Yes, reductions in water consumption should be shared equitably among all the seven states in the watershed of the Colorado River and Mexico on an equitable basis - preferably an equal percentage reduction by every state to reach a flow rate that gives Mexico its fair share of water.
Submission 719	85202	Yes	
Submission 720	85210	Yes	
Submission 721	85224	Yes	
Submission 722	85226	Yes	
Submission 723	85206	Yes	Everyone should take cuts equally, although it is difficult to determine this because of weighing both people and agriculture.
Submission 724	85204	Yes	Yes, but we must start enforcing current law about the overuse of water, especially with homeowners and business owners.
Submission 725	85225	Yes	
Submission 727	85257	Yes	If upper basin states do not want to share what water remains, perhaps they would prefer to sign on to a formula to contribute significant money to get new water from air and oceans to AZ.
Submission 728	85048	Yes	First I think we need to cut off data centers that are going to be a water such FOR SURE. Second, the more northern stars that produce snow should not need as much from the river.
Submission 729	85226	Yes	Arizona is a state with a growing population.It has a dynamic economy which supplies many other states in our Union. It is home to the Grand Canyon and the Colorado River which spans the state from north to south.I think since Arizona is a steward of such long stretch of the Colorado River they deserve an equal share of what they take care of.
Submission 730	85118	Yes	Please start acting like a law maker, and stop pandering violence, you make the laws that the trump admin enforces....just make better laws. Be a leader
Submission 731	85204	Yes	
Submission 732	85201	Yes	I would be curious to know why Arizona has missed every deadline set for determining distribution/use of Colorado river water. Very disappointed in our representation.
Submission 733	85215	Yes	Equitably should be a function of each basin state's percent resident population share of the total of the seven. Reclaimed water production should increase and be a significant water source for industry and agriculture.
Submission 734	85284	Yes	
Submission 735	85044	Yes	I believe that states with larger populations and more agriculture should be considered to receive more but as fair as possible. I don't know what that looks like but hopefully the smart people in the room will be able to figure it out.
Submission 736	85283	Yes	Suggest limiting allocations to users who currently receive unlimited water after down river allotments are filled. Also hope allotments for native peoples are not cut
Submission 737	85203	Yes	
Submission 738	85215	Yes	
Submission 739	85226	Yes	
Submission 740	86301	Yes	
Submission 741	85225	Yes	
Submission 742	85206	Yes	This decision shouldn't be based on politics and who's in charge in Wash DC we're talking about a natural resource that 40 million need to survive. The Colorado River compact of yester years is over that amount of water is no longer available for every 100 gallons of water available it needs to be divided equally among WY CO UT NM AZ NV CA and Mexico it's drastic but needs to be done.
Submission 743	85226	Yes	Keep working for and insisting that states hammer out an agreement among themselves and not relinquish this critical decision to the federal government.
Submission 744	85020	Yes	We need the water just as much as the states further up stream. Please do not give my personal details to anyone.
Submission 745	86326	Yes	
Submission 746	86404	Yes	
Submission 747	85224	Yes	It is only right that all users sacrifice equally. Arizonans need to be held responsible for wasting water through outside overwatering of lawns and vegetation. What happened to the water conservation campaigns aired during past decades? Local governments need to become involved in helping to educate communities. Arizona should not be home to industries needing excessive amounts of water to operate. And we need to cease leasing land for the growth of animal feed for another country.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 748	85225	Yes	
Submission 749	85205	Yes	Large new neighborhoods are constantly being built. I know this brings in revenue for the state, but we really need to think about our future and what we're going to look like in 25, 50, or 100 years. Also, we need to do a better job of incentivizing homeowners/businesses/cities to cut down on large green lawns (and, ahem, golf courses), whether by means of carrots or sticks.
Submission 750	85281	Yes	How will "fair" be defined. How will the original agreement and allocations be used and honored.
Submission 751	85225	Yes	
Submission 752	85310	Yes	Yes, Arizona needs and deserves a fair allotment of Colorado River Water that fits the needs of our growing population.
Submission 753	85248	Yes	Even Stephen from now until the end of time. The Colorado River plans are 100+ years old and need review, but it must be done to support residents of all states impacted evenly. And forget any promises to Mexico that are not achievable. Mandatory reductions in use and some conservation would be nice in all states. And why we need to pump water uphill so Tucson can exist and support 20 golf courses is absurd. Humans better get a handle on this limited resource soon, or there will be fewer of us to worry about it due to lack of hydration. If deadlines were missed, Greg, then be the first to step up and propose something that works for everyone, and Burgen will bite. Make it easy for him and AZ will come out in front of this. Good Luck Brother.
Submission 754	85201	Yes	Equitable water rights helps every state so why would any state get less than an other?
Submission 755	85021	Yes	Water cuts should be distributed equitably based not only on current usage, but also with consideration for: 1) conservation efforts implemented to date; 2) per capita usage; 3) industrial usage-to-benefits analysis; and 4) projected growth of both population and commercial development.
Submission 756	85283	Yes	The Colorado River is the major source of water for Arizona. New distribution decisions need to into account Arizona long drought, climate change, water tables continuing to fall-
Submission 757	85281	Yes	
Submission 758	85206	Yes	
Submission 759	85048	Yes	We need \$\$ to build and distribute reclaimed water vs treating it then sending it downstream. We should have a complete cycle, reuse policy and equipment.
Submission 760	85234	Yes	We all deserve equal shares
Submission 761	85283	Yes	
Submission 762	85283	Yes	Arizona continues to show a commitment to water conservation and if the rivers flowed the opposite direction, toward the upper basin states, I would like to think that Arizona would shoulder cuts equitably with all other states as well.
Submission 763	85297	Yes	I think Arizona needs more water due to low rainfall and hot and dry conditions
Submission 764	85284	Yes	We need the Colorado river. Not cloud seeding. Thank you!
Submission 765	85396	Yes	
Submission 766	85044	Yes	
Submission 767	85205	Yes	We need to safeguard our water so it is there for future generations. Arizona knows we need to use our water responsibly, but we can't do it alone. Other states need to use their fair share.
Submission 768	85203	Yes	All people are deserving of resources. No one person, region, state etc is more deserving than others.
Submission 769	85224	Yes	If we cut water, how can we keep building family homes. apartment buildings. Huge shopping business. We need to get our share and make smart decisions about our future. How much ground water is combined with the Colorado River for our state?
Submission 770	85274	Yes	There are way too many parks and golf courses that use up tons of water every day. Maybe we should eliminate some of these recreational spots instead or limit their water usage somehow. And the huge swimming pools that some hotels and motels use are really not necessary. They can be smaller.
Submission 771	85203	Yes	Az population is growing. People from Cali are moving here. Less water needs for Cali and more for az.
Submission 772	85044	Yes	We should not allow foreign owned farms take our water to grow hay that is exported to their countries. Data centers need to find a different source of water so not to continue to increase water rates for residential. Both of these entities need to pay higher rates to offset residential rates or build desalination plants for residential use in order for them to use other water sources. It should not be put upon residential to support all these businesses.
Submission 773	85213	Yes	Arizona is a desert!!! Look at total population in the cities and towns
Submission 774	85050	Yes	recognition should be given to those states doing the best job to conserve water.
Submission 775	85044	Yes	I believe that the Upper Basin States must do their part to preserve the health of the Colorado River and should first set out a plan on how they will fulfill that goal before Arizona agrees to necessary cuts.
Submission 776	85288	Yes	The population distribution has changed significantly since the original water agreements, so the agreements should maintain equitable treatment. Also, we should avoid industry that requires significant water supply, such as water bottlers that move water out of the state and, of course, foreign firms taking AZ ground water.
Submission 777	85224	Yes	Maybe some allocations could be based on other fresh water sources in each state. Arizona needs to stop leasing land to foreign entities that over use water without limits. Water allocation should be directly tied to water conservation. The more a state conserves, more water is allocated to that state. The opposite is also true: less conservation, less water. There should be built-in incentives to conserve water. I'm not talking about rebates, handouts or any of that nonsense. Water is too cheap. We should raise the cost by at least 100% to 200% for residential usage in each participating state. For commercial usage in each state, each business is allocated a finite amount. Exceed that amount and pay residential prices. If there's a surplus, that business can sell their surplus to a city at a profit. If the state has a surplus, that state can sell the surplus to another state at a profit. The profit is the built-in incentive - not some government handout. Instead of bickering about who gets the biggest share of water, the argument should be forced conservation. Water is not a commodity anymore. It has become an issue of survival. Each state should be given the power to regulate water on a state basis. Each state should be able to enact laws to prosecute any residence or business that abuses their water privileges. Storm water harvesting and interstate pipe distribution from state reservoirs would be a huge profit incentive for each state. Cheap and plentiful water for everyone should be goal. Otherwise, only the wealthy will afford it. That would be an economic disaster and a world I do not want to live in.
Submission 778	85226	Yes	
Submission 779	85201	Yes	
Submission 780	85284	Yes	
Submission 781	85202	Yes	Arizona is building massive apartments/condos in every major city. Who has been looking at the water issue? Who is in control of that? How is any cuts going to sustain this unprecedented growth we're having? I've already taken steps to control my use of water, perhaps we're going to need to implement water rationing soon, similar to what California has done in the past.
Submission 782	85284	Yes	
Submission 783	85306	Yes	We are a desert. We need lots of water. This cut could make life in Arizona really hard and as a native I don't want that.
Submission 784	85202	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 785	85014	Yes	
Submission 786	85281	Yes	No more data centers! AI will drink all of our water if we don't stand up to big tech
Submission 787	85284	Yes	All the states have been arrogant about their ability to retain their water rights. I recently asked the City of Tempe why they were not doing more to encourage water conservation, the response boiled down to "nothing to worry about, we are guaranteed a continuing supply." -br/>The city also told me that they have a water plan for sustainment. AS of about a year ago, they were at urgency level 0 of 5, which is basically: "try to use less water, please."
Submission 788	85048	Yes	I want to see Arizona agriculture taken care of as far as their water needs are required.
Submission 789	85282	Yes	
Submission 790	85353	Yes	
Submission 791	85053	Yes	
Submission 792	85283	Yes	
Submission 793	85283	Yes	Arizona residents should not have to bear a higher burden than the other basin states. Let's not let special interests or big money adversely affect the equitable distribution of this life giving resource.
Submission 794	85016	Yes	
Submission 795	85257	Yes	It is up to everyone to protect the health of the river, and we all use the water. The cuts should be even among the seven states.
Submission 796	85224	Yes	We probably need more water than Colorado, we are in a drought and don't need more commercial data centers to waste it !!
Submission 797	85257	Yes	Any deal made must be fair to all! Water resources in the West are definitely limited and drought is increasing. It is only fair that ALL 7 STATES should SHARE the Colorado River water EQUALLY. With so many millions of people needing water, we ALL need to conserve and change our habits. -br/>Maybe we need to ban grass along sidewalks and on public building land. Maybe we need to put artificial grass on all of our golf courses. Maybe we need to limit the kind of agriculture that uses copious amounts of water (alfalfa, cotton, etc) and make it economically feasible for farmers to grow alternative crops (figs, mustard greens, goji berries, persimmons, okra or dragon fruit). There are farms in Kansas that have stopped growing wheat and oats and started growing sorghum, cassava and sweet potatoes because they are more drought tolerant. Tepary beans need almost no water once the roots are established. Chickpeas and black-eyed peas need little water and grow poorly if watered too much. Let the states in Central America grow the alfalfa and cotton since they almost have too much water! Maybe CA farmers need to switch to hydroponic berries and vegetables. However, I'm against government subsidies to farmers to "not grow a crop." Farmers love what they do. Many are 3rd/4th generation farmers. They need to be persuaded to grow alternative drought-resistant crops.-br/>Another huge water user are these new data plants. They should be BANNED in drought prone areas. Our AZ Governor suggested charging them for their water usage - NO!! -br/>It does no good to charge them for water when WE DON'T HAVE WATER!-br/>-br/>Another idea is to pass a law requiring all hotels, motels and resorts to put water pressure reducers in all their showers. And for those staying longer than one night, only change sheets once a week, and towels every other day. For residential water use, we need to raise rates, unfortunately. If you have to pay more for water, people will be forced to conserve (unless you're one of Trump's wealthy billionaires who don't care about conserving and don't care about anyone but themselves!!)-br/>-br/>Even our canals in AZ are all open and evaporate water every day. We also have our 'swamp coolers' on many homes and buildings that use water. -br/>I understand that switching to electric AC units will use more electricity, but we can bring in more alternative sources of energy through solar and wind.-br/>We have to think outside the box and not be so "privileged thinking." We all think that WE deserve to have water, just like we used to not worry about it.-br/>-br/>This problem reminds me of people who use up all their income and keep charging on credit cards. At some point, we have to face reality that water is a scarce commodity. WE ALL MUST WORK TOGETHER TO CONSERVE OUR USE!!!!-br/>-br/>Thanks for all you do!
Submission 798	85207	Yes	
Submission 799	85257	Yes	
Submission 800	85032	Yes	
Submission 801	85204	Yes	
Submission 802	85020	Yes	Water distribution needs to be fair for each of teh seven states.
Submission 803	85284	Yes	
Submission 804	85282	Yes	Much more important than agreeing on some percent of the total to go to each state would be for the politicians (like you) to recognize that the problem is not water supply but instead is excessive and unsustainable growth in human population. And in states like Arizona with limited water supplies always, to incentivize agriculture (who uses more than 75% of all available water) to relocate to states that have abundant water supplies and bigger rivers (like the Mississippi) to draw from. But that might mean you have to get your hands dirty and actually do something to solve the problem long term...so that might not be an option for you. Thanks anyway.
Submission 805	85282	Yes	Because Arizona has voluntarily taken cuts in the past, we should be able to go back to our legal share now that we need it.
Submission 806	85224	Yes	
Submission 807	85201	Yes	
Submission 808	85206	Yes	
Submission 809	85224	Yes	Water from the Colorado River Basin must be reduced evenly across all seven states, not pushed mostly onto Arizona. Arizona residents have clear reasons to demand equal cuts:-br/> 1. Fairness and legality – the crisis was created by all basin states, so responsibility should be shared.-br/> 2. Economic stability – deep cuts to Arizona would harm food production, jobs, and raise costs regionwide.-br/> 3. Public health and safety – reliable water is critical in one of the hottest and fastest-growing states in the country.
Submission 810	85387	Yes	
Submission 811	85018	Yes	
Submission 812	86301	Yes	Clearly all seven states affected by the Colorado River must share equitably (good or bad) in the agreement—regardless of the past, current, or projected future weather/rainfall/snowpack levels.
Submission 813	85225	Yes	We need to face today's needs, not fall back on the agreement of the past. We've taken our share of cuts. We need others to cut as well.
Submission 814	85044	Yes	Of course we all need to share the Colorado River water. I just want there to be a fair distribution. Thanks
Submission 815	85282	Yes	I'm livid that this issue isn't getting more attention. I understand we were a young state when these pacts were agreed upon, but a lot has changed in 100 years. Thank you for fighting for our future!
Submission 816	85016	Yes	Investigate AZ water rights sold by farmers to Middle East countries.
Submission 817	85044	Yes	I'm not sure what equitably means, population, existing agreements, etc. but I think that California has access to the ocean for desalinization and the other states do not, so that should be considered.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 818	85213	Yes	As an opponent of CAP in its earliest inception in the 1970s, this is alarming but not surprising. What can we do? My thoughts are to stop promoting growth: population, data centers, foreign-owned water rights. I don't know how this plays with elevating our local concerns to the federal level, but Arizona must take a critical look at our priorities.
Submission 819	85205	Yes	The Federal government should not be involved. Each of the states should be working together for an equitable solution to use of the Colorado River. California should also be looking at desalination plants for their water needs, which have been used successfully by Israel for several years.
Submission 820	85213	Yes	
Submission 821	85281	Yes	Equally in regards to population and forecast growth.
Submission 822	85275	Yes	It's time to do something constructive about this situation.
Submission 823	85224	Yes	Arizona should continue its conservation efforts and other states should do so too. We should look to limit future growth and not approve projects that are water intensive such as water parks
Submission 824	85203	Yes	I like having water. Less ai data centers would also be good for water reserves
Submission 825	85032	Yes	Na
Submission 826	85044	Yes	
Submission 827	85233	Yes	
Submission 828	85202	Yes	
Submission 829	85224	Yes	Because of our weather here in the desert and growing population, yes I am in support for the seven basin states to be distributed equally
Submission 830	85283	Yes	If we want our community to survive, we need water. I want To know the rationale and lobbies behind why Arizona would have heavier cuts.
Submission 831	85212	Yes	
Submission 832	85284	Yes	
Submission 833	85044	Yes	Yes distribute the water equitably! Also, the farmers in these seven should also be making adjustments to produce crops that have lower water requirements. Farmers can make the biggest difference in conserving water in the West. Thank you!
Submission 834	85204	Yes	Arizona has been in a drought since 2000. Water management is essential.
Submission 835	85210	Yes	With Arizona's future growing by unbelievable numbers, water usage will continue to rise with increased population, business and manufacturing needs. Equitably there has to be a program for safe usage among the western states that depend on this water for future survival.
Submission 836	85283	Yes	It should be equitable. We're all on this together. The climate is changing for everyone. We need to share the load and divvy up the water equitably.
Submission 837	85226	Yes	
Submission 838	85215	Yes	Arizona must not be expected to make greater cuts than any other state. Equal water distribution is pivotal to the growth and sustainment of Arizonans!
Submission 839	85284	Yes	Shared equitably sounds right.
Submission 840	85027	Yes	Please mandate all states and find other alternatives for water usage such as recycled water at golf resorts and other recreational, for washing outside, structures, cement, vehicle washes and creating other ways to recycle waste and so on...
Submission 841	86442	Yes	We should have limited the amount of population of AZ, CA, NV, and Utah and anyone else who has no water for their populations.
Submission 842	85388	Yes	
Submission 843	85288	Yes	The citizens of the state of Arizona have the right to their fair share of the Colorado River water.
Submission 844	85016	Yes	
Submission 845	85048	Yes	Arizona is well known for water issues. I have also been a resident of OH and PA; Arizona has the poorest water supply I've encountered. Arizona's water supply needs all the help it can get. Equitable cuts would ease the increased burdens that Arizona faces compared to other states.
Submission 846	85201	Yes	I don't feel 'equitably' necessarily means every state gets the same %.-br/>With that said, with water being short - every one should share that pain, not just a couple states.-br/> Other legislation needs to also be in consideration as to out-of-balance use.-br/>Including growing super water intensive plants, and data centers that require clean potable water instead of using recycled Grey water.
Submission 847	85048	Yes	
Submission 848	85213	Yes	With most of our state being desert. Some consideration of our water slice should be measured by our produce and fruit production and how our desert climate requires more water in our state. It would serve the seven states well to keep in mind the people who rely on the water provided from the Colorado River and serve the people only. And when I say only the people I would like to clarify that as the department makes a decision to consider the wildly irresponsible use of water that companies like IT data centers.. one that comes to mind is located in the heart of Chandler that has been using recklessly in high demand the limited supply chain of water as if the fact that they pay for it makes it okay.. We live in the Sonoran Desert it should be in the highest interest of each and every department and governor to take into regards the geographics of our state especially in this timeline where we are seeing short term gratification for technology contributing to long term droughts in our nearby rivers and lakes. There is no life without water so if there must be cuts let it be cuts to the usage of so called advanced technology and find resolutions that truly aid in keeping our state running properly while not hindering our natural reserves especially that of the Colorado River.
Submission 849	85204	Yes	
Submission 850	85204	Yes	
Submission 851	85204	Yes	
Submission 852	85282	Yes	Water ios gold. We need to be share, there is only so much water to go around..
Submission 853	85044	Yes	We live in a desert and need to stop the urban growth in our state. Water restrictions are not a bad thing for residential housing, and the water needs to be preserved for Agricultural use. California needs to take the biggest cut.
Submission 854	85215	Yes	
Submission 855	85283	Yes	Water is precious. Every states that gets water from the Colorado River, should have equal cuts. Arizona's population continues to increase and should be considered in the equation.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 856	85282	Yes	Yes but the determination of equitable should be based on state population and access to other water. We also need to ban farms that use excessive water per output like almonds within the region and datacenters.
Submission 857	85213	Yes	
Submission 858	85284	Yes	Each area has its own needs with none being most important. Natural resented to be shared fairly with all involved with their use.
Submission 859	85204	Yes	
Submission 860	85048	Yes	
Submission 861	85020	Yes	All states need to share equally in the cuts. States that have not taken cuts should take a cut before states that already have taken cuts. States that do not have adequate water reclamation at water treatment facilities need to step up to what AZ is doing. States dumping water into the ocean (CA) need to stop that practice and conserve resources.
Submission 862	85202	Yes	
Submission 863	85008	Yes	Surely an unbiased statistician can apply facts efficiently. Populations,number relying on water for their business,number needing for survival, etc Thank you!
Submission 864	85203	Yes	
Submission 865	85286	Yes	yes, we need to all be equal on sharing the river water
Submission 866	85282	Yes	
Submission 867	85375	Yes	Unless the upper basin states want an influx of immigrants from Arizona, California, and New Mexico, they need to realize they have to share the water in the rivers that we depend on. Arizona has done a lot to restrict water usage, even to the point of turning down business because of the lack of water. Now it's time for other states to share the pain. Does E Pluribus Unum mean anything anymore?
		Yes	Please get AZ Republican representatives on board with you
Submission 869	85282	Yes	Not only the basin states. Northern Mexico (or Baja California) depends on Colorado River water as well. It should be divided equitably, depending on need.
Submission 870	85213	Yes	Water is life and all states need to work at conserving water and share the water that is available
Submission 871	85297	Yes	Our growth is so fast we are having a hard time with what we currently have!
Submission 872	85044	Yes	
Submission 873	85204	Yes	
Submission 874	85202	Yes	It's true that Arizona will always be in danger of running out of water at some point or another, but having Arizona take the brunt of the cuts will seal the fate of millions of residents and lead to the Phoenix area's eventual collapse and uninhabitable status due to no water.
Submission 875	85282	Yes	Upper basin states need to make there cuts, use water wisely, and quit cutting from Arizona!
Submission 876	85207	Yes	
Submission 877	85022	Yes	It is so hot here, we're over developed in cities, and over populated. Homelessness is at an all time high with rent on the rise. Many will not survive summer with a short supply of water. Please don't do this to us. We need a fair divide so all fighting state constitutes can do our part by each sacrificing a little instead of thousands being left high and dry to rot in the high temperate. Didn't anyone ever teach our leaders in office that a good compromise means no one is 100% satisfied? Make it happen. We need you. Please.
Submission 878	85029	Yes	
Submission 879	85283	Yes	There is no reason water shouldn't be shared equally, especially now with the push of AI data centers popping up (which needs to be shut down before we have no water to divide amongst the 7 states). We are one of the hottest states and are already seeing a spike in electric bills during the summer. There's no reason we should be seeing a spike in water bills as well because a sensible solution can't be formulated by our government.
Submission 880	85018	Yes	
Submission 881	85282	Yes	
Submission 882	85203	Yes	The fair decision would be to make all states equitably share the reduction of water use.
Submission 883	85204	Yes	
Submission 884	85224	Yes	
Submission 885	85248	Yes	All seven basin states should have a shared responsibility to help with the health of the river and have equal share AND have a reclaim plan/process and water restrictions/penalties if water conservation and reclaiming projects are not implemented. What will the Dept of Interior do to help AZ if this can't get enough water?
Submission 886	85207	Yes	Stanton for President
Submission 887	85210	Yes	First in time' water law rewards overuse to preserve rights and is fit for homestead disputes but not modern multi-state planning for millions of lives. Interior must weigh real availability, alternatives, cost, conservation, estimate accuracy, as well as how hasty hydrology math, Western land fraud, and boosterism inflated settlement and water-demand expectations.
Submission 888	85226	Yes	
Submission 889	85213	Yes	Arizona is considered a desert. We get less than 10 inches of rain a year. We have less ability to collect other water sources. It should be shared equally
Submission 890	85224	Yes	"What's fair is fair!"
Submission 891	85208	Yes	We need to make sure Arizona has enough water especially with all of the people moving here from other states
Submission 892	85118	Yes	Dear Representative Greg Stanton, Thank you for defending Arizona's water. It seems that when building permits are given the water situation should be taken into account. Not just in Arizona but all the states. Thank you again for working on this issue. Sincerely, Jennifer Marriott
Submission 893	85908	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 894	85205	Yes	I think that in some manner states that provide a commitment and plan to reduce consumption should be rewarded with a better share of the pie (water). There should also a monitoring entity that has the authority and ability to change each states allocation based on success or failure.
Submission 895	85018	Yes	A few thoughts... AZ is growing, both in population and agriculture to feed that population. Also it is projected to continue growth. While CA population is decreasing. Whatever gets decided now/today, would need to be reassess every one to two years due to ever changing demographics. A 5 year deal would be troubling for any one of these states.
Submission 896	85207	Yes	Each state has grown to rely on this water. Arizona is one of the hottest and driest of them all... to take the most away from us makes no sense at all! Please fight for our rights to keep our water!
Submission 897	85205	Yes	
		Yes	Just as our representatives are based on the population of a state, the border states must share water in the same manner.
Submission 899	85201	Yes	
Submission 900	85206	Yes	
Submission 901	85282	Yes	Critical time in Arizona's history and water security is the key component for our future success.
Submission 902	85202	Yes	
Submission 903	85282	Yes	Critical time in Arizona's history and water security is the key component for our future success.
Submission 904	85048	Yes	
Submission 905	85206	Yes	
Submission 906	85016	Yes	
Submission 907	85297	Yes	
Submission 908	85048	Yes	
		Yes	 May Allah Bless you all..
Submission 910	85282	Yes	
Submission 911	85201	Yes	
Submission 912	85215	Yes	I think cuts should be divided equally, but I also think it is absolutely stupid that there are developments in the Valley that REQUIRE grass and overseeding in the winter. In addition, our golf course has transitioned to grass that uses less water and doesn't require overseeding. This should be mandatory for all golf courses in the Valley and most of Arizona. Finally, water is too cheap here compared to our previous residence in Colorado. Raising prices will make for more conservation.
Submission 913	85224	Yes	Each state deserves an equal part of the Colorado River to meet each of that individual state's needs. No state should be left with less water than they need, lives could be at risk if water needs are not met
Submission 914	85140	Yes	
Submission 915	85224	Yes	
Submission 916	85226	Yes	
Submission 917	85226	Yes	
Submission 918	85044	Yes	
Submission 919	85202	Yes	Arizona has the 5th largest city in America. We should all be doing our fair share, not asking more of Arizona
Submission 920	85202	Yes	The US Govt has backed the CHIPS act and promoting the breakneck expansion of AI Data Centers plus Modular Nuclear Power systems. All of this needs a large amount of energy and water. In addition, this requires workers (with families) thus taxing our water systems even more. Besides equity split amongst the 7 States, I believe there is an opportunity to accelerate investment is waste water reuse for industry and tech facilities Let's be smart with our resources and \$
Submission 921	85205	Yes	
Submission 922	85224	Yes	Since Arizona is only getting hotter due to our population growth, climate and, unwanted alfalfa growing for international consumption we shouldn't have to deal with any cuts to the river. This will only cause issues for people down the line when we will cause severe water shortages on a near daily basis.
Submission 923	85205	Yes	Maybe we need to look at population and future growth projections. Can we join forces and perhaps share the cost of de-salininating ocean or gulf water. Invest in increasing ground water evaporation. Share that cost.
Submission 924	85224	Yes	
Submission 925	85226	Yes	
Submission 926	85048	Yes	
Submission 927	85249	Yes	The approach to distribution of Colorado River water has been flawed from the beginning. Allotments should be based on a percentage of available water rather than on fixed acre feet allotments. There is no longer sufficient water to allow for fixed volume allocations.
Submission 928	86001	Yes	Building multiple computer chip plants in Arizona consuming a lot of water. I need to start water conservation now
Submission 929	85021	Yes	
Submission 930	85210	Yes	I do more than my share to save water. Everyone else should be as reasonable.
		Yes	We also need to institute better water management like Vegas and San Diego did.
Submission 932	85207	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 933	85212	Yes	
Submission 934	85018	Yes	Arizona is home to many crops that require a necessary amount of water to grow. We are also home to the 5th largest metropolitan city in the nation. It is an absolutely absurd notion to suggest a more significant reduction in water to the state compared to the other 6 states whom all share their water needs from the Colorado River. The only decent decision would be an equitable and fair division of that water supply.
Submission 935	85207	Yes	
Submission 936	85045	Yes	I do not know what other states are involved, but it only seems fair for it to be divided equally. Thank you for fighting for us Greg!
Submission 937	85203	Yes	Complex problem exacerbated by using old system. New environmental analysis should be done to find long term solutions. In the interim, the Upper Basin states need to make cuts, they have been increasing usage while Lower Basin states (especially AZ) are decreasing usage.
Submission 938	85224	Yes	Also other countries should be stopped from using our ground water. And no more AI plants/factories whatever in Arizona! Water is precious to us and should be shared equitably with in the 7 states that share it. No more Alfalfa growing here!
Submission 939	85021	Yes	Of course, everyone will argue that equitably is relative :) but we took a hit with CAP and having to guarantee CA minimum amount. Mexico gets the "salty" water that is not good for irrigation as they are on the end of the pipeline as it were. But another hit we took is all these newcomers who turned our water conservation dams into flood control dams because they bought property in the regular path of our usually dry rivers. We do not need all the infrastructure moving in, requiring and using up water needed to sustain life. (Have you read Farmer in the Sky, the opening is a pretty big condemnation of our ag practices and I agree with President Svenson, that "agri is a culture not a business" from yet another book.
Submission 940	85213	Yes	Any changes to water distribution must be equitable amongst the 7 states. Arizona's population, housing and business facilities have expanded significantly in recent years. We cannot afford to lose access to water if determining factors are inconsistent between states.
		Yes	I am not an expert on this issue. I know that all the states involved knew 10 years ago about this issue of not enough water in the future. I know from experience from living in Yuma the importance of water for the local farmers.
Submission 942	85202	Yes	The water rules in Arizona need to change, we have one of the largest growing populations in the U.S. and we need more water to accommodate them.
Submission 943	85208	Yes	
Submission 944	85284	Yes	
Submission 945	85282	Yes	I came to AZ from Chicago 43 years ago this coming March. I have experienced the serious increase of temperatures and the need for precious water for agriculture and our homes. Equal sharing is a moral and ethical issue for the climate change we humans created. Yes on equal sharing of all Colorado River States!!!
		Yes	Arizona native here. Too many people have moved to Arizona. In addition now we have a new semi conductor plant coming in that is going to use more water. This never should have been allowed, but it seems that everything is about money these days and not principle or protecting the people who live here. We do not need anymore people moving to our state. For each person who moves to Arizona from another state (I'm talking about you California) their water should move with them, or they should have the water limits, not us natives!
Submission 947	85202	Yes	
Submission 948	85202	Yes	This is critical. We need safe water supply for all, not just the powerful and rich or politically advantaged-for-the-moment.
Submission 949	85207	Yes	
Submission 950	85045	Yes	
Submission 951	85226	Yes	
Submission 952	85281	Yes	I feel Arizona needs to limit golf courses and other unnecessary water usage before we ask for more. We need an even share but priority should be for public water usage and farming.
Submission 953	85282	Yes	
		Yes	We need to stop farming in the desert. It isn't sustainable. Homes use so much less water and we have allowed developers to continually build homes in an environment that can't supply water to meet their needs. Now we need to live up to that commitment or develop serious water conservation measures.
		Yes	Yes, cuts should be distributed equitably across all seven basin states. Arizona has already shouldered significant reductions, and any long-term solution must reflect current conditions, shared responsibility, and the need to preserve the river for all communities that depend on it.
Submission 956	85202	Yes	The Only ethical thing to do is distribute equally among all states. The citizens of these states are all equal, all needs are similar and no one state should out rank another.
Submission 957	85226	Yes	
Submission 958	85658	Yes	
Submission 959	85202	Yes	The water rules in Arizona need to change, we have one of the largest growing populations in the U.S. and we need more water to accommodate them.
Submission 960	58201	Yes	
Submission 961	85202	Yes	
Submission 962	85224	Yes	Thank you for polling the constituency on this.
Submission 963	85016	Yes	We are hurting for our water. Arizona should not be penalized by water distribution plans.
Submission 964	85205	Yes	Stop leasing the land to other countries to grow grain and send it back to their country.
Submission 965	85215	Yes	I pray that the states will work in unity with a common theme that emphasizes not only conservation among all the states, but also for each person in every state to understand that it is up to all of us to pledge in our hearts, in our minds, and to each other that we will use less than our quota, each month and help our neighbors if they need more for good reason, and we can do with less.
Submission 966	85021	Yes	
Submission 967	85044	Yes	Lake Powell will be Deadpool when it gets 160 feet lower than the 170 feet low that it already is. Citrus is down to 14% of what it used to be. Copper down to only 20%. News tonight ABC: Salt River basin is at 8%. Our temps are the highest ever.
Submission 968	85206	Yes	Arizona needs to have equal share with the other states. They also need to stop building AI data centers in AZ as they use too much water and it is in too short a supply.
Submission 969	85284	Yes	All seven states need to see the value of collaborative agreement through equitable distribution.
Submission 970	85206	Yes	Yes, the state of Arizona is growing and people are coming from states without water concerns to Arizona. We desperately need to meet our future water needs.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 971	85208	Yes	Drastic times call for drastic measures. Get rid of the grass And replaced with desert, landscape, rocks, etc. stop approving all this new construction we don't have enough water as it is. Don't get me going on the AI centers/ chips//Data centers, which I'll take a lot of water
Submission 972	85048	Yes	The Upper Basin States must do their part for sure. But it's California that really needs to take some of the hit. As much as President Trump dislikes democrats, it's seems he could overturn whatever policy is in place protecting CA. Or, we should put a tariff on the water before it reaches them. Get creative and find a way like he always does. It's time to fight unfairly in return!
Submission 973	85226	Yes	
Submission 974	85021	Yes	
Submission 975	85207	Yes	
Submission 976	85224	Yes	The stability of the western states is at stake. The instability of any one state will mean instability for all.
Submission 977	85285	Yes	
Submission 978	85224	Yes	With the growth that has come to this state there needs to be balance This is a truly complex problem.I believe distributed equally.But our politicians need to realistically control our growth.the first time I flew into the valley I said there is a problem here.This is the Sonoran Desert. What the hell is with all these swimming pools and golf courses.Our leaders and poloticians need to intelligently and responsaly manage our land and growth.Instead they seem to be more concerned about mor monet from big business and deveopers. I lookat the utter stupidity of plowing up productive famland ie' the orange groves in Mesa and planting condominiums that only porduse more heat, polution, and money for developers, and politicians.And now we are putting in all this high tech manufacturing and server farms and data centers that need more people, water, and infrastructure. All this while we facing climate change, and the greatest water crisis Arizona history.Sorry not wise or resposable. On top of the fact that it appears that we are being punished by national leadership because we won't submit to his sinile whims.It is going some realistic, reponsible people who willing to compromise for the greater good. Good help Arizona and the USA!
Submission 979	85288	Yes	
Submission 980	85283	Yes	
Submission 981	85226	Yes	
Submission 982	85018	Yes	
Submission 983	85204	Yes	Do not let Las Vegas and California take our allocated water- stop sucking our water dry-
Submission 984	85201	Yes	
Submission 985	85257	Yes	All states involved must do their part to preserve and protect the water usage. Arizona can take more steps to be more water conscious while using our share of the Colorado and other water sources. Thank you!
Submission 986	85204	Yes	There should also be more allowances to slow and store any natural water/rain that occurs on/in the basin states. I.e. we should be incorporating tech to capture what little moisture occurs in each state. In AZ, Lawns should and HOA's that mandate lawns, shouldn't be encouraged.
Submission 987	85281	Yes	NO AI facilities should be built here in Arizona!!!!
Submission 988	85215	Yes	
Submission 989	85215	Yes	
Submission 990	85016	Yes	Arizona continues to experience wildfires and fear of water shortages. It's a dry hot desert and everyday, hundreds of people move from California to Arizona. EVERYDAY. Each day the need for a steady and abundant water supply gets more crucial. The Colorado River supply should be distributed equally.
Submission 991	85284	Yes	
Submission 992	85283	Yes	We must do better as a state to educate our citizens how to conserve water while still enjoying our lifestyles.
Submission 993	85048	Yes	The cuts should be either a straight percentage of the current allocation or a percentage based on population. The antiquated method of distributing cuts is unfair to the lower basin states. Keep sticking up for Arizona!
Submission 994	85282	Yes	
Submission 995	85346	Yes	Environmental impact study to drill riverbed level bypasses and low water turbine intakes in Glen Canyon Dam should begin now. It's supposed to take 5 years, which is too long! Lake Powell may reach dead pool by the end of 2026 or 2027. The current bypass pipes will only let 1/2 the volume through. They are not meant for long term use. There could be a complete cutoff of water! Flaming Gorge reservoir in Utah could be tapped to raise Lake Powell in the short run. 70% of water used by Agriculture. Need to stop growing water intensive, low value crops like Alfalfa. Snow pack in Rockies is only 54% of average. Colorado River could dry up!
Submission 996	85226	Yes	
Submission 997	85008	Yes	
Submission 998	85048	Yes	
Submission 999	85044	Yes	All factors should be considered so the deal is fair. Populations & times have changed so an equal distribution would be unfair. Thank you for being involved and asking for input.
Submission 1000	85128	Yes	Farms need water. People need water. Data centers need water. There needs to be a common sense solution that puts the needs of organic life above the needs of inorganic life.
Submission 1001	85283	Yes	
Submission 1002	85018	Yes	We also need to limit growth.
Submission 1003	85225	Yes	Arizona was undercounted in the last Census - this has led to us being denied resources at many levels and that seems to include consideration for our water needs. The states that share in the Colorado River water must do so in an equitable fashion.
Submission 1004	85215	Yes	
Submission 1005	85215	Yes	Our water needs should be fairly and equally distributed between all of the affected states.
Submission 1006	85206	Yes	
Submission 1007	85260	Yes	With the growing development of huge data centers, and the simple geography fact that the Colorado River is the entire western border of the 6th largest state in sq miles, OF COURSE, distribution must be equitable!

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1008	85202	Yes	I assume that population density is taken into account and for AZ winter and summer population densities.
Submission 1009	85284	Yes	There should be an easy way to distribute the water going to the seven southwestern basin states. Look at the data, the people, the agriculture. The businesses, manufacturing all of the data and divide it up amongst the seven southwestern states equally
Submission 1010	85044	Yes	Given the current regime/junta in Washington, the GOP led states will be overflowing with water, while states led by Democrats will get a trickle. I will NEVER again vote for a republican. Except for Thomas Massie, they're all a bunch of wimp lemmings. Thank you.
Submission 1011	85204	Yes	
Submission 1012	85205	Yes	We need to find other sources and not so dependent on the Colorado. What about a desalinization plant on the Pacific Ocean with a pipeline into Arizona. What about a deeper underground search for aquifers
Submission 1013	85284	Yes	There should be an easy way to distribute the water going to the seven southwestern basin states. Look at the data, the people, the agriculture. The businesses, manufacturing all of the data and divide it up amongst the seven southwestern states equally. Power the people to use less water.
Submission 1014	85205	Yes	
Submission 1015	85044	Yes	The previous allocation, is just that. The previous allocation. Cuts, like taxes should affect all the states equally.
Submission 1016	85283	Yes	
Submission 1017	85225	Yes	
Submission 1018	85205	Yes	We are all in the same situation, drought, drought, drought. We need to share equally! The weather does not discriminate. We need to lesson the building of data centers out of fear there will be too much water useage for cooling. Plus our Native Americans should not suffer they need there fair share of water distribution. Living in the most barren desert, water is a must.
Submission 1019	85205	Yes	
Submission 1020	85204	Yes	Arizona should not bear a disproportionate share of reductions while other basin states avoid comparable responsibility. The Colorado River system must now be managed based on today's hydrologic reality, not allocation formulas created under far wetter historical conditions. Arizona has already taken significant cuts. A sustainable and durable agreement requires equitable, basin-wide reductions that protect reservoir levels and ensure long-term system stability. Disproportionate cuts to Arizona also carry real economic consequences. Increased water costs would directly affect municipalities and residents, including senior citizens and individuals living on fixed incomes who cannot absorb rising utility expenses. Water policy decisions must consider both environmental sustainability and economic stability. The final agreement should also incentivize measurable conservation, agricultural efficiency, and water recycling investments across all basin states to reduce overall demand and strengthen long-term resilience. Shared sacrifice and basin-wide accountability are essential to securing the future of the Colorado River for the 40+ million people who depend on it.
Submission 1021	85284	Yes	There should be an easy way to distribute the water going to the seven southwestern basin states. Look at the data, the people, the agriculture. The businesses, manufacturing all of the data and divide it up amongst the seven southwestern states equally. Power the people to use less water.
Submission 1022	85044	Yes	Thanks Greg for standing up for all of us.
Submission 1023	85206	Yes	Stop building new houses where there is water shortages.
Submission 1024	85205	Yes	
Submission 1025	85208	Yes	
Submission 1026	85205	Yes	Arizona is a much more populous state than when the original allocations were established; updating the allocation seems logical. Cuts to a state that is much larger than when allocations were established is not an update but a penalty.
Submission 1027	85284	Yes	Do not let the water commission push Arizona around. Cuts need to be equally administered. Also, data centers need to be eliminated from the plan.
Submission 1028	85215	Yes	Water supply to our state is a major concern and yes, we need to fight for our fair portion and not accept a last in line deal from the federal government or anyone else.
Submission 1029	85210	Yes	Define equitable. Don't believe the current distribution is equitable, so please explain what your vision of equitable is.
Submission 1030	85215	Yes	But I think there is more to be known and understood. 1. Is it distributed by population IN other words, who needs it the most? 2. Is it distributed to farmland like say-in Sacramento where a lot of food is grown for our nation? I think it is important to have a full understanding I think this is one of those things like climate change..that is going to happen later so I am going to worry about paying my bills and my healthcare today.
Submission 1031	85224	Yes	
Submission 1032	85208	Yes	
Submission 1033	85284	Yes	
Submission 1034	85282	Yes	Arizona is one of the fastest growing states and especially in the area of AI technology. We NEED water to sustain a growth pattern and be a great tech assistance to the whole nation. We cannot do it without meeting the water needs of a growing community. All we ask is that the government be FAIR in the distribution of water from the Colorado River. Thanks, Harold Stanford, SCPO, Ret, USNR
Submission 1035	85901	Yes	
Submission 1036	85215	Yes	
Submission 1037	85213	Yes	
Submission 1038	85018	Yes	
Submission 1039	85298	Yes	Other states, especially California has been for years, taking much more than their share while Arizona has conserved. The state legislature passed a plan for water conservation but the Governor vetoed it...why?
Submission 1040	85040	Yes	
Submission 1041	85224	Yes	
Submission 1042	85284	Yes	
Submission 1043	85224	Yes	It only makes sense that water cuts should be distributed equitably between the seven basin states. Arizona should not have to carry the burden of cutting water usage when other states do not.
Submission 1044	85283	Yes	Of course it should be shared equally. And if you could possibly respond to the Town hall you did in Tempe.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1045	85205	Yes	The southwest portion of the US has been in a severe drought for many years sharing equally the use of water from the Colorado River. We need to continue to share equally all resources. Note need to make sure that the Native American community is included in this plan, they have the most barren desert conditions. Furthermore, high construction of the Data Centers and use of high amounts of water for cooling, possible contamination of our ground water. We need a better way to conserve SRP run off for water storage.
Submission 1046	85202	Yes	Should population of each state influence apportionment? Of course I think Arizona should get more water because I live in Arizona. When I moved here in 2009, I was surprised by how little concerned there was for the water supply. It seems we had to get to a crisis point with our lakes to start to deal with it.
Submission 1047	85284	Yes	States with less water and desert areas without water resources should not be taking a bigger cut to their water supply than other states along the CO River
Submission 1048	85257	Yes	I understand that some states need more because of agriculture (California especially), but to expect AZ to take a larger cut than other states is WRONG! I feel that we are often targeted because of our Democratic leanings. We are a growing state between population and industry because of our location and climate and to short us while others in the Upper Basin do not have to feel the cuts as deeply is wrong. Thank you for fighting for us!!!!
Submission 1049	85244	Yes	
Submission 1050	85045	Yes	We need to emphasize conservation including eliminating wasteful agricultural uses of water such as growing alfalfa.
Submission 1051	85206	Yes	
Submission 1052	85201	Yes	
Submission 1053	85281	Yes	
Submission 1054	85021	Yes	
Submission 1055	85204	Yes	
Submission 1056	85205	Yes	No data centers!!!
Submission 1057	85206	Yes	It is only fair for all who use the water share in the cuts needed to preserve the flow.
Submission 1058	85749	Yes	
Submission 1059	86406	Yes	why does Queen Creek get to take water from Mohave County. Since we have a smaller population than Maricopa, we get screwed.
Submission 1060	85295	Yes	California should have the largest cuts. They get plenty of rain if they managed it better.
Submission 1061	85213	Yes	Arizonans have been making cuts by changing to desert landscaping, and reducing usage. We've killed off golf courses and converted public areas to rock. I think it's time the other states made their sacrifices as well. Being the good guy is making us the losers. Distribute the water fairly!
Submission 1062	85201	Yes	Stop building mega housing projects that suck water from almost depleted aquifers.
Submission 1063	85205	Yes	Water is a big concern for all of Arizona. But look at how fast the State is building commercially and housing for the all the new people flocking here. There should be a stop to all the new construction until there is a State wide agreement on water usage for now and the future. Nothing has really been established for the that. -So please help establish the future for water usage here in Arizona.
Submission 1064	85021	Yes	As a lifelong Arizonan, I am looking at retiring to another state where water scarcity isn't as concerning. Arizonas population and industrial growth has to be balanced with enough water to sustain that growth.
Submission 1065	85282	Yes	You're preaching to the choir.
Submission 1066	85213	Yes	The Colorado River water agreements are based on a level of water flow that does not exist today. Not only is the allocation of water rights needing to be changed, but the responsibility of the states to preserve the health of the river and find ways to continue to conserve water is essential to the existence of communities in the West. We need to balance the needs of agriculture, recreation and municipality existence to create a source of water we all can depend on for decades to come. It's time to not only allot the water, but also the care and preservation of the entire river to protect this water source for the future.
Submission 1067	85028	Yes	The Yuma area provides a big portion of the nations fresh produce in the winter months so it is important to protect our water rights so that area isn't left without enough water. Our cities must continue to find ways to encourage residents to be more careful about water use. If we are using most of our residential water on landscaping we should focus on xeriscape and food crops rather than grass and high water use landscapes.
Submission 1068	85202	Yes	
Submission 1069	85016	Yes	Assuming that the original allocation of water rights was divided equitably, I feel that all future reductions should be shared equally. However, each state should also be required to developing/implement a strategic water conservation plan...with penalties (in the form of further water reductions) if their conservation goals aren't achieved.
		Yes	No data centers and no corporate buy out of our water
Submission 1071	85201	Yes	
Submission 1072	85203	Yes	Population & local resource demands should be put into consideration on how water should be distributed. if it is determined that AZ is not needing resources that could be better spent elsewhere we should be sharing with our neighbors. I expect the same from other states, it is in everyone's best interest if we work together fairly rather than arbitrarily.
Submission 1073	85204	Yes	
Submission 1074	85282	Yes	We must all share the burden of what we have created. The whole is greater than the sum of its parts.
		Yes	All of the 7 states should put in conservation methods. Arizona has already done this.
Submission 1076	85202	Yes	Stop building multi unit apartment complexes! There are new ones going up everywhere!! That's more washers, dishwashers, showers, toilets, pools, etc.Stop putting huge pools in apartments & hotels. Wasted water!
Submission 1077	85205	Yes	Fair and equitable sharing of water sources amongst the states is logical, rational and just plain common sense.
Submission 1078	85226	Yes	
Submission 1079	85020	Yes	
Submission 1080	85204	Yes	Every state should take equal water cuts
Submission 1081	85210	Yes	This shouldn't be so hard to understand! Divide the water EQUALLY. Only the FUHRER and his wealthy loyalists can make this hard and chaotic.
Submission 1082	85018	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1083	85224	Yes	
Submission 1084	85282	Yes	Everyone deserves water. Plain and simple.
Submission 1085	85226	Yes	Arizona is probably the driest state in the U.S., the Colorado River, along with infrequent rainfall, are the primary sources of water for our state.
Submission 1086	85282	Yes	
Submission 1087	85282	Yes	
Submission 1088	85201	Yes	
Submission 1089	85204	Yes	Arizona is growing very fast, especially in the Phoenix area, so many apartments being built and the cost is so high to rent, so many people who are using the water. We need water to live and to grow our food. Our congress needs to help Arizona to get a fair deal, like you said based on today's reality, and not the politics of the past. I do not think raising water prices is the way to go especially in this economy. With our heat everything will dry up and die if our water is cut.
Submission 1090	85020	Yes	
Submission 1091	85225	Yes	Arizona has the highest temperatures of all the bordering states and we've been warned about water supply for years, yet Phoenix is one of the fastest growing cities in the country. We need to secure our water supply to sustain current needs and future growth.
Submission 1092	85282	Yes	If anything, those state that have historically used less water and have slower population growth should take a larger cut.
Submission 1093	85213	Yes	I do agree that all the states should work together to equally share in the necessary cuts to water use. In addition, I think that the state of Arizona, as well as all the affected states that use the Colorado Water resource, should somehow control how new commercial and private growth affects future needs for this important but limited resource.
Submission 1094	85213	Yes	I Stand with Representative Greg Stanton and Senator Mark Kelly on negotiations over the Colorado River. 1. Revise alternatives to include verifiable Upper Basin conservation measures commensurate with Lower Basin conservation measures, including identifying tangible metrics that demonstrate Upper Basin water conservation. 2. Consider a provision for releases of water from the Colorado River Storage Project initial units as necessary to protect critical elevations in Lake Powell and ensure continued Upper Basin Compact compliance. 3. Identify all possible activities that would require congressional authorities necessary to implement a post-2026 operational plan. 4. Revise the proposed alternatives to include socioeconomic impacts on municipal and industrial water users. 5. Provide detailed analysis of how to operate federally managed Upper Basin reservoirs to support system-wide stability and protect critical infrastructure at both Lake Powell and Lake Mead. 6. Develop a final post-2026 operational plan that addresses Colorado River infrastructure needs while ensuring equitable basin-wide burden-sharing.
Submission 1095	85203	Yes	
Submission 1096	85275	Yes	pass some laws prohibiting water waste.
Submission 1097	85120	Yes	
Submission 1098	85048	Yes	
Submission 1099	85205	Yes	
Submission 1100	85207	Yes	
Submission 1101	85226	Yes	We cannot sustain ourselves with continuing drought without fair access to the Colorado River. Especially with all of the unnecessary golf courses and proposals for AI data centers (I do not support these!!!!) and continued urban sprawl in the Phoenix metro. We will be screwed by this if we take the biggest cut despite the river running through our state more than others that get to use it.
Submission 1102	85205	Yes	Everywhere I look, every square foot of open land in the Phoenix metro area is being developed with new housing projects and industry. Why do we continue to build with a water crisis? Don't use the excuse of more affordable housing because none of it fits that definition.
Submission 1103	85283	Yes	AZ does a good job in managing its SRP and CPS water. Don't send it to CA or NV to be wasted.
Submission 1104	85283	Yes	
Submission 1105	85207	Yes	All states must share distribution equally but Phoenix and surrounding towns must quit building huge apartment complexes. If we say there is less water, so we want to use less water, it makes NO sense to continue to build huge multi-family complexes, that will use a tremendous amount of water that we do not have. Just say NO to moving people into the greater Phoenix area. Over a rolling 12-month period in 2025, roughly 25,000 units were delivered. This suggests that from late 2022 through much of 2025, on the order of 45,000-50,000 multifamily apartment units were completed. Where does the water for them come from???
Submission 1106	85215	Yes	Of course these benefits should be shared fairly. I understand Colorado isn't interested in being fair...funny, as I lived for a long time there. Great place.
Submission 1107	85226	Yes	If the department of the interior wishes to unfairly distribute water from the Colorado, they should fund the construction of desalination plants to offset the loss of water to the states who will be hurt the most.
Submission 1108	85014	Yes	We have only limited water. I agree with equitable sharing as long as it is truly shared equitably, not using up the aquifer, and plans include conservation for future generations. We need to be conscious of how water is used. We need to be respectful and responsible.
Submission 1109	85044	Yes	Absolutely they should be equal. Our state and farmers all need our fair share. Our state is just as important. However, I do not trust that any blue or purple state will get their fair share they will be punished by this administration. Thank you for fighting for our state!
Submission 1110	85014	Yes	
Submission 1111	85298	Yes	Why should Arizona, one of the driest states precipitation-wise, with years-long drought, be penalized the most? Don't we pay our fair share? Why shouldn't the other states share this burden?
Submission 1112	85213	Yes	We live in a desert and that should be part of the consideration.
Submission 1113	86326	Yes	All states need to put in place water conserving strategies, including Arizona. This includes limiting population growth, planting native trees and plants that are drought tolerant, restricting groundwater tapping by large corporate farms, and preventing tech industries from building mega data centers that use tremendous amounts of water and energy. Yes, the water cuts should be distributed equitably, but not necessarily equally, between the seven basin states. Thank you.
Submission 1114	85044	Yes	I think Arizona should seriously look at the data centers being brought into the state.
Submission 1115	85351	Yes	
Submission 1116	85351	Yes	
Submission 1117	85224	Yes	
Submission 1118	85042	Yes	Every state involved in the water rights should just get equal amounts of the water. Not one state should get more than the other. I don't understand how they think it would be ok for one state to get 35% and others only get 15%. I say each State gets Equal amounts no more no less. Arizona we can do this.
Submission 1119	85225	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1120	85018	Yes	We are doing our part as all of them should.
Submission 1121	85251	Yes	
Submission 1122	85213	Yes	I remember when the CAP canal was built and that was supposed to solve all of Az's water problem, which it may have, for the state's population in the 1970's. Loopholes need to be closed so cities and towns cannot circumvent ways to get their 100 year water supply. The upper basin states should not have expected watershed to always be plentiful. It's called global warming for a reason. Foreign countries should not be allowed water rights for crops that are shipped to those countries. They use our resources yet provide nothing to our economy.
Submission 1123	85016	Yes	
Submission 1124	85020	Yes	Please stop ALL new building in Arizona! No more apartment complexes, townhomes or new build homes.
Submission 1125	85226	Yes	Arizona is growing faster than Utah/Nevada/Colorado/Wyoming and California is shrinking in population size. We should not be asked to give up water so other states can continue to grow unhindered. Water is a precious commodity and we all need to share/conservate it for the future.
Submission 1126	85016	Yes	
Submission 1127	85283	Yes	
Submission 1128	85210	Yes	
Submission 1129	85213	Yes	
Submission 1130	85282	Yes	Arizona should have substantial rights since it runs right through the state.
Submission 1131	85018	Yes	
Submission 1132	85248	Yes	Rep Stanton Arizona needs a serious wake up call about water. Data centers and now TMSC on the West side most likely will use vast amounts of water, just crazy. Where is Arizona with desalination plants, costly yes but needed yes. And finally this battle with other states is insane it's been going on for years with no solution. So I ask anyone in serious negotiations with buying back water from California? It's needed and will be expensive but then again water has been dirt cheap here for ages. Help get this state to wake up as lack of water will kill Arizona economy in every way. And if the middle East is still using massive water rights here it's time to kill those rights right now. It's past do to bring in water experts and engineers to a solution as on ce again some positions just don't have a clue on anything but always act like they are the smartest person in the room. Please do what you can with the I'll informed on a major problem that will devastate this state.
Submission 1133	85044	Yes	First, conservation. The entire state must have the same groundwater management plan put into place in 1980 for the largest counties. Why this hasn't happened already borders on criminal. Second, drain Lake Powell. The boneheads that designed the dam didn't install a drain but with today's technology a contractor could drill through the concrete plugs in the two by-pass tunnels from when the dam was built. Do this NOW, before deadpool, which is inevitable! This will keep the river at sustainable flows, keep Mead at healthy levels and free Glen Canyon to return to it's former glory. Win/Win/Win
Submission 1134	85018	Yes	
Submission 1135	85205	Yes	I am a newer resident to Arizona, 32 years, but I feel that each state should be dealt with equally in cuts of water being made. Thank you for being on top of this issue. Patricia Sunia
Submission 1136	85018	Yes	Please share the Colorado River water equally between the seven basin states!!! Thank you Rep Stanton for fighting for AZ!!!
Submission 1137	85204	Yes	
Submission 1138	85390	Yes	Put a moratorium on construction, way too much. The climate crisis is here, that's why we're having this issue. No snow in Colorado. I'm from there and when you are having rain in February instead of snow, that's a code red??
Submission 1139	85018	Yes	Until water rights can be adjusted to from their antiquated, agricultural-based roots to instead better serve today's communities, equal distribution of water cuts is the only lever we have for a fairer system.
Submission 1140	85205	Yes	States should have equal cost of water to household and landscaping across the seven states. Arizona residents currently pay a lot more for water than Utah residents.
Submission 1141	85210	Yes	We need it more than anyone else. Hello... we are the hottest!
Submission 1142	85225	Yes	
Submission 1143	85215	Yes	California should be required to build additional aquaducts from the northern rivers and streams to alleviate the strain from farms and metropolis areas. Arizona should do the same. Usage based on water flows on an annual basis less 10% to refill our reservoirs and buffer for dry years. Use common sense during dry years.
Submission 1144	85044	Yes	
Submission 1145	85205	Yes	
Submission 1146	85283	Yes	
Submission 1147	85215	Yes	
Submission 1148	85119	Yes	This is not an easy answer. By "equitably" do you mean equal shares among the states? Shares proportional to state population? If the upper basin states want more than their "share", then yes, I support equitable shares. Every time in the past, reallocations have favored other states over Arizona. (I have seen the flower and cotton farms disappear.) We grow much of the country's lettuce. But we could do more to conserve. I had more water restrictions in Michigan than I have had in the Phoenix valley. Also, please no more water bottling companies, no more selling water rights to foreign farming conglomerates, and no more data centers in the desert.
Submission 1149	85021	Yes	
Submission 1150	85207	Yes	Arizona needs to work with tribal authorities and together find a solution for clean water . Arizona needs to limit building in areas that impact water for the future. Protect the water now.
Submission 1151	85043	Yes	
Submission 1152	85044	Yes	
Submission 1153	85351	Yes	
Submission 1154	85204	Yes	Equal distribution would be the best solution to the water real problem, for now. But Arizona's supply would be cut even deeper if it is not apposed very strongly. Please fight for the water Arizona needs.
Submission 1155	85202	Yes	I qualify my, yes, vote since I do not know the full scope of origin of the division of water rights.
Submission 1156	85340	Yes	Arizona has lots of farms and ranches, that require water to survive and feed America. With our low rain amounts we need the water from the Colorado river. Without it America can loose a lot of food.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1157	85212	Yes	Arizona is not the sole user of colorado river. All the states that use the river need to share and take equitable balanced cuts.
Submission 1158	85004	Yes	
Submission 1159	85224	Yes	All states must share equally to preserve the health of the river and distributed fairly among the states
Submission 1160	85282	Yes	
Submission 1161	85215	Yes	
Submission 1162	85048	Yes	
Submission 1163	86303	Yes	Cuts should allow more water to flow to Mexico to keep that delta from disappearing. Conservation needs to be forefront in all seven states to stop unnecessary water waste.
Submission 1164	85603	Yes	Years of drought in the western United States has drastically affected the volume of water in the Colorado River.Plans put forward by the Bureau of Reclamation and the Department of the Interior are unfairly proposing that Arizona take the deepest cut. All seven states that benefit from the river have a responsibility to protect the river and cuts should be distributed equitably between all seven states.
Submission 1165	85203	Yes	We need to be fair in the distribution of Colorado River water.
Submission 1166	85284	Yes	CAP and Arizona should receive their fair allocation from the Colorado River. My property is within SRP system and is flood irrigated. My future livelihood depends on this irrigation as I plan to grow crops for the food banks. I am recently retired and hope to have this supplement my SSI. A huge amount of my retirement funds went into securing this property as an investment for my future. This will be greatly impacted if CAP with its much larger base drains water from SRP.
Submission 1167	85048	Yes	It seems only fair play that all the states should take the cuts. Arizona needs water to survive. Thank you.
Submission 1168	85012	Yes	Specifically, please: 1. Revise alternatives to include verifiable Upper Basin conservation measures commensurate with Lower Basin conservation measures, including identifying tangible metrics that demonstrate Upper Basin water conservation. 2. Consider a provision for releases of water from the Colorado River Storage Project initial units as necessary to protect critical elevations in Lake Powell and ensure continued Upper Basin Compact compliance. 3. Identify all possible activities that would require congressional authorities necessary to implement a post-2026 operational plan. 4. Revise the proposed alternatives to include socioeconomic impacts on municipal and industrial water users. 5. Provide detailed analysis of how to operate federally managed Upper Basin reservoirs to support system-wide stability and protect critical infrastructure at both Lake Powell and Lake Mead. 6. Develop a final post-2026 operational plan that addresses Colorado River infrastructure needs while ensuring equitable basin-wide burden-sharing.
Submission 1169	85226	Yes	
Submission 1170	85257	Yes	
Submission 1171	85215	Yes	
Submission 1172	85283	Yes	
Submission 1173	85044	Yes	
Submission 1174	85206	Yes	
Submission 1175	85249	Yes	Politics should not play any role in this water deal. Cuts from the previous deal should be shared equitably between the seven states.
Submission 1176	85282	Yes	
Submission 1177	85204	Yes	First of all if the water is depleting why are ya'll allowing data centers to come into the area? If water is an issue then STOP all data centers.
Submission 1178	85201	Yes	I have read the pdf you sent to Doug Burgum Secretary of the Interior U.S. Department of the Interior. I agree with all it stands for and hope you four are successful. Equitable distribution should be absolutely mandatory. But beyond this, the agreed arrangement should include a substantive R&D plan moving forward: (1) What conservation and engineering measures will maximize Colorado River output and high efficiency use in the future? (2) What long-term alternatives for supplemental water resources can be developed over time? The measures taken (1) and (2) may not stack up equally between the seven basin states who have different opportunities and burdens. But the agreement can be to share research and engineering costs to enable additional resources for the common good of all seven basin states.
Submission 1179	85224	Yes	
Submission 1180	85204	Yes	Arizona needs fair Colorado River sharing. Current plans place disproportionate cuts on our state while others avoid equal reductions. We urge balanced, Basin-wide conservation so all states share responsibility for sustaining the river.
Submission 1181	85288	Yes	
Submission 1182	85004	Yes	
Submission 1183	85012	Yes	The rate that Phoenix and Arizona are growing and all the actions we have already put in place to save water with that growth should be considered. Phoenix, the largest city in Arizona, has been proactive and conserving current water resources as well as protecting the future. We are doing our part, but we still require water from the Colorado. That water needs to be shared equitably. Arizona should at least have a current Percent Of the water resource.
Submission 1184	85204	Yes	Glen Canyon Dam is in dire straits and must be kept operating to provide power for millions. The upper states must allow enough water to sustain the dam's operations!
Submission 1185	85705	Yes	
Submission 1186	85224	Yes	i believe Trump will punish Arizona with water allocation because we have Democrats in higher office. he appears to be vindictive no matter what the cost, after all he does not eat lettuce and will make sure semiconductor industries go elsewhere. Just my take.
Submission 1187	85281	Yes	
Submission 1188	85257	Yes	
Submission 1189	85204	Yes	
Submission 1190	85208	Yes	
Submission 1191	86301	Yes	
		Yes	I would like to know what formula is being used to determine the distribution. I would also like to say, as a former east coast resident, that there does not seem to be much concern here for water conservation. I have one idea that I feel would be easy for water and cost-saving: a rebate program for the purchase and installation of point-of-use hot water heaters for kitchen and bathroom use. So much water is wasted waiting for hot water to arrive at the faucet. Maybe Arizona doesn't need as much as we think we do; maybe we're just wasting too much. Also, no AI data centers in AZ, please. Thank you, Rep Stanton for all you do!
Submission 1193	85206	Yes	Politics should play no part in governmental allocations, be they water, emergency aid, or any other federal assistance.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1194	85206	Yes	Phoenix is the nation's fifth-largest city at this time, something that was not foreseen when the original water-sharing agreement was drafted. We need to plan for today's reality, not outdated assumptions.
Submission 1195	85018	Yes	Prior history of California taking more water than allocated, means they should take an even larger cut. In addition, unlike Nevada, Arizona and particularly Yuma have significant agricultural operations which cannot be said of Nevada or even New Mexico. Either, the water be allocated equally or food production states should be given more than the non-producing states.
Submission 1196	85048	Yes	Az has already taken more water cuts than the upper states. Not fair
Submission 1197	85215	Yes	Having been a resident of Arizona or Nevada my entire life, I understand how precious water is to our lives. I also understand that we must use it wisely and be considerate. That wisdom and consideration is not just for some of us. It is for everyone who depends on this aquatic lifeline. We all need to do our part to make sure we all have a sustained future.
Submission 1198	85208	Yes	This is the only fair thing to do.
Submission 1199	85203	Yes	the colorado river situation is CRITICAL! unless more snow, dead pool on powell in august. lower basin cant get water from upper basin thats not there. everybody will have to make painful concessions. fortunatly, california has good statewide water situation right now, and should give up more colorado delivery.
Submission 1200	85018	Yes	I agree that the upper basin states should also take cuts, but Arizona (Phoenix area especially) needs to cut back on the development before demanding that other states carry the burden! Developers don't care about water availability - they'll build huge subdivisions, sell and get out. It's ridiculous to think there will be no consequences. And as a native Phoenician, I would like to know why the city or state has not previously come up with a way to capture monsoon rainwater. Every little bit helps!
Submission 1201	85215	Yes	
Submission 1202	85215	Yes	Colorado River water must be distributed equitably based on current reality and actual science, not the politics and inaccurate projections of a long-ago past.
Submission 1203	85206	Yes	We need to find a way to achieve maximum operational flexibility in the short term, and all states need to focus on long term solutions as we continue to deal with climate change in the Southwest region.
Submission 1204	85044	Yes	In addition, climate change necessitates that the state of AZ explores better water resource management: stopping the growth of alfalfa, cotton and other water thirsty crops, prohibiting foreign companies and local farmers from depleting ground water resources, and limiting golf courses must also be part of that strategy.
Submission 1205	85048	Yes	
Submission 1206	85205	Yes	
Submission 1207	85283	Yes	
Submission 1208	85016	Yes	It is wonderful how you are keeping us informed of issues before Congress.
Submission 1209	85044	Yes	With the highest temperatures of the states it is only fair that AZ does not take the deepest hit.
Submission 1210	85261	Yes	Based on what measurements of equitable? Be more specific with your questions and how did you let it get this far anyway? What have you been doing besides being a thorn in the side of making the country great
Submission 1211	85020	Yes	
Submission 1212	85207	Yes	
Submission 1213	85281	Yes	And within each basin state the distribution of water should be in a FAIR and equitable manner as well.
Submission 1214	85224	Yes	Not sure of the equitable calculations of the deal, thus am not sure if the deal is fair to all concerned parties. Please provide you reasoning claiming the unfair deal.
Submission 1215	85044	Yes	I think sharing water consumption should be fair and equal ! Arizona is growing at incredible speed and due to the harsh weather requires use of water So please be fair
Submission 1216	85283	Yes	With all that is going on in Arizona and the many people moving here. A inequitable cut to the water supply will do harm to our community. My wife and I moved here 2 years ago and have been happy with all that AZ has to offer an inequitable cut would remove our happiness and cause stress to the thought of having to move to another state possibly. Please make sure that this is done fairly and equitably.
Submission 1217	85016	Yes	I think the distribution be based upon historical usage. And Native American tribal lands should receive priority.
Submission 1218	85044	Yes	Do what ever you think is best. for the long run
Submission 1219	85202	Yes	I Believe the Water Shares should be Shared Equally by All the States Involved.
Submission 1220	85283	Yes	
Submission 1221	85205	Yes	Yes it should be shared equally
Submission 1222	85048	Yes	I don't see a sustainable future if Phoenix continues to grow
Submission 1223	85210	Yes	It should be shared equally between the basins
Submission 1224	85201	Yes	The water should be distributed EVENLY AND TESTED FOR PFAS!!!! our water has more PFAS than ever which have been shown to cause cancer, IQ drops, and damage to crops and local wildlife and pets that drink the water. It is unfair that people unknowingly give their children and babies, and pets water riddled with industrial waste products and plastic. Ban PFAS IN WATER
Submission 1225	85008	Yes	Water is so important to all people. We all must share sensibly and conserve responsibly.
Submission 1226	85281	Yes	
Submission 1227	85018	Yes	
Submission 1228	85253	Yes	While I do think water should be distributed equally, I also think we in Arizona need to do a much better job of conservation. I see so much waste everywhere and it is sickening knowing we could run out to the point where we cannot sustain the current population while we have so many plans to attract more.
Submission 1229	85045	Yes	
Submission 1230	85283	Yes	
Submission 1231	85383	Yes	I think population count should be considered when dividing up the precious water.
Submission 1232	85251	Yes	Yes, it should be distributed equitably. Historically, acre feet allotted was determined by several factors...but with water being an issue now for all seven states, the only way to settle it for the long-term is to distribute it equitably.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1233	85211	Yes	Why is this even a question? All states should be allowed their fair share. We are all in this together. We are all fighting the same war. Arizona needs their share.
Submission 1234	85224	Yes	The burden of the drought needs to be shared. We are in this together.
Submission 1235	85204	Yes	
Submission 1236	85140	Yes	The goal of water sharing of the CO River between the affected states should be unilateral, I believe any cuts should to the water sharing programs should be equal for the affected states.
Submission 1237	85013	Yes	
Submission 1238	85206	Yes	
Submission 1239	85213	Yes	Please consider the future when distributing water from the Colorado river, the future of the river and future of our kids and their kids, etc.-br/>Thank you
Submission 1240	86326	Yes	Possibly Arizonans need to curtail water use for everyone. The big cities should not be taking it all. Water rights need to be redefined to take in all users including rural areas, federal lands, and native reservations equitably. The same goes for all the states using the water especially CA who has been using that water more than anyone historically. Las Vegas needs to take that into consideration, too. We need to share reasonably for everyone.
Submission 1241	85260	Yes	
Submission 1242	85044	Yes	
Submission 1243	85203	Yes	Yes, cuts should be distributed equitably among all seven Colorado River Basin states.-br/>-br/>Arizona has already made significant sacrifices to conserve water and adapt to declining river flows. Communities, farmers, tribes, and industries across the state have invested heavily in conservation, efficiency, and reuse to protect this vital resource. Asking Arizona to shoulder the deepest cuts again ignores these efforts and the reality of how the river is used today.-br/>-br/>A sustainable solution must reflect current conditions such as reduced snowpack, prolonged drought, climate change, and increased demand rather than outdated agreements that no longer match the river's capacity. The long-term health of the Colorado River depends on shared responsibility. The Upper Basin states should contribute meaningful reductions alongside the Lower Basin to prevent system collapse and ensure water security for the future.-br/>-br/>A fair and balanced approach protects the river, respects prior conservation efforts, and ensures no single state bears a disproportionate burden. Arizona is willing to do its part, but preserving the Colorado River requires all basin states to do theirs.
Submission 1244	85392	Yes	
Submission 1245	85048	Yes	A complicated issue that involves human use needs and agricultural needs that have changed over time since original agreements were put in place. I would demand the Interior Dept work out an equitable split for all. Serious time and effort should be put into the plan.
Submission 1246	85282	Yes	The upper basin states need to step up and do their part for the greater good of the river and the entire region.
Submission 1247	85205	Yes	Yes it should be shared equally
Submission 1248	85044	Yes	
Submission 1249	85203	Yes	
Submission 1250	85020	Yes	I was born and raised in Arizona. Since I was old enough to understand things I have watched Arizona be cheated on the Colorado River water. Candidates used to run on promising to get us our share. We finally got some relief. Now political beings want to punish us for being a purple state. We are really a state that votes for candidates and not parties. I am registered Republican but I have almost never voted a straight party ticket. I have watched enough crooks on both sides to know That character counts. I strongly believe Arizona must receive equitable treatment in any cutbacks. Arizona has taken significant voluntary and mandatory reductions and has been a leader in conservation, yet the current framework still risks placing a disproportionate burden on our state. Thank you for standing up for Arizona's fair share of this essential resource.-br/>
Submission 1251	85016	Yes	
Submission 1252	85283	Yes	Arizona is thriving and shouldn't be subjected to deeper cuts than the other states if we want to sustain our quality of life. We need to take steps to address climate change and conserve water. And the water distribution also needs to be fair, which means treating Arizona just like all the other states and distributing the water equally.
Submission 1253	85224	Yes	Water is Arizona's lifeblood.
Submission 1254	85202	Yes	Equitably is the key word here. How much water does each state require? I know you're taking into consideration the amount of people, the amount of farmland, etc. Thank you for your diligence and concern, and best of luck. We're with you. Complicated problem. States had their chance to cut a deal but balked. Nobody wanted to be 1st one to blink. 2 major legal hurdles. 1922 Colorado River Compact and 1963 Supreme Court decision (CA vs. AZ). Compact established States allotments to River, and 63' Supreme Court said in exchange for AZ being allowed to build Central Arizona Project to take River water, in event of future shortage AZ would take first and biggest cuts to River water. Don't forget about Mexico's share of River. Upper Basin States say no cuts to their share of River water nor are they legally obligated to accept cuts. Biggest Users in Lower Basin States are ag but many have "first in use" legal rights. Cities in Phoenix Metro area have not implemented restrictions on outdoor water use which they need to. So Fed. Govt. now forced to come up with Operations Plan for River that nobody will like and will likely be in Court litigation for next decade. Complicated problem.
Submission 1255	85201	Yes	
Submission 1256	86303	Yes	Arizona's water needs are extensive.The water of the Colorado River is precious and should be allocated equitably.
Submission 1257	85206	Yes	
Submission 1258	85205	Yes	Arizona should not bear the burden of the deepest cuts. Community and equity is demanded between the seven basin states sharing the Colorado River.
Submission 1259	85210	Yes	I understand how precious our resources are. I totally agree that water needs to be regulated. We must protect the farmers that feed us all. We respectfully urge Reclamation to meaningfully address AZ concerns—both in negotiations with the seven-br/>Basin States and in a final EIS—and to ensure post-2026 operations will sustain Arizona and the entire Basin. We ask that Reclamation:-br/>1. Revise alternatives to include verifiable Upper Basin conservation measures commensurate with-br/>Lower Basin conservation measures, including identifying tangible metrics that demonstrate Upper-br/>Basin water conservation.-br/>2. Consider a provision for releases of water from the Colorado River Storage Project initial units as-br/>necessary to protect critical elevations in Lake Powell and ensure continued Upper Basin Compact-br/>compliance.-br/>3. Identify all possible activities that would require congressional authorities necessary to implement a-br/>post-2026 operational plan.-br/>4. Revise the proposed alternatives to include socioeconomic impacts on municipal and industrial water-br/>users.-br/>5. Provide detailed analysis of how to operate federally managed Upper Basin reservoirs to support-br/>system-wide stability and protect critical infrastructure at both Lake Powell and Lake Mead.-br/>6. Develop a final post-2026 operational plan that addresses Colorado River infrastructure needs while-br/>ensuring equitable basin-wide burden-sharing.-br/>-br/>Thank you!
Submission 1260	85142	Yes	
Submission 1261	85215	Yes	My reflex response would be of course equally share. I simply don't understand all of the complexities and power struggles of this situation well enough to know what is the best thing to do. -br/>-br/>I wonder for example, how the tremendous water usage from data centers in AZ gets approved while we are worrying about trying to support the actual population just to live here due to this Colorado river situation. -br/>-br/>How do towns in AZ keep approving building for influx of population if we may not have the water to support all of us.-br/>-br/>I thank you for trying your best to understand and prioritize these kinds of questions as you work with the Dept. of Interior on this.
Submission 1262	85204	Yes	
		Yes	
Submission 1264	85737	Yes	
Submission 1265	85282	Yes	Equitably based on population and agricultural square miles. All states, communities, and ag in all states should be required to invest in water conservation and the feds should assist.
Submission 1266	85295	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1267	85201	Yes	I am in agreement that a fair deal become the decision made concerning the Colorado River and all the states that use this resource Anne L Jacobson
Submission 1268	85206	Yes	Every state should do there part to help keep the basin healthy. This needs to be fair. California needs to get a desalination plants for their state, and fix thier upper state water flow and fill thier reservoirs. Arizona seem like we always get shafted.
Submission 1269	85216	Yes	California gets too much water. Arizona needs more.
Submission 1270	85016	Yes	Every state needs to do their part regarding the Colorado River. Since Arizona is a desert, it needs more water. For this reason Arizona should not take the deepest cut from all the other Upper Basin States.
Submission 1271	85044	Yes	My concern is with the recent development of a data center here in Phoenix 48th street & Knox that we know will require a substantial increase in water usage... If we're concerned about saving water should they be allowed?
Submission 1272	85044	Yes	The upper basin's intransigence is grossly unfair and self serving. California has been stingy with proposed cuts but even they have been more willing to propose reductions in water use than the upper basin states. Arizona needs to drastically reduce agricultural consumption and to sharply reduce residential growth, as painful as this may be. The 100 year supply certification required in the Phoenix metro to allow new construction is a joke. There is no longer any way to guarantee a 100 year water supply and it is more likely that water will eventually be cut off to outlying developments in the valley than it is to permit additional growth.
Submission 1273	85020	Yes	
Submission 1274	85225	Yes	
Submission 1275	85224	Yes	Our State population is growing at a rapid rate. We have limited in State options for water sources. I know a lot about that as I worked for the USDA Forest Service for 40 years and I'm well aware of our drought condition, water sources and challenges. We must have an equitable amount of water for all uses; farming, city, rural and industrial needs. Sincerely, James Payne Resident of AZ for 49 years
Submission 1276	85202	Yes	
Submission 1277	85224	Yes	
Submission 1278	85282	Yes	
Submission 1279	85048	Yes	I think we in Arizona could do much more to save water. We should look what it has been done in other states like Southern Nevada and in other countries like Israel to come up with our own ways to save ad reuse water. However, it is not realistic to keep thinking Arizona should keep being carrying the burden of the Colorado river shortages. This should be definitely a share responsibility across the upper and lower basins.
Submission 1280	85201	Yes	California is the biggest roadblock to all 7 states being afforded an equal share of the precious Colorado River water. Lake mead is disappearing and, if I am recalling the articles I have read in the not too distant past, there are foreign land owners in California farm country who take that water and use it to grow crops that never hit the US market or ship the water away from the USA. That is a foolish thing for our government to allow. Please bring common sense back to the fight for equality. Thank you! Dan
Submission 1281	86004	Yes	
Submission 1282	85257	Yes	
Submission 1283	85205	Yes	
Submission 1284	85226	Yes	
Submission 1285	85008	Yes	
Submission 1286	85048	Yes	
Submission 1287	85203	Yes	
Submission 1288	85226	Yes	Arizona's population continues to grow. New industries are arriving creating a new era for prosperity for Arizonians which will benefit the entire southwest in the long run. It would be unwise to jeopardize the potential for growth by making a critical resource unavailable. Every state that uses the Colorado's water should share in the necessary cuts equally.
Submission 1289	85213	Yes	
Submission 1290	85048	Yes	
Submission 1291	85396	Yes	
Submission 1292	85284	Yes	I can't believe you did not stand up for protecting US citizens before protecting illegal aliens at Sate of the Union address?! You also sat on your butt rather than show support for the SAVE Act, Congressional Medal of Honor recipients, or the US Hockey Team. Who do you represent, surely no one in the great state of Arizona!
Submission 1293	85224	Yes	I moved here from California, they have been collecting taxes for decades to build reservoirs and improve their water collection, and they have done nothing for decades!!! Arizona on the other hand has been doing many things to preserve our water. Because of poor leadership in California, they should not get preferred treatment in this deal!!!
Submission 1294	85268	Yes	AZ needs its fair share of water, and we are experiencing massive population growth. We shall be given a higher share moving forward based on the population growth
Submission 1295	85373	Yes	Water should be equally split. But states should QUIT developing without water supply. Good job for Arizona, thank you.
Submission 1296	85018	Yes	Please advocate for the future of Arizona. Without a fair allocation, our growing state will suffer disproportionately.
Submission 1297	85203	Yes	
Submission 1298	85225	Yes	Limit growth in Arizona and time to plan for desalination of sea of Cortez water. Saudia Arabia and Isreal have captured this method of water recycling. Time is now to act on this dangerous situation.
Submission 1299	85048	Yes	
Submission 1300	85044	Yes	My concern is with the recent development of a data center here in Phoenix 48th street & Knox that we know will require a substantial increase in water usage... If we're concerned about saving water should they be allowed?

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
			Arizona's allocation from the Colorado River must reflect present-day conditions rather than historic assumptions that no longer match actual use, population, and climate realities. Any plan that requires Arizona to absorb the deepest cuts while other basin states are not held to equivalent, enforceable reductions risks undermining both equity and the long-term stability of the system.-br/> Arizona has already made significant commitments to conservation, groundwater management, and reduced per-capita use, particularly in the central and southern parts of the state that depend on the Central Arizona Project. Municipal providers have invested heavily in reuse, recharge, and efficiency, and agricultural users have followed land and implemented conservation programs. These efforts should be recognized in the baseline for future reductions. A framework that penalizes states that acted early while rewarding those that delayed action would create the wrong incentives.-br/> Any agreement must also protect the water security of the communities most vulnerable to supply disruptions. This includes fast-growing metropolitan regions that have already limited new groundwater-dependent development, as well as rural areas and irrigation districts that are critical to regional and national food systems. Tribal nations must receive full recognition of their water rights and adequate infrastructure to access and use their allocations, as these are legal entitlements and essential to long-term basin stability.-br/> The Upper Basin states must be required to contribute measurable, verifiable reductions tied to actual hydrologic conditions. Shortage sharing should be based on real flows in the river system rather than paper allocations that assume water that no longer exists. Without shared responsibility across all seven states, reservoir levels will continue to decline, increasing the risk of crisis management rather than planned adaptation.-br/> Finally, the agreement should create durable incentives for conservation, augmentation, and system efficiency across the entire basin. Investments in reuse, watershed health, agricultural transition, and storage should count toward compliance in a transparent and enforceable way. The goal should not be a temporary political compromise but a structure that stabilizes Lake Mead and Lake Powell, protects the river's ecological health, and provides long-term certainty for the 40 million people who depend on this system.-br/> A fair solution is one that distributes reductions proportionally, rewards demonstrated conservation, requires participation from all basin states, and aligns allocations with the river that actually exists today.
Submission 1301	85210	Yes	
Submission 1302	85201	Yes	Yes. True equity must account for all needs, including municipal water, vital industries, and critical infrastructure protection across the entire basin. A fair agreement requires balanced burden-sharing between both the Lower and Upper Basins to ensure long-term stability and water security for all states involved.
Submission 1303	85213	Yes	In addition to "fighting for a fair deal" concerning the Colorado River, lawmakers in Arizona need to be addressing the issue of all the new construction of high rise apartment buildings going up everywhere, especially in Maracopa County. I for one will not consider conserving water at my home when I see hundreds of new apartment complexes, housing thousands of new apartments. The commercial and residential building in AZ is part of the water problem our state faces. All of the building is ruining the landscape as well as consuming what little water we have.
Submission 1304	85205	Yes	
Submission 1305	85367	Yes	
Submission 1306	85044	Yes	
Submission 1307	85201	Yes	Thank you for your leadership in advocating for Arizona during this critical moment for the Colorado River.-br/> I strongly believe that Arizona should not be required to take the deepest cuts under the Department of the Interior's current proposal. While Arizona has demonstrated good-faith cooperation and has already absorbed significant reductions under the Drought Contingency Plan and subsequent shortage declarations, placing a disproportionate burden on our state now would be inequitable and economically harmful.-br/> Arizona has invested in conservation, infrastructure, groundwater management, and agricultural efficiency. We have shown a willingness to make hard decisions. However, a durable solution must reflect shared responsibility across all basin states, including the Upper Basin. The long-term health of the Colorado River depends on balanced, basin-wide participation—not repeating historical allocation formulas that no longer reflect hydrologic reality.-br/> Negotiations should be guided by science, current usage data, population growth, economic impact, and sustainability—not by legacy assumptions rooted in the original Colorado River Compact that were based on overestimated flow projections. Any plan that asks Arizona to take the deepest cuts risks undermining the economic stability of Arizona.-br/> I appreciate your commitment to advocating for equitable treatment for Arizona. Please continue pressing for a solution that requires a fair proportional participation from the Upper Basin states and prioritizes long-term river health through shared sacrifice and innovation.-br/>
Submission 1308	85283	Yes	Water access is a deeply concerning issue and complicated. It will require courage and strategy to ensure access for all Americans, including Indigenous nations. It begins with addressing the need equitably.
Submission 1309	85044	Yes	Very concerned about Our future.
Submission 1310	86303	Yes	Water is a shared resource. The upper basin states must to do their part.
Submission 1311	85201	Yes	Water rights should not be distributed simply from precedent, but rather from current and projected needs. Arizona is one of the few states along the Colorado that is growing in population significantly, and that cannot be ignored. We need to plan for the future instead of creating dramatic problems for the future.
Submission 1312	86401	Yes	
Submission 1313	85206	Yes	I am very concerned by the proposed draconian reduction in CAP water for Arizona. The state has worked diligently to conserve water, while the upper Colorado basin states have not done so. It is important that CAP water be distributed equitably among the states. To do so, socioeconomic factors, infrastructure needs, and conservation efforts made by each state need to be taken into consideration. Arizona has acted responsibly to the dire situation that the continuing drought has created. At this point, all the other basin states, in particular those in the upper basin, need to do so as well.
Submission 1314	85338	Yes	Why is all of our water being stolen by Saudi Arabia to grow alfalfa, cutting them off would sure help out water issues.
Submission 1315	85208	Yes	Any and all necessary cuts should be shared by all states involved. No one state should shoulder the full burden of the cuts needed to preserve the river.
Submission 1316	86314	Yes	
Submission 1317	85016	Yes	I'm happy to have this even but what I would love to see is all of the states affected do more to conserve. Are there places we can use greywater that we're not? Offer incentives to get rid of lawns and have a desert yard, offer programs to teach people about collecting and using rainwater, etc. But all of this should extend to cooperations located in these states as well and more regulations on AI data centers
Submission 1318	85226	Yes	Our Arizona water needs are 2nd to none. We Arizona's should get a bigger percent of the water from the Colorado river. And if not it needs to be distributed equally among all 7 states.
Submission 1319	85202	Yes	
Submission 1320	85257	Yes	While there are opportunities to continue reducing our water usage in our state, our state should not give up our fair share of this precious resource.
Submission 1321	85202	Yes	
Submission 1322	86315	Yes	
Submission 1323	85202	Yes	Every state in the Colorado river basin should reduce their share of the available water.
Submission 1325	85044	Yes	I'm counting on you to lead the way on this and do what is best for Arizona. Thank you for your work.
Submission 1326	85213	Yes	First: equitably does not imply equally! The needs of each state should be evaluated by a TRUSTED and "truly" INDEPENDANT review.-br/> Second: The available water should be allocated by percentage. For example, if the total requested water is higher than the available water, then reduce each request by the SAME percentage until the total request matches the total available.
Submission 1327	85258	Yes	Arizona, being amongst the driest states in the country, should not bear all the burden of water distribution. Not to mention that Arizona is quickly becoming one of the most populated states in the country. Not only for the winters with the influx of "Snowbirds" but year-round because many are living here year-round realizing the "dry" heat is not as bad as states with "high" humidity. Therefore, there is a stronger need for water in Arizona with recently less rainfall and snow to make a difference in how much water is available.
Submission 1328	85379	Yes	I am a native Phoenician and I believe we need to protect our most valuable resources, especially water!
Submission 1329	85206	Yes	This is a very serious situation for ALL states who share water from Colorado river. All 4 states need to have equal privilege.-br/> However, we need to be good steward beginning right now. Sooo much water is wasted by lawns by homeowners and specially business owners. -br/>
Submission 1330	85226	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1331	85018	Yes	Yes, all states that use the Colorado River should share equitably. In addition, Phoenix needs to do a much better job with water conservation - the number of businesses that have sprinklers running into the street, water main breaks, etc., all need to be addressed and significantly reduced.
Submission 1332	85226	Yes	
Submission 1333	85226	Yes	
Submission 1334	85225	Yes	I think so. Equitability is about giving people how much they need, and I think everyone deserves how much they need. If we divided it equally, places who need more, will go without water longer than those who don't need as much water.
Submission 1335	85203	Yes	
Submission 1336	85258	Yes	
Submission 1337	86001	Yes	
Submission 1338	85012	Yes	We are running out of water--this is clear. We need to be at the forefront of the fight for ecological solutions that save and preserve water for ALL. AI water use needs to be heavily examined and REGULATED!!!! Our native populations are suffering the most!!! We must show up for them.
Submission 1339	85048	Yes	
Submission 1340	85210	Yes	
Submission 1341	85204	Yes	
Submission 1342	85201	Yes	Yes, Equality should also take into account the use of large water features such as, fountains and entertainment rides, ect. California should be paying a large portion, followed by Nevada and then Arizona. I would like to see Arizona doing more with water allocation and water management.
Submission 1343	85257	Yes	
Submission 1344	85202	Yes	We should not be opening any data centers (even if cities receive financial compensation) nor starting any new construction/developments if water needs/consumption are not already in place. We need to support the residents who already reside here and not contribute further to a water crisis. If we cannot avoid data centers, their utility rates should be 100% more than the residents. Financial gain in any capacity (data centers, city funds, housing development) is greatly harming what water resources we currently have and may have in the future.
Submission 1345	85283	Yes	I have been so concerned about our water supply for years. Please do all that you can for our state of Arizona
Submission 1346	85210	Yes	I think that we need to lower to lower levels of what the river actually produces. Then we can look at what cut. California is the largest user of water. When we have the billions to fallow fields, the El Centro cartel just sold the water to San Diego and made more money. We need to force the Cartel to improve their watering delivery to all fields and re-evaluate their use of water. If we force this they may change what they plant to less water using crops. This may need to be forced. Get them away from alfalfa we do not have the water to support it.-br/>
Submission 1348	85206	Yes	Please list what the basin states are (upper and lower) as this is not widely known by the public. I think we should have more regulations and conservation and live in Mesa.
Submission 1349	85209	Yes	
Submission 1350	85225	Yes	
Submission 1352	85044	Yes	Thank You Greg for what you are doing!-br/>Years ago, water was not a concern, and it was said there would be plenty for the future in Arizona. Meanwhile this issue was looming. In other words:-br/>It just seems that the issue with the Colorado River came upon suddenly and at nearly panic time, though apparently this loomed for years. rather than consider importation projects via WIFA's long-term water augmentation fund, why shouldn't we consider local, previously untapped resources? one example is the brackish groundwater mound in Buckeye...would ADWR consider relaxing the AMA rules under extenuating circumstances?
Submission 1353	85205	Yes	
Submission 1354	85224	Yes	
Submission 1355	85224	Yes	I do my best to conserve water. I believe all the states involved should divide the water evenly.
Submission 1356	85225	Yes	
Submission 1357	85206	Yes	Also consider population and climate...
Submission 1358	85226	Yes	
Submission 1360	85048	Yes	No one, no state, no government agency has the right to claim exclusive sovereignty over any natural resource. Let the states affected by the Colorado distribute its life-giving water equitably and fairly.
Submission 1361	85282	Yes	Correct the historical water allocation errors caused by erroneous assumptions of water-flow based on an unusually wet period which has proven not to be representative of actual observed flow rates. All 7 states should have allotments recalculated with more realistic data. Equitable allotment parameters should include current population, projected population growth and economic importance to the nation as a whole. Lower Basin states grow a significant portion of the nation's food, especially in the winter.
Submission 1362	85215	Yes	Arizona needs to monitor and limit the new high water user companies that are coming into the valley as well as those that are already here. They should all be using reclaimed water for any 'non-food' manufacturing/cooling towers etc... Golf course that are not currently, should be switching over to reclaim water as well.
Submission 1363	85259	Yes	A good place to start is to prevent the Scottsdale Board of Supervisors from building any more dense hi-rise complexions such as was approved for Axion.
Submission 1364	85284	Yes	
Submission 1365	85257	Yes	Arizona is in the lower Colorado and there is much less rain these days. In the interests of the need to water both crops and people, yes, please be fair.
Submission 1366	85257	Yes	Arizona is in the lower Colorado and there is much less rain these days. In the interests of the need to water both crops and people, yes, please be fair.
Submission 1367	85045	Yes	Cuts should be distributed based on populations of the areas the water ends up.-br/>-br/>Furthermore, how the Phoenix area doesn't have water restrictions already in place baffles me. I've lived here 33 years and never hear anyone recommending this. Just another example of idiot republican shortsightedness and no vision whatsoever. Drill baby drill, right?
Submission 1368	85020	Yes	People waste water in ALL these states. How do we get public service announcements to the public in this day and age? We had them for auto safety (seat belts) and anti-littering before social media took over. Teaching people about their daily habits that waste water would be a small but valuable start. I'm invisible at this age so thanks for listening.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1369	85281	Yes	
Submission 1370	85288	Yes	This should be equally distributed amongst all seven states.
Submission 1371	85205	Yes	
Submission 1372	85044	Yes	
Submission 1373	85284	Yes	I believe we need to share unless there is better situation to ensure Arizona has water for at least 100 years.
Submission 1374	85201	Yes	
Submission 1375	85044	Yes	Arizona is growing too rapidly. So many apartments are built without giving much consideration to traffic, water supply pollution and global warming Although there has been an agreement in place between the states for many years related to water sharing, today's situation for all of the states that probably was not a consideration when these agreements were made. At this point there does not seem to be enough water, even with rationing and cuts, and there is not much in the reservoirs. It is well known agriculture makes up the majority of water usage. I think it is time to consider how to reduce agricultural usage distributed equitably throughout the states. I see this as a temporary plan as at some point the drought is likely to end or a plan to utilize desalinated ocean water could be enacted to increase a shared source if fresh water for these states. I am of the opinion only the federal government can provide most of the funding for such a project with other costs shared by the states. In the short term, the federal government could ease the pain of agricultural water cuts by setting up a fund to pay farmers some amount to not plant crops. This has been going on for many years in the mid-west and other parts of the US. Why can't it be done for the states in the water crisis right now? Of course, this would be an extremely complicated project and would require cooperation by a number of government agencies as well farming companies and individuals who run their own farms. It requires selfless not selfish acts. Likely there would not be a great benefit to anyone, only shared pain. Additionally, the administration can't keep cutting the size of the federal government. Tax dollars are the only way this is going to work, which as far as I am concerned is the entire purpose of government which is to provide a benefit to the many through coordination and cooperation. In the long term, keeping everyone afloat as a bridge until the situation changes or another source of water is developed benefits the entire country as it keeps the economic engine going until a more permanent solution can be established. Unfortunately, our current administration is not a team of individuals looking for solutions that helps everyone. They are an administration that is selfish, blames everyone else, and doesn't see any issue if some suffer while they don't. Definitely not a team spirited group of leaders.
Submission 1376	85283	Yes	
Submission 1377	85355	Yes	Sadly there's still a lot of warehouses and housing still being built in AZ. Farming is moving to others areas so water is very significant here.
Submission 1378	85213	Yes	
Submission 1379	85204	Yes	Yes, BUT. You would think that someone could have foreseen the fallacy of farming in the desert. High water crops such as alfalfa and any other crop for livestock feed, and foreign entities that export it to other countries should be banned. We need fair groundwater extraction laws, and limit water hogging data centers. We all know that residential water use is but a fraction of our water use. Stop giving it away and making residents pay for it. We could have enacted sensible restrictions ages ago, but I'm sure there's a silly reason why we didn't. I still see churches and apartment/condo complexes with a lot of green. Put in more public green spaces and trees and cool everyone off. Cover the CAP with solar panels, not just a small experiment. Find an alternative to black roads that radiate heat. Stop with the rubberized asphalt. Encourage residential solar even if tRump won't. Give incentives for pool covers. They're expensive. Really push for xeriscaping. We've been in this drought for TWENTY FIVE years. WHAT TOOK SO LONG? Does everything have to be a crisis before it's addressed?
Submission 1380	85282	Yes	
Submission 1381	85202	Yes	Yes, cuts should be distributed equitably across the seven basin states — but not simply equal percentages or solely by legal seniority. True equity in this context means: A distribution framework that: Accounts for hydrologic realities (what water actually exists) Respects existing legal rights while adapting to a new climate regime Encourages shared conservation commitments Protects the functionality of the river system for all users Scales contributions based on: Volume of use Economic and social reliance Capacity to conserve or augment water supplies This aligns with what the Draft EIS is trying to evaluate — how different approaches impact users equally or unequally under future conditions. It doesn't prescribe a single answer yet, precisely because equitable sharing is complex and contingent on how risky or dry the hydrology gets.
Submission 1382	85257	Yes	It can get very crispy here in Arizona. We need Colorado River water. Please give us plenty.
Submission 1383	85224	Yes	I do my best to conserve water. I believe all the states involved should divide the water evenly.
Submission 1384	85283	Yes	
Submission 1385	85226	Yes	Water scarcity is such a frightening thought. Yes, distribution must be equitable. And each of us must do everything we can to conserve, conserve, conserve. Thank you.
Submission 1386	85260	Yes	Please work with Alex Kologan, who has many models to address the available water of the Colorado River, running under the state of AZ. In addition, stop considering and approving dense hi-rise complexes that Axion is famous for! These are extraordinary burdens on our infrastructure! The People of AZ have expressed our opposition, yet we are ignored! Why?!
Submission 1387	85375	Yes	
Submission 1388	85213	Yes	The agreement should be equitable and cuts should be shared among the states involved. Arizona is the most rapidly growing state and should not have to pull more than an equal share. Agriculture should be taken into account as Arizona is a producer of many crops but has significantly reduced farm land and citrus orchards which benefit the rest of the country. Lake Powel should be protected as a valuable storage of fresh water as well as clean energy producer.
Submission 1389	85016	Yes	
Submission 1390	85382	Yes	But what is equitable?
Submission 1391	85275	Yes	Arizonas population has increased many times since the water rights were agreed upon. I think it should be divided by population or evenly.
Submission 1392	85020	Yes	
Submission 1393	85396	Yes	Perhaps it would be wise to rethink the unlimited growth that has been the standard for the last decade. We do live in a desert environment and we have known for decades that water is one of our most precious and limited resources. The time to deal with these issues was fifty years ago. Equitable is good but, realistically we are all still going to have to conserve! Thank You for your service!
Submission 1394	85225	Yes	Use the water rationally and don't drain the source...or block the water at the source.
Submission 1395	85048	Yes	I am very concerned about the AI data center being built on 48th street between Ray and Chandler blvd. That center alone will use an obscene amount of electricity and water. Please note my family is against this project going forward, although our opinions will not matter.
Submission 1396	85224	Yes	All Colorado water users, especially including farmers, need to share the pain of the cuts needed due to climate change. First in time, first in right is an archaic law of appropriation in our current climate situation. The original water rights are built on a sand foundation of over appropriation for outdated agricultural practices that won't stand up to the population shift that has occurred.
Submission 1397	85254	Yes	
Submission 1399	85251	Yes	
Submission 1400	85048	Yes	Thank you for driving this effort. A 7-state deal looks unlikely, so the fed's decision must be equitable and not penalize AZ for its "junior rights" status. Too many AZ lives & industries will be impacted. Though litigation appears inevitable afterwards, a fair decision hopefully will help resolve the legal battle faster. The Interior Dept should also base its decision on the fact that river flow will remain low for years to come. Business as usual is no longer an option.
Submission 1401	85210	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1402	85206	Yes	
Submission 1403	85048	Yes	
Submission 1404	85215	Yes	
Submission 1405	85261	Yes	
Submission 1406	86315	Yes	
Submission 1407	85206	Yes	
Submission 1408	85205	Yes	
Submission 1409	85020	Yes	
Submission 1410	85282	Yes	What right does the Federal Gov't have to determine states' water rights?
Submission 1411	85226	Yes	I agree equitably only after Native communities have had all legally binding agreements, treaties, proclamations, charters, contracts, whatever word you prefer, met fully and responsibly.
Submission 1412	85225	Yes	1st, define equitably; same amount per state, per capita, per water shed contributions? So your question lacks metrics and looks like some form of virtue signaling as a good steward of the constituents but no metrics or info on the subject. So it looks like total BS. This is not in my scope of expertise, hence a republic (your job), so find the best water experts that know the current laws and know the laws that regulate these water rights so you know how to educate us.
Submission 1413	85226	Yes	
Submission 1414	85288	Yes	As an overseas voter in Arizona, I also call Colorado a temporary home, a heart in both places, so I can see this issue from more than one perspective. One thing I know for sure: If you want to go fast, go alone; if you want to go far, go together. An African proverb. We all sink or swim, forgive the pun, together. I'm for the collective approach that benefits the most people. We are the UNITED States. Still.
Submission 1415	85250	Yes	So many countries are using desalination now There is no reason that states that touch ocean water, should not too And allow us landlocked states all the water necessary for survival
Submission 1416	85016	Yes	
Submission 1417	85257	Yes	
Submission 1418	85224	Yes	I'm very concerned about a water shortage with all the data centers that are in AZ.
Submission 1419	30058	Yes	
Submission 1420	85283	Yes	Distribution should be based on population and at a minimum equitable. Those states who have taken meaningful action to manage water more effectively (AZ for one) should be rewarded, not penalized for working to preserve this critical resource.
Submission 1421	85224	Yes	
Submission 1422	85215	Yes	
Submission 1423	85260	Yes	It is only fair for the cuts to be shared equally among the seven states for the following reasons: Firstly, AZ population has doubled since the 90's. Secondly, AZ water is used for agriculture to accommodate many states providing lettuce and greens as well as animal feeds. Thirdly, AZ provides tremendous amount of water for cooling data centers, ai centers with over 140 facilities in Phoenix Metropolitan.
Submission 1424	85224	Yes	
Submission 1425	85226	Yes	Arizona needs their fair amount water from the CO agreement. Also, AZ must control growth. There are too many warehouses being built. Too much construction and no real balance with the water needs or the heat that the construction and human activity generates.
Submission 1426	86436	Yes	
Submission 1427	86314	Yes	Arizona is a desert and it's very hot. We should not be cut back any more water than the other states. Arizona keeps growing people wise and we do need more water, but I think it's time to stop a lot of people from moving in here.
Submission 1428	85281	Yes	"Equitable" to me might include a required phasing out of limitless flood irrigation for historic farm fields in neighboring states. Tangentially, I would love to see more support for investment in direct potable reuse water systems
Submission 1429	85207	Yes	fair distribution
Submission 1430	85206	Yes	Yes! However, with so many new residents arriving, and so much building happening, more effort should be placed on conservation. Golf courses should be cut back, soaker irrigation must be REQUIRED, and automatic sprinkler programs dismantled. When, yes when, we do have rain, sprinklers should not be on. Look, I've lived all around the world and there is no more neglect to conservation than here in the United States. We should be ashamed.
Submission 1431	85248	Yes	In 1926, AZ population was 8.9% of the population of CA. It is now over 16%. So water allotments should reflect the percentage of the current populations in each of the affected states. Because AZ population has increased proportionally in the last 100 years, the AZ allotment of water should match that increase.
Submission 1432	85204	Yes	
Submission 1433	85281	Yes	
Submission 1434	85224	Yes	I believe all states that share water resources from the Colorado river should endure the same restrictions and not have any single state have inequitable restrictions.
Submission 1435	85012	Yes	I'm a 78 year old woman living in Phoenix. I think that there should be a fair equal distribution of water. Also I see water waste in many ways. There should be laws to not water grass lawns and also for water restricted shower heads. I think that people, livestock and agriculture should come first. There's too much waste of water and the public must be aware how serious this crucial problem is.
Submission 1436	85225	Yes	Yes, cuts should be distributed equally.
Submission 1437	85213	Yes	Big data centers need to pay a fair share of their water intake by investing on other sources of water and not rely on water from river colorado
Submission 1438	85203	Yes	
Submission 1439	85044	Yes	
Submission 1440	85225	Yes	Arizona needs to continue to build its water conservation program and should shift the water cost to intensive water users for agricultural purposes. Internationally based ag businesses should pay a large premium for their AZ water usage.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1441	85044	Yes	We need our fair share of the CRW. But we also must curb in-need residential development in the Phoenix metro area. And require new Industries to minimize water use, recycle their water and use reclaimed water rather than potable water.
Submission 1442	85048	Yes	No one state should be cut more than the others
Submission 1443	85282	Yes	Arizona should not be penalized for being good at managing the water we receive from the Colorado River. The only fair resolution is equitable cuts from every affected state. The current percentages should be maintained. Global Warming continues. Our government cannot get together on anything. 2025-26 Very Hot and Dry Winter. Roosevelt Lake is at 46 percent full; Colorado River, Lake Powell, Lake Mead and Havasu are very low water level too. The Phoenix valley keeps exploding with building, building and more building creating a heat dome made of steel, concrete and asphalt. It's amazing we are still alive at all, and this summer will be very interesting. Washington has sold out its citizens and the top-level gangsters in the white house and congress are tearing up the U.S. Constitution. Don't need to be a rocket scientist to know that the least our government can do is to equitably share water from the Colorado River. How about finally building a DESALINATION PLANT near the Sea of Cortez with a Pipeline into Arizona like they have been discussing for DECADES!!! TAKE SOME ACTION NOW, BEFORE WE ALL DIE DUE TO LACK OF WATER!!!!
Submission 1444	85208	Yes	
Submission 1445	85210	Yes	
Submission 1446	85283	Yes	As our business opportunities are going in Arizona, so will the need for more people living here to fill the jobs that will be created. If there is not enough water we cannot grow our economy. If the water is equally shared then all the states can work together to build up the economy of the entire region.
Submission 1447	85226	Yes	
Submission 1448	85210	Yes	I WANT A FAIR DEAL FOR MY STATE
Submission 1449	85226	Yes	
Submission 1450	85284	Yes	
Submission 1451	85282	Yes	Arizona is a growing state. Water is needed to sustain its future. Equitable water distribution is only fair.
Submission 1452	85202	Yes	Water is critical to our survival in this climate. It must be shared equally.
Submission 1453	85202	Yes	
Submission 1454	85296	Yes	
Submission 1455	85745	Yes	This plan for sharing water is essential to all seven states. The unreasonable burden on Arizona is unconscionable, and the result untenable.-br/>We must develop fair sharing among all of the users. Thank you. I am not well versed in the Colorado River basin issue so I am not sure why Arizona is being tasked with the largest cuts. I do feel like local Arizona leaders are responsible with water allocations, the city of Chandler has been changing some of the park areas to Desert landscape from grass to conserve water. I know that the Governor has been making sure any new communities have a plan to make sure water can be provided to communities for 100 years before approval is granted. Many of the cities already use reclaimed water for park irrigation. Cuts should be equitable for each state that uses Colorado River water. I would only support increased liability for Arizona if things like electricity provided by Lake Mead were in jeopardy.
Submission 1456	85226	Yes	
Submission 1457	85284	Yes	Every state should pledge to a conservation goal so each state takes steps to use LESS water. If we don't ALL take steps to conserve the water and make the health of the river a top priority, we will ALL lose the one resource none of us can survive without.
Submission 1458	85224	Yes	We are the hottest of most of these states and we should not be taking the biggest cut of water
Submission 1459	85215	Yes	
Submission 1460	85013	Yes	
Submission 1461	85201	Yes	
Submission 1462	85259	Yes	
Submission 1463	85201	Yes	
Submission 1464	85284	Yes	With the number of new people moving into Az, we need a more equitable portion than was agreed to. There should be no new building of data centers and other large businesses if we can't get enough water.
Submission 1465	85044	Yes	I am the single occupant of a home with desert landscaping so my water needs are small but necessary. Clearly, historical usage and potential for further conservation measures should be major factors in the allocation between and within states. Thank you.
Submission 1466	85044	Yes	Our state is rapidly growing. And with the impacts of climate change already here, there is no time for old politics.
		Yes	
Submission 1468	85213	Yes	It is important that the Imperial Valley in CA (+the similarly irrigated agricultural lands near Yuma, AZ) be provided with enough water, since that is a multi-Billion-dollar industry. It is also true that the West side of "The Valley" needs plenty of water for the growing population. Much of the East Valley, and all areas served by SRP, gets most of its water from the Salt River, which water comes from the completely separate watershed of the White Mountains area
Submission 1469	85203	Yes	
Submission 1470	85202	Yes	
Submission 1471	85284	Yes	
Submission 1472	85201	Yes	
Submission 1473	85257	Yes	Population increase (People keep moving to AZ) .. We have data centers opening in AZ (e.g. Microsoft Azure cloud US West 3, Apple etc) which serve the whole US, not just AZ ... and the Feds want us to cut back more than 17% ... seems political rather than based on projected future use
Submission 1474	85284	Yes	Yes.From the perspective of Elinor Ostrom's work in Governing the Commons—for which she received the Nobel Prize in Economics in 2009—sustainable and legitimate water governance requires rules that are collectively designed by the users, reflect local conditions, and are perceived as fair across all parties. Equitably distributing cuts among all seven basin states, with each state bearing a proportionate responsibility for protecting the Colorado River, aligns with these principles of shared governance, mutual monitoring, and graduated but balanced obligations. Water should be distributed by a calculation based on population first and secondarily critical industries such as food growth, livestock production. Anything related to living things taken into account first 75%. Other industries such as chips and data centers, anything deemed critical business should also have a score related to other states but counted maybe 25% vs population 75% and allocate water accordingly. Especially given our sub par snowpack this year changes could be devastating. Would be good to know how many years the underwater Central AZ Storage can sustain us in event of a smaller allocation.
Submission 1475	85284	Yes	
Submission 1476	85215	Yes	Greg, it's only fair that the Colorado River water should be shared equally. I am also concerned with Trump's repealing of the EPA rules and the behavior of ICE in Minnesota and around the country. I think we are fighting an autocracy here, and I pray that you and other lawmakers can control this. Thank you.
		Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1478	85048	Yes	
Submission 1479	85203	Yes	Arizona has historically worked to conserve water for today and for the future and it's growing population is desperately in need of its fare share of water as it continues to grow national companies and programs for America.
Submission 1480	85226	Yes	There should be fair cuts by all states taking water from the Colorado River.
Submission 1481	85203	Yes	Out of the 7 states along the Colorado River, the desert state of Arizona needs at minimum an equitable portion of water, not less. Water resources are already limited in our desert terrain and a reduction in our supply could be devastating to our residents, environment, farming, and commerce.
Submission 1482	85045	Yes	Water should be shared equitably among the 7 basin states that share the Colorado River. "Equitably" does NOT mean that California should get the lion's share. Colorado and Arizona, from which the most water comes, needs THEIR water and must demand it not be further squandered on California. California has demanded more and more and more water over the decades, and then mismanages it. Enough. This is like Ethiopia wanting to build a dam that will keep the Nile from flowing in Egypt. It's unconscionable and California needs to cease & desist.
Submission 1483	85142	Yes	To divide the Colorado River water, equally, is simply FAIR .. !!
Submission 1484	85213	Yes	
Submission 1485	85248	Yes	AND NO AI DATA CENTERS USING UP WHAT WATER WE DO HAVE Thank you, Deb Davis
Submission 1486	85215	Yes	While seven states share the dwindling river it makes common sense that each state must reduce its usage using a fair and equitably percent.
Submission 1487	85021	Yes	
Submission 1488	85215	Yes	
Submission 1489	85044	Yes	Population sizes per state should be considered when dividing the water
Submission 1490	85021	Yes	Governor Hobbs has done some work about Arizona's future water need.I don't know where it stands. We need more resources for future. Maybe create pipelines from the ocean for desalination. Maybe pipeline from upper midwestern to bring water to southwest. Needs to be started soon!
Submission 1491	85282	Yes	
Submission 1492	85225	Yes	I would like for a deal that ensures Arizona gets the water we need. On another note, I would also like to see you help protect Arizona's water supply by passing legislation that bans chemical abortion pills, which put harmful abortive chemicals as well as the remains of aborted babies in our water supply. God bless you.
Submission 1493	85342	Yes	
Submission 1494	86442	Yes	AZ already has one of the lowest rates of usage from Colorado River water and has already instituted usage reductions. Many other states, especially California, have done virtually nothing to seriously reduce their usage. AZ should NOT bear the majority of any proposed usage reductions!
Submission 1495	85016	Yes	Too many share the water and it won't get any better, No one seems to address this problem, but we have to find ways to reduce our population (world-wide). If we don't start now to look for solutions, at the rate humanity is expanding, at some point in the not too distant future (geologically speaking), there will not be a choice. To be sure, it is an almost insurmountable task, but it needs to be tackled. As a German-American, I have my own (benign) thoughts on this matter, but you may not want to know the details.
Submission 1496	85018	Yes	Our immense growth in industry and in population in our state continues This natural resource is essential now and in the future.
Submission 1497	85032	Yes	We need to address the issue of water in our state. I support Greg Stanton's views.
Submission 1498	85259	Yes	Overbuilding is placing everyone and all the desert flora and fauna at risk. Without water will will all perish.
Submission 1499	85224	Yes	
Submission 1500	85210	Yes	Arizona receives very little rain and has higher temperatures than most other states, so of course we need a reliable water supply. Many people are moving here from states like California, making our water needs even higher. Our population is growing! We also have many farmers who depend on a steady water supply to grow fruits and vegetables for the country's food supply.
Submission 1501	85206	Yes	what is equitable? I think that is very vague wording. I think the even more severe drought in the lower basin areas needs to be figured into the distribution plans, and our possible loss of being able to create the necessary electricity for a large area are reasons to get a change in the allocations for the lower basin states. Also, of course, the way the feds would divide things up for us all, of no plan is decided on, is more good reasoning for the water issue representatives to work with us.
Submission 1502	85008	Yes	I believe water security is the biggest threat to Arizona's future.
Submission 1503	85205	Yes	
Submission 1504	85208	Yes	
Submission 1505	85048	Yes	
Submission 1506	85224	Yes	
Submission 1507	85205	Yes	
Submission 1508	85048	Yes	We all need to conserve water as much as possible. Water should be distributed equally and fairly among the 7 states.
Submission 1509	85205	Yes	
Submission 1510	85048	Yes	
Submission 1511	85282	Yes	
Submission 1512	85048	Yes	I care about Arizona and I care about our environment. We need to be thoughtful about using the river and be equitable with all of the states sharing the burden of care.
Submission 1513	85206	Yes	
Submission 1514	85284	Yes	I would like to see the states ranked by usage and also by population so I have a better understanding of the issue. I would also like to see historical data on how much water the river is providing us and how much it has changed annually. I also wonder how much longer it will be sustainable to count on the river to provide us water.
		Yes	Arizona has always been part of the Colorado River Valley water resources board. There have been Supreme Court cases validating Arizona's part of this.

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1516	85226	Yes	
Submission 1517	85202	Yes	If Arizona is to continue to attract new businesses and residents, an equitable division of Colorado River water is imperative. If Arizona is becoming a state with a large stake chip manufacturing and data centers, that will require more water not less.
Submission 1518	85021	Yes	It is fair that all 7 states share equally. Arizona has done much to preserve water. Other states need to do the same. We have farms, cattle and reservoirs and reservations as well as individual communities that need water. Water should be rationed accordingly in each state.
Submission 1519	85226	Yes	
Submission 1520	85208	Yes	
Submission 1521	85282	Yes	I AM CONCERNED ABOUT TRUMP ATTACKING OTHER COUNTRIES WITH OUT ANY INPUT FROM CONGRESS. DOES THE CONSTITUTION MEAN NOTHING TO YOU PEOPLE?
Submission 1522	85282	Yes	Arizona should not shoulder the biggest burden for these cuts. Our population and growth is greater that many upper basin states. Cuts should be made equally among the states.
Submission 1523	85213	Yes	ALL States must share the burden of water conservation and California MUST come to grips with their history of wasteful usage! No state should allow the unmitigated WASTE of fresh water by the rich or famous people of the world! PERIOD!
Submission 1524	85044	Yes	The current compact is ancient and should be redone in it's entirety. California should get cut back the most, as it has little watershed to the river, yet they get the most from it ? That makes zero sense. Thanks.
Submission 1525	85020	Yes	
Submission 1526	85206	Yes	I urge the Bureau to adopt a shortage alternative that distributes reductions equitably across all Basin states consistent with the framework of the Colorado River Compact. Arizona and other Lower Basin states have already committed to significant reductions. Any final decision should ensure that Upper Basin states also share in meaningful, system-wide conservation efforts. No single state should bear a disproportionate burden. Reservoir conditions at Lake Powell remain critically low, and protecting operations at Glen Canyon Dam requires shared responsibility across the entire Colorado River Basin. A durable solution must reflect balanced reductions, Compact compliance, and long-term system sustainability.
Submission 1527	85282	Yes	There are several million people who live in Arizona and they would be forced to leave the state if they are able too if there is not enough water, those who wouldn't be able to leave would be forced to suffer if they do this to Arizona!!!
Submission 1528	85205	Yes	AZ towns need to SERIOUSLY restrict grass & landscape water usage; also swim pools need more regulation. Data centers need close scrutiny & serious regulation.
Submission 1529	85044	Yes	
Submission 1530	86326	Yes	
Submission 1531	85225	Yes	Why should Arizona take the deepest cut? Shouldn't it be a fair deal? Why does Arizona have foreign countries using our precious water? Saudi Arabia uses a large amount of Arizona water to grow alfalfa and send the hay back to their own country. Why is that allowed in Arizona, when we need the water for our own needs?
Submission 1532	85020	Yes	
Submission 1533	85224	Yes	
Submission 1534	85213	Yes	I own and live on property in Mesa, AZ. During the 43 years I have lived on this property, my family has irrigated our acreage to maintain grazing land for small numbers of cattle, goats, or horses. It would not have been possible for my family to have this ability without the water we were allotted. In addition to small family farms, Arizona must have its fair share of Colorado water to maintain its agricultural businesses which benefit all of us.
Submission 1535	85205	Yes	Could distribution possible be based on population? Would that make it worse than it is now for Arizona? Why is the DOI proposing that Arizona take the deepest cut of all? Whatever those answers are, Arizona should definitely not be bullied by more politically influential states!
Submission 1536	85251	Yes	
Submission 1537	85225	Yes	
Submission 1538	85048	Yes	
Submission 1539	85257	Yes	
Submission 1540	85020	Yes	
Submission 1541	85226	Yes	Arizona is a desert state. Of course we need water to survive. Particularly the Native Reservations. In Colorado, moving water from the river to parched areas has created thousands of acres of cultivated land in cities like Delta, Olathe, Orchard City. That benefits everyone in that state. We should have the same in AZ, where the Reservations can also cultivate thousands of acres of land that at this point in time are just parched and wasted. Do not take the deep water cuts, they are a way to submit the people of our state.
Submission 1542	85020	Yes	I don't believe Arizona should bear the brunt of cuts. I do think we need to have every household decrease water use.
Submission 1543	85206	Yes	I support your drafted letter regarding negotiations for equitable conservation regulations between the Upper and Lower Basin areas.
Submission 1544	85283	Yes	It's understood everyone needs to conserve water. I do think St George, Utah is being greedy in their response to wanting more Colorado River water. It's a desert, their uncontrolled use of grass for golf courses is unsustainable!
Submission 1545	85257	Yes	For decades, Arizona has done its part in conserving precious water resources. It is time to distribute Colorado River water equitably to all seven states that depend on this life-giving resource, including Arizona. If reductions in water allocations must be made due to the ongoing drought, they should be made equitably across the board. Upper Basin states need to take responsibility for preservation of water quality impacting the health of the Colorado River.
Submission 1546	85014	Yes	I marked yes with the understanding that we stop allowing wasteful data centers to use the water to cool their centers. This is the most wasteful use of water besides the almond farms that are sprouting up due to right to use rules. Finally bottling water is not necessary and should be stopped. We live in a desert and we do not act like it.
Submission 1547	85206	Yes	Contention upon population!
Submission 1548	86301	Yes	
Submission 1549	85268	Yes	This is particularly important when you consider our population growth, the amount of agricultural farming and our drought situation.
Submission 1550	85283	Yes	Equitably may be the wrong word realistically. The details really will matter a lot. However every state should take cuts and be able to verify actions and results. The Upper Basin saying things that sound like "we already gave and can't do more" just don't ring true. Arizona and all of the states are in a pickle due to previously almost unimaginable circumstances. Everyone has to give and conserve likely till it really hurts for all.
Submission 1551	85201	Yes	As you are well aware, water is critical here in Arizona. Thank you for fighting for a fair deal for our state!
Submission 1552	85201	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1553	85226	Yes	We can't keep doing things the 'same old way'. In the past several years Arizonans have moved to less water intensive crops and industries. We should not be penalized because other states refuse to adjust. They want to continue the profits from their established harvests (pistachios and other high water use items). Their profit margin is NOT more important than our need for a fair share of the water rights. Every state needs to make cuts and no one state 'deserves' a higher allotment just because they've gotten it in the past.
Submission 1554	85014	Yes	Fight for a fair distribution between the 7 states.
Submission 1555	85206	Yes	
Submission 1556	85202	Yes	All states that share & benefit from the natural resources of the Colorado River should share the responsibility of said benefit EQUALLY. It is not only fair, but it's imperative to our survival in the southwest.
Submission 1557	85205	Yes	Arizona is home to the 5th largest city in the US (Phoenix). We are also one of the fastest growing states by population. In fact of all the Colorado River Basin States we are second only to California in total overall population. Please weigh this in searching to create a decision that is fair to the needs of Arizonans.
Submission 1558	85202	Yes	
Submission 1559	85201	Yes	I think equitable share should be considered and followed through with. You need to fight for AI regulation and against data centers. A fair deal should be the aim, making sure our tribal partners are included in decision-making. And like it or not we need to discuss as a community whether large water consuming practices can be eliminated (grass, pools) Mid century timelines are not that far away.
Submission 1560	85248	Yes	My vote is that the states should all share the water equally. I also think that AZ should limit the amount of people moving into AZ through limits on housing. Unless we limit our total state water usage we can't save the amount of water used. Thank you for your concern and help on this important issue.
Submission 1561	85225	Yes	IO be blunt, F... CA. Second, given the shortage of water ... no more cloud storage facilities in AZ. Third, Force cloud storage companies to pay for the additional infrastructure required to service them. DO NOT add to us residential fokes. Years A go I spent over \$20K to make my home more energy efficient, BUT SRP keeps raising prices. I am now on social Security, & do to high cancer treatment bill receiving some financial help from Leukemia Foundation, God Bless. BUT Inflation is is going to force me to stop taking supplements & perhaps cutting food budget. I am equal opportunity ... I dispise eboth parties as they just spend spend spend. They spew to much hot air for the most part & solve little.
Submission 1562	85286	Yes	I keep a modest pasture for my horses. My needs for irrigation water are as important as anyone else's. If I need to endure cuts that impact my lifestyle, so should those in other states.
Submission 1563	85283	Yes	
Submission 1564	85203	Yes	I believe water is THE most important issue facing Arizona and our future. Thank you for addressing this issue head-on.
Submission 1565	85205	Yes	"Equitable" is the operative word!
Submission 1566	85226	Yes	
Submission 1567	85716	Yes	
Submission 1568	85206	Yes	
Submission 1569	85284	Yes	
Submission 1570	85203	Yes	Arizona needs our fair share of the water from the Colorado River. Thank you for representing Arizona on this issue. Absolutely. Why should Arizona be penalized? I can answer my own question. This administration has it in for us. I'm not convinced that the junior/senior priority system applies to today's Arizona. The current population and multi-family housing explosion coupled with industrial growth and even planned detention centers will further tax our diminishing groundwater supply, no longer supported by healthy monsoon seasons. Farming in Yuma County provides nearly 90% of the nation's winter greens. In 2022 we saw up to an 87% water rights reduction to some farmers in Maricopa County. How much more can they take? The upper basin states need to do their part and in fact, the entire nation needs to exercise better water management. I still see people let water run carelessly while brushing their teeth and washing dishes. People need to think, and this may require a massive ad campaign. We cannot trust the billionaires in Washington to accomplish this as they have made quick business of all green environmental advances made by the previous administration. We need to educate people and must have our fair share of the water to flourish.
Submission 1571	85203	Yes	
Submission 1572	85048	Yes	Population should be a factor.
Submission 1573	85205	Yes	Supporting Arizona is a national security mandate. As "America's Semiconductor HQ," Arizona drives US chip independence and \$210B+ in tech investment. Disproportionate water cuts threaten the production of critical 2nm/4nm chips for AI and defense. The Federal Government must ensure basin-wide equity to protect these vital assets and the stability of the entire Western economy.
Submission 1574	85224	Yes	From what I understand, Arizona has agreed to take on necessary cuts, but the Upper Basin States must do their part to preserve the health of the river.
Submission 1575	85044	Yes	There are data centers being built that are going to make this problem worse. Is this being addressed?
Submission 1576	85018	Yes	Please include in an email what you are doing to stop the construction of data centers that will use excessive water (and electricity). New data centers should not be allowed to increase consumer's rates for water or electricity, nor cause additional fossil fuel power plants to be built.
Submission 1577	85282	Yes	All states in our region have an obligation to protect our main source of water. If we do not have all states participating with the same commitment this will impact the population in the lower basin more adversely. We need to make sure we have oversight on the implementation and action to reduce water consumption throughout our region.
Submission 1578	85018	Yes	Please include in an email what you are doing to stop the construction of data centers that will use excessive water (and electricity). New data centers should not be allowed to increase consumer's rates for water or electricity, nor cause additional fossil fuel power plants to be built.
Submission 1579	85281	Yes	Yes, I believe cuts should be distributed equitably among all seven basin states. The Colorado River is a shared resource, and protecting it requires shared responsibility. Every state that depends on it should contribute to conservation efforts so we can preserve this critical water source for our communities, agriculture, wildlife, and future generations. Collaboration and fairness are key to long term sustainability.
Submission 1580	85044	Yes	
Submission 1581	85048	Yes	Our water security is at risk. As data centers and semiconductor plants expand, they draw massive amounts of water from our local supply—meaning our community will face a growing deficit rather than a surplus. This issue requires consideration when dividing resources because it has an otherwise unplanned impact on our future.
Submission 1582	85282	Yes	
Submission 1583	85213	Yes	
Submission 1584	85226	Yes	The key word here is "equitable". Each state has a different starting point and we need to customize the water resource based on individual needs, circumstances, and starting points to ensure equal outcomes. Be sure that all stakeholders are heard and taken into account. Thank you for your work on this important matter.
Submission 1585	85244	Yes	Mr. Stanton: The operative word in your question is equitably. A strict understanding would suggest there are presently some inequitable allocations of the river. I don't expect Sec. Burgum or any future Interior Secretary will be able to "equally" allocate the river. But given the failure of the 7 states to devise their own allocation strategy to date, I do not expect interior will be able to convince the stakeholders of a rational strategy. Most likely this will lead to years of legal struggles. Ultimately the 7 states will need to devise their own plans for using whatever water comes through their existing distribution canals. What exactly are the pillars of the fair deal you are fighting for?
Submission 1586	85006	Yes	
Submission 1587	85614	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1588	85206	Yes	As a resident of Arizona for the past 16 years there has been substantial growth in population. With this growth comes added benefits but also added negative consequences. Water, food and shelter are basic primal needs for all of us humans. We need to share in the costs equally. For Arizona in particular I think we need better long range planning for our future water needs. I think our forbearers did an outstanding job for their time but we need to rethink and follow through so the future of Arizona doesn't become our own "dust bowl" of time. We need to curtail some of the ever expanding building of new structures and curb unnecessary water usage. Climate change is here and we all need to that fact. Water is not a luxury item...we all need it, we all use it, we all should be conserving it and we all should be paying equally for what nature in the Colorado River gives us.
Submission 1589	85244	Yes	
Submission 1590	85281	Yes	Cuts are needed but they should equitable and shared with all involved states.
Submission 1591	85204	Yes	Equity may be in the eye of the beholder! But we definitely want a "fair deal".
Submission 1592	85210	Yes	Look at history!!!! Your answers are there.
Submission 1593	85284	Yes	 In addition to not giving up on an equitable share of the Colorado River, Arizona needs to be pursuing other reliable water sources such as desalinated water from the Sea of Cortez or Pacific, or US northern and eastern rivers. The sooner we lock in such a reliable water source, the lower the cost to getting that water into Arizona. Perhaps we form a partnership with the other lower basin states to share the significant historic cost of doing this. Delay in now doing so will increase the severity of future social and economic disruption here in Arizona.
Submission 1594	85210	Yes	
Submission 1595	85225	Yes	
Submission 1596	85284	Yes	What's "equitable" will certainly have to be debated and litigated, again. Arizona beware: if equitable means which states contribute the most runoff to the river, then we will get very little. But no decision will be correct without an unbiased estimation of the average annual river flow for the Colorado. Initially the Colorado River Compact (1922) assumed 17.5 million acre feet. An unrealistic yield that has led us to "over-draft."
Submission 1597	85226	Yes	I agree that the cuts should be distributed equitably between the seven basin states. Everyone within the states all have financial problems that affect each of us. No one state should bare the expense, when all seven states are affected. We all need to band together so that the cost is spread equally among us.
Submission 1598	85201	Yes	Arizona has one of the hottest climates and has endured tremendous growth during the last 50 years. We need our fair share of water. Why are we being cut off now.
Submission 1599	85716	Yes	
Submission 1600	85257	Yes	All of the states sharing the Colorado river as a source of water to share in the proposed cuts. Water is a precious commodity that all citizens of the United States deserve to have equally.
Submission 1601	85281	Yes	Measures to conserv e water should be entered into by all of the states. Arizona's agricultural influences are undeniable! Pima Cotton, Citrus, Yuma as the "Winter Lettuce Capital of the World!" For Arizona to take the deepest cut of all is an INSULT to the STATE and the HARDWORKING FARMERS and DAY LARBORORS (that's another issue; they are not murders or rapists) that have supplied not only this nation, but the world. PLUS, Phoenix is the 6th largest city in the nation, Sky Harbor is the one of the busiest airports in the U.S., Tempe is home to the one of the largest universities in the nation, visitors around the world visit the Grand Canyon, and Tucson is one of the oldest, continually inhabited areas in North America. DOI, do not treat Arizona as inconsequential! As state we have done of fair share of water conservation - for example, Mesa created the "Water - use it wisely" campaign which is now across the state. The DOI cannot make Arizona take the deepest cuts of all!
Submission 1602	85202	Yes	
Submission 1603	85018	Yes	
Submission 1604	85012	Yes	My mother and I are native Phoenicians, I'm 72 and have seen lots of changes. It is critical we all do our parts to ensure that we have adequate water from a variety of sources including the Colorado River. All states involved need to do their parts.
Submission 1605	85203	Yes	All these data centers and business are moving to Phoenix putting our water supply at jeopardy for those of us that have lived here for years, generations. If water is truly an issue then growth and development need to be stopped. Development cannot go on without restriction, its insane. Make them pay for new methods of water retention if there are any. Building any more dams or systems? Something has to change as well as water allocation.
Submission 1606	85044	Yes	
Submission 1607	85210	Yes	
Submission 1608	85283	Yes	Even share for all. Watch waste carefully. Charge much higher prices for biggest users.
Submission 1609	85205	Yes	But I do think AZ needs to start restricting all the water usage for grass, fake ponds, etc. The landscaping done by the various towns, cities, and neighbors is absurd for a desert. This winter (winter??) of 2025-2026 has produced a, very much, inadequate amount of snow (water retention) for northern Arizona's tribal, federal, public and private lands. This comes as we are in our 20th year of drought. Along with not replenishing any aquifers, rivers, creeks, tanks because of the dismal amount of snow, the air is so dry, that much of the snow fall is immediately sublimated into the atmosphere. It disappears like magic, and the land is dry dust. As an ardently strict water conserver, along with many other Arizonians, it is requested that other states in the upper basin also practice water conservation and shoulder their share of water cuts. It is also prudent to protect the dam at Page. Water levels are approaching dead pool levels. This will severely damage the intake valves and extinguish any production of electricity for an immense region of northern Arizona. Both scenarios are an economic as well as environmental travesty for the region. So much will be lost in Arizona, (power, livelihoods, human, plant and animal lives) if there is not equitable distribution of water through out the upper basin states. Life today is much different than when the first compact was made and these conditions must be taken into consideration.
Submission 1610	86004	Yes	
Submission 1611	85018	Yes	The cuts in water should be shared equally among states. Arizona should begin cutting its water usage by discontinuing the farming growth of alfalfa and similar high water consuming crops. Especially those shipped out of state or country. Arizonans rely on the water from the Colorado River as do other states and should not take the brunt of the cuts.
Submission 1612	85206	Yes	We should also build a de-salinization plant for Mexico in exchange for their share.
Submission 1613	85203	Yes	It should be according to population
Submission 1614	85281	Yes	
Submission 1615	85018	Yes	Of course it should be done equitably AND SUSTAINABLY. For me, the fundamental questions are what is the basis for determining allocations between states and what does the science say about sustainable allowable yearly total extraction upon which to base allocations. Per capita? Per acre of farmland adjusted for product type? If the situation is as dire as reported (and reservoir levels would imply it is), then all states should commit to similar types of conservation actions that escalate or deescalate in lock step based on sustainability as system wide inflows change. Then, to me, the major decision is what confidence level is acceptable in terms of sustainability and what model(s) is used to forecast future inflows. And here's a thought, what about desalinization to recharge the Colorado River system? It could reduce rising sea levels ????
Submission 1616	85201	Yes	Water preservation is critical or our properties are worthless, as humans are dead without water in about 24 hours in hot desert. And our water is running out!!
Submission 1617	85202	Yes	
Submission 1618	86305	Yes	
Submission 1619	85210	Yes	Water Equality For All Seven States!
Submission 1620	85202	Yes	

Submission	ZIP	Should cuts be distributed equitably between the seven basin states that share the Colorado River?	Please share your thoughts on Arizona's water needs below:
Submission 1621	85204	Yes	
Submission 1622	85201	Yes	
Submission 1623	85021	Yes	
Submission 1624	85225	Yes	Please do not let Arizona get the most cut. Arizona gets summers that are very hot and dry. It should be distributed fairly.
Submission 1625	85201	Yes	It must be equal for all states, that is the fair solution. Thank You all for the work you do on behalf of Arizona Residents.
Submission 1626	85203	Yes	
Submission 1627	85224	Yes	California doesn't need anymore water provided to them. They are already reckless with their water supply.
Submission 1628	85282	Yes	This just seems like common sense. Even if some states have had "extra" allocations of water in the past, we all have to deal with decreasing amounts of water as the planet continues in climate change and many areas become drier. I am willing, personally, to conserve more, as needed, to allow for equitable distribution.
Submission 1629	85225	Yes	
Submission 1630	85206	Yes	
Submission 1631	85226	Yes	The states working to solve this water sharing problem is a true test of our character and reasoning ability. I understand that our nation's current and future water problems will not go away and may be worsened by the change in our planet's weather patterns. The states which share the Colorado River water should share in the necessary cuts so we all "share and share alike!" Thank you!
Submission 1632	85120	Yes	
Submission 1633	85018	Yes	Thank you for your fight on this subject. Arizona (lower basin states) cannot be the only making sacrifices.
Submission 1634	85226	Yes	Arizona should also use the water wisely; it should not be consumed for Golf courts, over water consuming farming, data centers or chip building
Submission 1635	85045	Yes	This may be the most important environmental issue facing us currently.
Submission 1636	85282	Yes	I'm not going to claim that I know what "equitable" means. However, iirc Arizona gave up a bunch of its rights in some wacko deal that made no sense to me whatsoever. Ultimately, I'd like to see Arizona figure out a way to not be dependent on anyone else for water.
Submission 1637	85283	Yes	Arizona should not bear the blunt of water cuts. It should be equal for all that are affected.
Submission 1638	85207	Yes	With the new AI buildings and Future plans for power plants and other infrastructure Arizona needs water . Please help our community. Thankyou
Submission 1639	85206	Yes	Emphatically, yes! Thank you so much!
Submission 1640	85020	Yes	
Submission 1641	85204	Yes	We have had a large number of people moving here from several other states